Introduction:

LEA: Riverside Unified School District LCAP Year: 2015-2018

Contact: Lynn Carmen Day, Chief Academic Officer Phone Number: (951)788-7135 Ext. 80403 Email: lcarmenday@rusd.k12.ca.us

Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template

The Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and Annual Update Template shall be used to provide details regarding local educational agencies' (LEAs) actions and expenditures to support pupil outcomes and overall performance pursuant to Education Code sections 52060, 52066, 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5. The LCAP and Annual Update Template must be completed by all LEAs each year.

For school districts, pursuant to Education Code section 52060, the LCAP must describe, for the school district and each school within the district, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities.

For county offices of education, pursuant to Education Code section 52066, the LCAP must describe, for each county office of education-operated school and program, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, who are funded through the county office of education Local Control Funding Formula as identified in Education Code section 2574 (pupils attending juvenile court schools, on probation or parole, or mandatorily expelled) for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities. School districts and county offices of education may additionally coordinate and describe in their LCAPs services provided to pupils funded by a school district but attending county-operated schools and programs, including special education programs.

Charter schools, pursuant to Education Code sections 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5, must describe goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities as applicable and any locally identified priorities. For charter schools, the inclusion and description of goals for state priorities in the LCAP may be modified to meet the grade levels served and the nature of the programs provided, including modifications to reflect only the statutory requirements explicitly applicable to charter schools in the Education Code.

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool. Accordingly, in developing goals, specific actions, and expenditures, LEAs should carefully consider how to reflect the services and related expenses for their basic instructional program in relationship to the state priorities. LEAs may reference and describe actions and expenditures in other plans and funded by a variety of other fund sources when detailing goals, actions, and expenditures related to the state and local priorities. LCAPs must be consistent with school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. The information contained in the LCAP, or annual update, may be supplemented by information contained in other plans (including the LEA plan pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part a of Title I of Public Law 107-110) that are incorporated or referenced as relevant in this document.

For each section of the template, LEAs shall comply with instructions and should use the guiding questions as prompts (but not limits) for completing the information as required by statute. Guiding questions do not require separate narrative responses. However, the narrative response and goals and actions should demonstrate each guiding question was considered during the development of the plan. Data referenced in the LCAP must be consistent with the school accountability report card where appropriate. LEAs may resize pages or attach additional pages as necessary to facilitate completion of the LCAP.

State Priorities

The state priorities listed in Education Code sections 52060 and 52066 can be categorized as specified below for planning purposes, however, school districts and county offices of education must address each of the state priorities in their LCAP. Charter schools must address the priorities in Education Code section 52060(d) that apply to the grade levels served, or the nature of the program operated, by the charter school.

A. Conditions of Learning:

Basic: degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned pursuant to Education Code section 44258.9, and fully credentialed in the subject areas and for the pupils they are teaching; pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials pursuant to Education Code section 60119; and school facilities are maintained in good repair pursuant to Education Code section 17002(d). (Priority 1)

Implementation of State Standards: implementation of academic content and performance standards and English language development standards adopted by the state board for all pupils, including English learners. (Priority 2)

Course access: pupil enrollment in a broad course of study that includes all of the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 7)

Expelled pupils (for county offices of education only): coordination of instruction of expelled pupils pursuant to Education Code section 48926. (Priority 9)

Foster youth (for county offices of education only): coordination of services, including working with the county child welfare agency to share information, responding to the needs of the juvenile court system, and ensuring transfer of health and education records. (Priority 10)

B. Pupil Outcomes:

Pupil achievement: performance on standardized tests, score on Academic Performance Index, share of pupils that are college and career ready, share of English learners that become English proficient, English learner reclassification rate, share of pupils that pass Advanced Placement exams with 3 or higher, share of pupils determined prepared for college by the Early Assessment Program. (Priority 4)

Other pupil outcomes: pupil outcomes in the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Education Code section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 8)

C. Engagement:

Parental involvement: efforts to seek parent input in decision making at the district and each school site, promotion of parent participation in programs for unduplicated pupils and special need subgroups. (Priority 3)

Pupil engagement: school attendance rates, chronic absenteeism rates, middle school dropout rates, high school dropout rates, high school graduations rates. (Priority 5)

School climate: pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates, other local measures including surveys of pupils, parents and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness. (Priority 6)

Section 1: Stakeholder Engagement

Meaningful engagement of parents, pupils, and other stakeholders, including those representing the subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052, is critical to the LCAP and budget process. Education Code sections 52060(g), 52062 and 52063 specify the minimum requirements for school districts; Education Code sections 52066(g), 52068 and 52069 specify the minimum requirements for county offices of education, and Education Code section 47606.5 specifies the minimum requirements for charter schools. In addition, Education Code section 48985 specifies the requirements for translation of documents.

Instructions: Describe the process used to consult with parents, pupils, school personnel, local bargaining units as applicable, and the community and how this consultation contributed to development of the LCAP or annual update. Note that the LEA's goals, actions, services and expenditures related to the state priority of parental involvement are to be described separately in Section 2. In the annual update boxes, describe the stakeholder involvement process for the review, and describe its impact on, the development of the annual update to LCAP goals, actions, services, and expenditures.

Guiding Questions:

Involvement Process

- 1) How have applicable stakeholders (e.g., parents and pupils, including parents of unduplicated pupils and unduplicated pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01; community members; local bargaining units; LEA personnel; county child welfare agencies; county office of education foster youth services programs, court-appointed special advocates, and other foster youth stakeholders; community organizations representing English learners; and others as appropriate) been engaged and involved in developing, reviewing, and supporting implementation of the LCAP?
- 2) How have stakeholders been included in the LEA's process in a timely manner to allow for engagement in the development of the LCAP?
- 3) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was made available to stakeholders related to the state priorities and used by the LEA to inform the LCAP goal setting process? How the information was made available?
- 4) What changes, if any, were made in the LCAP prior to adoption as a result of written comments or other feedback received by the LEA through any of the LEA's engagement processes?
- 5) What specific actions were taken to meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement pursuant to Education Code sections 52062, 52068, and 47606.5, including engagement with representatives of parents and guardians of pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01?
- 6) What specific actions were taken to consult with pupils to meet the requirements 5 CCR 15495(a)?
- 7) How has stakeholder involvement been continued and supported? How has the involvement of these stakeholders supported improved outcomes for pupils, including unduplicated pupils, related to the state priorities?

Riverside Unified School District's 2014-2015 Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) involvement process consisted of two succinct objectives: 1) to inform stakeholders of progress toward meeting the 7 needs through the 15 LCAP goals, and 2) to determine changes or additions to the existing goals and actions based on need. The process itself provided a wide group of stakeholders with the opportunity to be part of reviewing progress, providing input and

supporting the implementation through feedback. Representation from

Impact on LCAP

Revisions and additions to the 2015-2018 LCAP were made based on the themes from the wide scope of stakeholder engagement, 2014-2015 (year one) LCAP monitoring, and a continued focus on Foster Youth, disadvantaged students and English Learners. At our community forums, we asked our stakeholders to give up input on additions to the LCAP in case the May Revise gave us additional funding for actions and services in our LCAP.

significant stakeholder groups comprised a valuable Steering Committee whose charge was to support the community engagement strategy and support monitoring of the existing LCAP. The committee consisted of representatives of each local bargaining units, PTA Council, District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC), Compensatory Education District Advisory Committee (CEDAC), and the Riverside Chambers of Commerce, plus appointees of each member of the Board of Education, which broadened representation to include City Hall and community organizations. This year, student representation was added to the committee. The Steering Committee felt strongly that the engagement process should mirror the previous years' model. Last year the essential question was, "What would most help all students in RUSD to be successful?" This year the question was asked, "Is there anything that should be added or changed to best support the success for RUSD's students?" The following outreach took place:

- Student focus groups: Seven student focus groups took place at our 5 comprehensive high schools and two alternative sites to provide foster, socio-economically disadvantaged and English Learner students with a strong platform on which to communicate their needs.
- Community forums: Five community forums were held whereat community members, parents, staff and students attended to contribute important ideas about supporting RUSD students' needs. Students opened the meetings and provided progress highlights in each of the need areas. Stakeholders provided comments on current goals and/or added new recommendations. We asked our stakeholders for new recommendations for actions and services for our students in case the May Revise allocated additional resources for our LCAP. In addition, a 23 minute LCAP video, produced by RUSD Media, was shown at each of the forums.
- Bargaining Units: Certificated and classified union members provided needs and prioritization input.
- Parents: The new School Family Resource Center hosted multiple opportunities for parent groups to discuss the LCAP.
- Compensatory Education District Advisory Council and District English Learner Advisory Council: Meetings were held to discuss general and specific student groups' needs. There was a strong voice for items that were discussed in the previous year and still were not part of the plan, such as English Language Learner tutoring.
- Management: Management and confidential employees discussed needs specific to what currently existed in the LCAP and what was needed to advance the work at the sites.

Prioritized themes led to the following additions to the LCAP:

- Services for English Learners, including focused tutoring
- Secondary math support
- Reading by 3rd grade
- Competitive salaries to retain high quality staff
- Assistant Principals at high need schools
- Technology integration/Personalized Learning
- New teacher support
- Expansion of Heritage Plan to support English Learners and Foster Youth

Effectively monitoring the 2014-2015 (year one) LCAP, allowed RUSD to make concrete decisions about what to keep, change, or stop funding. The following topics were adjusted to improve implementation or fund additional prioritized themes:

- √ K-12 strategic intervention
- ✓ Early Childhood savings
- ✓ Distribution of funds extended over multiple years
- ✓ Cap and gown over allocation
- ✓ Phase in of social emotional counselor support
- ✓ Advanced Placement exam cap

The monitoring process also encouraged the consolidation of RUSD's 15 goals. In order to efficiently measure and monitor the goals, clearly delineated steps described services, activities and resources into strong concrete statements of action. Speakers at multiple venues from the State Board of Education and California Department of Education recommended a manageable, attainable number of goals. Difficulty monitoring the sheer number of goals became evident as the first year progressed, as well as the overlap in goals by actions and metrics. The result led RUSD to ask how the number of goals could be reduced while **maintaining the 7 needs** determined through initial LCAP development process. Therefore, the 7 student needs were aligned to meet the three following goals:

Goal 1: Provide high quality teaching and learning environments for all students

- Need 1 (Quality Core Curriculum and Instruction)
- Need 5 (Safe and Secure Learning Environment)

Goal 2: Prepare all students to be college, career and world ready upon graduation

- Need 2: (Prepared for College and Career)
- Need 4: (Kindergarten Ready)

- Community: In addition to the forums, discussions and/or presentations took place with special interest groups, the Chamber, and organizations who clearly assert their support to student achievement and well-being.
- The Board of Trustees: The RUSD Board of Education provided input and inquiry regarding LCAP goals throughout the year. The LCAP was aligned to the Board goals, as well as the 8 State priorities. At a Board Study session the Board spent time deeply analyzing the recommendations, themes and priorities. The Finance Board Sub-committee reviewed the budget alignment. The public hearing was held June 1st and the LCAP, along with the proposed budget was adopted on June 22, 2015.
- Survey/Social Media: All stakeholders had the opportunity to provide feedback through the use of an online survey.

All of the input gathered by way of the outreach strategy was compiled. The common themes from the previous year were used to mark this year's needs. The themes were shared with multiple stakeholder groups. Based on priorities, metrics, and resources, the LCAP was modified as necessary, especially to target high need sub groups. The 2015-2018 LCAP was developed, targeting areas that needed increased focus in the future. For those goals that had concrete impact measures, monitoring the year one plan, 2014-2015, allowed RUSD to make concrete decisions about what to keep, change, or stop doing.

LCAP Engagement dates:

✓ October 2, 2014

Who: Principals and other leaders

Purpose: Discussion on LCAP Metrics, Progress Indicators and Goals

√ November 6, 2014

Who: Principals and other leaders

Purpose: Build awareness of metrics and actions utilizing the district developed Passport to LCAP that detailed student needs and goals in RUSD's LCAP and posed questions regarding implications for site leadership. Each student need and goal was discussed and principals reflected on the following:

- Which metrics will my site's efforts target and improve?
- What is my site data?
- Are there additional site metrics that I will monitor related to this need?
- Which goals will my leadership directly impact?
- What specific actions does my site take to impact growth of the goals?
- What support will my site need?

Goal 3: Fully engage students, parents and the community in support of short and long term educational outcomes

- Need 3: (Healthy and Ready to Learn)
- Need 6 (Engaged Parents and Community)
- Need 7 (Connected to School

- ✓ December 2, 2014 LCAP Steering Committee
- ✓ January 27, 2015 LCAP Steering Committee
- ✓ February 19, 2015 LCAP Steering Committee
- ✓ March 3, 2015 Compensatory Education District Advisory Committee (11)
- ✓ March 4, 2015 Engagement Forum: Ramona High School (67)
- ✓ March 9, 2015 Teacher Advisory Committee (28)
- ✓ March 10, 2015 Engagement Forum: Poly High School (17)
- ✓ March 11, 2015 Engagement Forum: North High School (48)
- ✓ March 11, 2015 Superintendent's Advisory Committee (20)
- ✓ March 16, 2015 LCAP progress and goal consolidation discussion with Board
- ✓ March 18, 2015 PTA engagement (32)
- ✓ March 18, 2015 Engagement Forum: Arlington High School (52)
- ✓ March 19, 2015 Managers and Confidential employees (15)
- ✓ March 23, 2015 California School Employee Association LCAP input session (8)
- ✓ March 24, 2015 LCAP Steering Committee
- ✓ March 25, 2015 District English Learner Advisory Committee (62)
- ✓ March 26, 2015 Engagement Forum: MLK High School (35)
- ✓ March 27, 2015 Engagement-Parents at Family Resource Center (24)
- ✓ March 23-27, 2015Focus groups with unduplicated pupils
- ✓ April 16, 2015 Riverside City Teachers' Association(7)
- ✓ April 28, 2015 LCAP Steering Committee
- ✓ May 4, 2015 Superintendent's Cabinet review of draft LCAP
- ✓ May 4, 2015 Proposed LCAP to Board
- ✓ May 11, 2015 LCAP review with Teacher's Advisory Committee (30)
- ✓ May 13, 2015 CEDAC and DELAC LCAP review meeting (40)
- ✓ June 1, 2015 LCAP Public Hearing
- ✓ June 15, 2015 Response to public input posted on district website
- ✓ June 22, 2015 Final LCAP to Board for adoption

Annual Update:

RUSD stakeholders were involved in the review of the LCAP progress and implementation actions beginning in December 2014 and ending in April 2015. The LCAP Steering Committee (made up of parents, advisory committee members, students, representative from employee groups, community leaders, teachers, principals and others) guided the process and agenda for the engagement. Our engagement sessions served to inform our parents, students and community members of our progress towards meeting the 7 needs through

Annual Update:

Most of the input from our stakeholder engagement process was in the form of praise and expressions of thanks for listening to their voice and providing the needed actions and services for our students. Multiple comments were received regarding appreciation for increased services for credit recovery programs, co-curricular and extra-curricular activities and increased services for families through our Family Resource Center.

the 15 LCAP goals and the actions and services that had been accomplished to date. This engagement of our stakeholders in progress towards LCAP goals and creation of the Annual Update occurred on the dates detailed in the section above.

Internally, an effective monitoring process was put into place in the fall of 2014 which we used to inform our progress toward our LCAP goals and assist in the completion in the Annual Update. An extensive LCAP Monitoring Document was created in Google Drive and shared with those responsible for ensuring that we were executing, monitoring and, more importantly, positively impacting our students through the actions/services detailed in our LCAP. Our monitoring tool has the following headings:

Goal: District Lead:

Actions	Actions	Lead	Deliverables	Milestones	Impact
(What we	(How we				on the
are going to	are going to				metrics
do)	do it)				

Specific leads were assigned to each goal to ensure that the actions/services were executed and that the Google Doc was completed for all of the actions under their area of responsibility. Discussions were held throughout the year regarding progress on our LCAP goals and actions. Staff leads responsible for the 7 needs worked with their respective teams to determine progress monitoring and achievement gaps in the data. Leads discussed gaps and potential input to closing those gaps with the Superintendent's Extended Cabinet. The following questions framed priorities, needs and next steps:

- ✓ What progress have we made on meeting our targets?
- ✓ What are indicators of progress as we work toward meeting our targets?
- ✓ In order to provide evidence of meeting our goals, is there information we need to obtain from the sites?
- ✓ After examining the data, what gaps exist? How might we attend to the gaps? (input)
- ✓ What are feasible growth targets?
- ✓ What is the rationale behind setting the targets?
- ✓ How will we measure the targets as we progress?

Several comments addressed actions and services that we already "scheduled" to be increased and/or expanded in year 2 of our LCAP. Increased needs and services from our stakeholder engagement process is summarized below and are in our LCAP plan going forward:

- Services for English Learners, including focused tutoring
- Secondary math support-especially for Math I
- Reading by 3rd grade
- Competitive salaries to retain high quality staff
- Assistant Principals at high need schools
- Technology integration/Personalized Learning
- New teacher support
- Expansion of Heritage Plan to support English Learners and Foster Youth

Additional input was given following the modification and development of the 2015-2018 LCAP. We asked for ideas in case the May Revise allowed use to add services and actions for our students. CEDAC/DELAC parents agreed that EL tutoring was an important support for English Learners. Additionally, foster students will receive services through the current Heritage Program and increased Child Welfare and Attendance centralized staff. Family Resource Center staff will become 12 month employees and Family Resource Center services will be expanded to at least one satellite site in response to high need communities.

As a result of our attempts to monitor, update, message and assess our progress through our Annual Update, we found that we needed to consolidate our goals. In order to efficiently measure and monitor the goals, we need clearly delineated steps that describe services, activities and resources leading into strong concrete statements of action. Difficulty monitoring the sheer number of goals and over 100 actions/services became evident as the first year progressed. We also found that we had multiple areas of overlap in goals by actions and metrics. The result led RUSD to ask how the number of goals could be reduced while **maintaining** the 7 identified student needs determined through the initial LCAP development process. It made a great deal of sense for us to align our newly created goals to priority areas of the LCAP.

Conditions of Learning

- Goal 1: Provide high quality teaching and learning environments for all students
 - Need 1 (Quality Core Curriculum and Instruction)

•	Need 5	(Safe and	l Secure	Learning	Environment)	
---	--------	-----------	----------	----------	--------------	--

Pupil Outcomes

Goal 2: Prepare all students to be college, career and world ready upon graduation

- Need 2: (Prepared for College and Career)
- Need 4: (Kindergarten Ready)

Engagement

Goal 3: Fully engage students, parents and the community in support of short and long term educational outcomes

- Need 3: (Healthy and Ready to Learn)
- Need 6 (Engaged Parents and Community)
- Need 7 (Connected to School)

Section 2: Goals, Actions, Expenditures, and Progress Indicators Instructions:

All LEAs must complete the LCAP and Annual Update Template each year. The LCAP is a three-year plan for the upcoming school year and the two years that follow. In this way, the program and goals contained in the LCAP align with the term of a school district and county office of education budget and multiyear budget projections. The Annual Update section of the template reviews progress made for each stated goal in the school year that is coming to a close, assesses the effectiveness of actions and services provided, and describes the changes made in the LCAP for the next three years that are based on this review and assessment.

Charter schools may adjust the table below to align with the term of the charter school's budget that is submitted to the school's authorizer pursuant to Education Code section 47604.33.

For school districts, Education Code sections 52060 and 52061, for county offices of education, Education Code sections 52066 and 52067, and for charter schools, Education Code section 47606.5 require(s) the LCAP to include a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils, to be achieved for each state priority as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i) and any local priorities; a description of the specific actions an LEA will take to meet the identified goals; a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific actions; and an annual update to include a review of progress towards the goals and describe any changes to the goals.

To facilitate alignment between the LCAP and school plans, the LCAP shall identify and incorporate school-specific goals related to the state and local priorities from the school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. Furthermore, the LCAP should be shared with, and input requested from, school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., school site councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, pupil advisory groups, etc.) to facilitate alignment between school-site and district-level goals and actions. An LEA may incorporate or reference actions described in other plans that are being undertaken to meet the goal.

Using the following instructions and guiding questions, complete a goal table (see below) for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Goal: Describe the goal:

When completing the goal tables, include goals for all pupils and specific goals for school sites, specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and, where applicable, at the school site level. The LEA may identify which school sites and subgroups have the same goals, and group and describe those goals together. The LEA may also indicate those goals that are not applicable to a specific subgroup or school site.

Related State and/or Local Priorities: Identify the state and/or local priorities addressed by the goal by placing a check mark next to the applicable priority or priorities. The LCAP must include goals that address each of the state priorities, as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i), and any additional local priorities; however, one goal may address multiple priorities.

Identified Need: Describe the need(s) identified by the LEA that this goal addresses, including a description of the supporting data used to identify the need(s).

Schools: Identify the school sites to which the goal applies. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5).

Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Identify the pupil subgroups as defined in Education Code section 52052 to which the goal applies, or indicate "all" for all pupils.

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: For each LCAP year, identify and describe specific expected measurable outcomes for all pupils using, at minimum, the applicable required metrics for the related state priorities. Where applicable, include descriptions of specific expected measurable outcomes for school sites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and at the school site level.

The metrics used to describe the expected measurable outcomes may be quantitative or qualitative, although the goal tables must address all required metrics for every state priority in each LCAP year. The required metrics are the specified measures and objectives for each state priority as set forth in Education Code sections 52060(d) and 52066(d). For the pupil engagement priority metrics, LEAs must calculate the rates specified in Education Code sections 52060(d) (5)(B), (C), (D) and (E) as described in the Local Control Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template Appendix, sections (a) through (d).

Actions/Services: For each LCAP year, identify all annual actions to be performed and services provided to meet the described goal. Actions may describe a group of services that are implemented to achieve the identified goal.

Scope of Service: Describe the scope of each action/service by identifying the school sites covered. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5). If supplemental and concentration funds are used to support the action/service, the LEA must identify if the scope of service is districtwide, school wide, countywide, or charter wide.

Pupils to be served within identified scope of service: For each action/service, identify the pupils to be served within the identified scope of service. If the action to be performed or the service to be provided is for all pupils, place a check mark next to "ALL."

For each action and/or service to be provided above what is being provided for all pupils, place a check mark next to the applicable unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) and/or other pupil subgroup(s) that will benefit from the additional action, and/or will receive the additional service. Identify, as applicable, additional actions and services for unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 42238.01, pupils redesigned fluent English proficient, and/or pupil's subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 52052.

Budgeted Expenditures: For each action/service, list and describe budgeted expenditures for each school year to implement these actions, including where those expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget. The LEA must reference all fund sources for each proposed expenditure. Expenditures must be classified using the California School Accounting Manual as required by Education Code sections 52061, 52067, and 47606.5.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Conditions of Learning"?
- 2) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Pupil Outcomes"?
- 3) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to parent and pupil "Engagement" (e.g., parent involvement, pupil engagement, and school climate)?
- 4) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address any locally-identified priorities?
- 5) How have the unique needs of individual school sites been evaluated to inform the development of meaningful district and/or individual school site goals (e.g., input from site level advisory groups, staff, parents, community, pupils; review of school level plans; in-depth school level data analysis, etc.)?
- 6) What are the unique goals for unduplicated pupils as defined in Education Code sections 42238.01 and subgroups as defined in section 52052 that are different from the LEA's goals for all pupils?
- 7) What are the specific expected measurable outcomes associated with each of the goals annually and over the term of the LCAP?
- 8) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was considered/reviewed to develop goals to address each state or local priority?
- 9) What information was considered /reviewed for individual school sites?
- 10) What information was considered /reviewed for subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052?
- 11) What actions/services will be provided to all pupils, to subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, to specific school sites, to English learners, to low-income pupils, and/or to foster youth to achieve goals identified in the LCAP?
- 12) How do these actions/services link to identified goals and expected measurable outcomes?
- 13) What expenditures support changes to actions/services as a result of the goal identified? Where can these expenditures be found in the LEA's budget?

GOAL 1	Provide high quality teaching and learning environments for all students	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1_X_2_X_345_6_7_X_8 Local: Board Goals 1 and 5; English Learner Master Plan; Technology Plan; LEA Plan; Facilities Master Plan; NGSS Transition Plan; CCSS Transition Plan; Title II Plan		
Identified N	Today's students are preparing to enter a world in which colleges and businesses are de students are ready for success after high school, the Common Core State Standards (CCi every student should know and be able to do in math and English language arts (ELA) frir (http://www.corestandards.org/). Additionally, newly revised the English Language Dev Standards (NGSS) are beginning to be implemented. Providing a high-quality core curric foundation for all learning. The transition to these new standards provides many excitic challenge is to provide a core curriculum that ensures students success. The new standards than our previous standards. While we do not have current assessment data aligned to from our previous assessments, the California Standards Tests (CST), it is clear that area decade of CST testing in mathematics, English Language Arts and Science, roughly 40% of year of testing. The rates were even lower for certain subgroups. African Americans, Hi only a 50% proficiency rate and English Learners and Students with Disabilities had over proficient in English Language Arts. Currently, we see similar outcomes in rates of readi where only 60% are meeting grade level targets as measured by DIBELS. While the spec based on the new math and ELA assessments in our districts is unknown, nationwide re English Language Arts and mathematics suggest that fewer students will meet grade level likely remain (see http://www.smarterbalanced.org/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2C Several strategies will be used to meet this challenge, the first being centered on teacher most important influence on what students learn. Therefore, recruiting, preparing, and our Local Control and Accountability Plan. For the success of our students, it is imperative which teachers can teach and teach well. Research has shown that teachers are the moachievement that schools have within their control (Rivkin, Hanushek & Kain, 1998	is so stablish clear, consistent guidelines for what om kindergarten through 12th grade elopment (ELD) and Next Generation Science rulum aligned to the new standards is the ing changes and substantial challenges. The first ends were developed to be more challenging the new standards, extrapolating the results of improvement will continue to exist. After a students were not proficient in 2013, the last spanic students and socioeconomic students had 60% of the students in those groups not ing achievement in our 2 nd – 6 th grade students, if it numbers of students that will be proficient stults from the Smarter Balanced field test in el standards and gaps for these subgroups will 14/12/Disaggregated-FieldTestDataFINAL.pdf). The sand the instruction they deliver. Ining. What teachers know and can do is the retaining good teachers is a central strategy in we that we focus on creating conditions under strain important variable affecting student ders and Rivers, 1996). This is especially true in ster youth. Input from teachers has expressed a ds and assessments. Our plan addresses this essional development days (with accompanying in the integration of technology with a menu of as been added for teacher collaboration and		

addition, in order to attract and retain a highly qualified and highly effective workforce and be competitive in the marketplace, RUSD has improved compensation for all employees.

Research shows that lower class sizes in kindergarten can increase student achievement, and that disadvantaged students can see even larger gains (Finn & Achilles, 1990, Finn & Achilles, 1999; Jepsen & Rivkin, 2007). RUSD will continue to lower class sizes in kindergarten over the next three years from 26 to 24. Reducing class size will also increase the numbers the teaching staff, as more classrooms will be created to support the smaller sizes. Additionally, we expect a larger portion of teachers to retire in the next few years. As a consequence, many new teachers will be joining RUSD. Our goal to have a high quality teaching staff will require us to provide additional support to an increasing number of new teachers. We will be expanding our Beginning Teacher Support Assessment program to support their entry into the teaching field.

Goal Applies to:

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes

ΑII Schools:

Applicable Pupil Subgroups:

All-with additional support for English Learners

GOAL 1

LCAP Year 1: 2015-2016

Metric	All
Increase % of secondary staff that complete professional development (4 required days) 92%	94%
Increase % of elementary staff that complete professional development (4 required days) 95%	96%
Increase % of staff that, when surveyed, agree that professional development sessions are relevant and helpful (4 required days)	Baseline
Increase % of staff that indicate that they have implemented strategies and/or concepts learned during professional development sessions (4 required days)	Baseline
% of teachers appropriately assigned and fully credentialed 97.33%	98%
Increase % of CTE teachers with appropriate credentials 37%	100%
Increase % of novice principals and other new leaders that are provided with coaching, training and district induction program 100 %	100%
Increase % of first and second year teachers participating in RUSD Induction program 28 teachers on the waiting list	All new teachers served
Maintain position among the top 3 surrounding districts in regards to competitive compensation package (salary and benefits) for teachers	Among the top 3
Increase # of unique "hits" of RUSD learning management system-Haiku	10%
Increase teacher access to updated devices and classroom display components	20%
% of pupils having access to standards-aligned instructional materials 100%	100%
Decrease # of unaddressed work orders	10%
Increase level of reactive maintenance	3.0
Reduce the # of discipline referral at elementary sites with campus supervisors	Baseline
Maintain current #s of Williams Act complaints	No complaints
Maintain a good or better rating on the annual review of RUSD's 5 designated Williams schools	Maintain

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
1.1 Increase the quality and rigor of grade level core curriculum and instruction 1.1a Continue to implement standards, reinforce collaborative structures, personalize learning, integrate technology and monitor student progress (professional development	Districtwide	ALL OR:X_Low Income pupilsX_English LearnersX_Foster YouthX_Redesignated fluent English	1.1a \$10,908,686 LCFF \$5,062,100 one time
days: 2 at beginning of year and 2 during the year; Staff Development Specialists-23; curriculum development; Instructional technology integration; collaboration and progress monitoring) 1.1b Next Generation Science Standards (implement NGSS transition plan; provide professional development)		Proficient _X_Other Subgroups:_African American and Students with Disabilities	1.1b \$75,000 LCFF \$103,280 Title II
1.1c English Language Development (implement and monitor revised program)1.1d Instructional support (support instruction, teachers and			1.1c \$0 1.1d \$747,791 LCFF
students at high need schools with Assistant Principals) 1.1e Support early literacy, numeracy and language development (reduce class size in TK-3 to 26/1) 1.1f Explore material adoption for ELA and Math 1.1g Design implementation plan for districtwide STEM			1.1e \$2,609,715 LCFF \$1,254,190 one time 1.1f \$0 1.1g \$0
 1.2 Support digital integration, infrastructure, digital inclusion, maintenance, procurement and safety 1.2a Digital integration (student devices; teacher and classroom refresh; tools; resources; professional development) 1.2b Infrastructure 1.2c Digital inclusion 1.2d Maintenance of equipment 1.2e Technology procurement 1.2f Safety 	All	X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:	1.2a \$865,860 LCFF \$3,660,000 one time 1.2b \$4,500,000 one time 1.2c \$10,000 LCFF 1.2d \$175,000 LCFF 1.2e \$75,000 LCFF 1.2f \$430,000 LCFF
1.3 Recruit and develop highly qualified and highly effective teachers and staff (new teacher induction program; recruitment of highly qualified personnel; ensure teachers are properly credentialed for assignment within CTE programs; competitive salaries; leadership development; Principals Institute, coaching, Aspiring Leaders, New Administrator, Parent/Community Relations workshops)	All	X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:	1.3 \$11,947,956 LCFF \$157,000 Title II

environment to supporting the control of the contro	eted maintenance activities; ssroom and building systems; expand eam cleaning; enhance campus deferred maintenance; explore and	All	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearFoster YouthRe-designated flu English proficientOther Subgroup	uent	
		GOAL 1 LCAP Year 2: 201	6-2017		
	Metric			All	
I	Increase % of secondary staff that co	omplete professional de	evelopment (4 required days) 92%	96%	=
	Increase % of elementary staff that of	97%			
	Increase % of staff that, when survey relevant and helpful (4 required days	Increase baseline 5%			
	Increase % of staff that indicate that learned during professional develop	Increase baseline 5%			
	% of teachers appropriately assigned	98.33%			
	Increase % of CTE teachers with app	100%			
	Increase % of novice principals and c training and district induction progra	100%			
Expected Annual	Increase % of first and second year t 28 teachers on the waiting list	eachers participating ir	n RUSD Induction program	All new teachers served	
Measurable	Maintain position among the top 3 s compensation package (salary and b	~	regards to competitive	Among the top 3	
Outcomes	Increase # of unique "hits" of RUSD I	earning management s	system-Haiku	10%	
	Increase teacher access to updated of	devices and classroom	display components	20%	
	% of pupils having access to standard	ds-aligned instructiona	l materials 100%	100%	
	Decrease # of unaddressed work ord	lers		15%	
	Increase level of reactive maintenan	ce		3.25	
	Reduce the # of discipline referral at	•	campus supervisors	Decrease baseline 10%	
	Continue maintain current #s Willian			No complaints	=
	Maintain a good or better rating on	the annual review of R	USD's 5 designated Williams schools	Maintain	

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified	Budgeted
1.1 Increase the quality and rigor of grade level core curriculum and instruction 1.1a Evaluate the need and availability of resources to implement standards, reinforce collaborative structures, personalize learning, integrate technology; collaboration and progress monitoring 1.1b Next Generation Science Standards (implement NGSS transition plan; provide professional development) 1.1c English Language Development (implement and monitor revised program) 1.1d Instructional support (support instruction, teachers and students at high need schools with Assistant Principals) 1.1e Support early literacy, numeracy and language development (reduce class size in TK-3 to 25/1) 1.1f Engage in materials adoption process to identify and procure new 3-6 ELA/ELD materials for 2017-18 1.1g Complete year I of districtwide STEM strategy	Districtwide	scope of service ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Redesignated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups: African American and Students with Disabilities	1.1a \$10,908,686 LCFF \$550,901 one time 1.1b \$175,000 LCFF \$103,280 Title II 1.1c 0 1.1d \$747,791 LCFF 1.1e \$3,897,031 LCFF \$1,254,190 one time 1.1f \$2,100,000 LCFF
digital inclusion, maintenance and procurement 1.2a Digital integration (student devices; teacher and classroom refresh; tools; resources; professional development) 1.2b Infrastructure 1.2c Digital inclusion 1.2d Maintenance of equipment 1.2e Technology procurement 1.2f Safety		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:	1.2a \$865,860 LCFF \$3,660,000 one time 1.2b \$4,500,000 one time 1.2c \$10,000 1.2d \$175,000 1.2e \$75,000 1.2f \$430,000
1.3 Recruit and develop highly qualified and highly effective teachers and staff (new teacher induction program; recruitment of highly qualified personnel; ensure teachers are properly credentialed for assignment within CTE programs; competitive compensation; leadership development; Principals Institute, coaching , Aspiring Leaders, New Administrators, Parent/Community Relations workshops)	All	X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:	1.3 \$11,947,956 LCFF \$ 167,000 Title II

1.4 Improve the quality and safety of the school ΑII X ALL 1.4 \$5,925,817 LCFF environment to support optimal learning OR: (increase routine restricted maintenance activities: __Low Income pupils ___English Learners ensure reliability of classroom and building systems; cleaning Foster Youth Re-designated fluent and green scape services; implement team cleaning survey English proficient Other Subgroups: recommendations; campus supervision and safety; General Obligation Bond) **GOAL 1** LCAP Year 3: 2017-2018 ΑII Metric Increase % of secondary staff that complete professional development (4 required days) 92% 98% Increase % of elementary staff that complete professional development (4 required days) 95% 98% Increase 5% over 16-17 Increase % of staff that, when surveyed, agree that professional development sessions are relevant and helpful (4 required days) Increase % of staff that indicate that they have implemented strategies and/or concepts Increase 5% over 16-17 learned during professional development sessions (4 required days) % of teachers appropriately assigned and fully credentialed 97.33% 98.5% Increase % of CTE teachers with appropriate credentials 37% 100% Increase % of novice principals and other new leaders that are provided with coaching, 100% training and district induction program 100% Increase % of first and second year teachers participating in RUSD Induction program 28 All new teachers served **Expected Annual** teachers on the waiting list Measurable Maintain position among the top 3 surrounding districts in regards to competitive Among the top 3 Outcomes compensation package (salary and benefits) for teachers Increase # of unique "hits" of RUSD learning management system-Haiku 10% Increase teacher access to updated devices and classroom display components 20% % of pupils having access to standards-aligned instructional materials 100% 100% Decrease # of unaddressed work orders 20% Increase level of reactive maintenance 3.50 Reduce the # of discipline referral at elementary sites with campus supervisors Reduce 10% of 15-16 Maintain current #s of Williams Act complaints No complaints Maintain a good or better rating on the annual review of RUSD's 5 designated Williams schools Maintain

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
1.1 Increase the quality and rigor of grade level core curriculum and instruction 1.1a Evaluate the need and availability of resources to implement standards, reinforce collaborative structures, personalize learning, integrate technology and monitor student progress 1.1b Next Generation Science Standards (implement NGSS transition plan; provide professional development) 1.1c English Language Development (implement and monitor revised program) 1.1d Instructional support (support instruction, teachers and students at high need schools with Assistant Principals) 1.1e Support early literacy, numeracy and language development (reduce class size in TK-3 to 24/1) 1.1f Engage in materials adoption process to identify and procure Next Generation Science Standards for 2018-19 1.1g Complete year 1 of districtwide STEM strategy	Districtwide	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Redesignated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups: African American and Students with Disabilities	1.1a \$10,908,686 LCFF \$550,901 one time 1.1b \$175,000 LCFF \$103,280 Title II 1.1c \$0 1.1d \$747,791 LCFF 1.1e \$4,835,941 LCFF \$1,254,190 one time 1.1f \$2,100,000 LCFF 1.1g TBD
1.2 Support digital integration, infrastructure, digital inclusion, maintenance and procurement 1.2a Digital integration (student devices; teacher and classroom refresh; tools; resources; professional development) 1.2b Infrastructure 1.2c Digital inclusion 1.2d Maintenance of equipment 1.2e Technology procurement 1.2f Safety 1.3 Recruit and develop highly qualified and highly effective teachers and staff (new teacher induction program; recruitment of highly qualified personnel; ensure teachers are properly credentialed for assignment within CTE programs; competitive compensation; leadership development; Principals Institute, coaching, Aspiring Leaders, New Administrators, Parent/Community Relations workshops)		X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:	1.2a \$865,860 LCFF 1.2b \$0 1.2c \$10,000 1.2d \$175,000 1.2e \$75,000 1.2f \$430,000 1.3 \$11,947,956 LCFF \$ 167,000 Title II

1.4 Improve the quality and safety of the school	All	X_ALL	1.4 \$5,925,817 LCFF
environment to support optimal learning (increase routine restricted maintenance activities; ensure reliability of classroom and building systems; expand green scape services; continue team cleaning recommendation; campus supervision and safety; General Obligation Bond)		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:	

GOAL 2

Prepare all students to be college, career and world ready upon graduation

Related State and/or Local Priorities:

1__ 2__ 3__ 4 X__ 5__ 6__ 7__ 8 X

Local: Board Goals 1,2,4,6,7; Counseling
Plan; LEA Plan; CTE Plan; Personalized
Learning Initiative; AVID Plan; Heritage Plan;
VAPA Plan

Preparing students to be College, Career and World ready requires a coordinated effort that begins in preschool and continues through graduation. Research has shown that students who attend preschool have better school performance (Cascio & Schanzenbach, 2013; Yoshikawa & Weiland, 2013; Barnett, Jung, Youn & Frede, 2013) and adult life outcomes (Schweinhart, Montie, Xiang, Barnett, Belfield & Nores, 2005). RUSD currently has a successful, quality Head Start (Federal) and preschool (State) program, serving 800 qualifying students. However, RUSD's entering Kindergarten class has over 2000 students that are socioeconomically disadvantaged and almost a half begin at-risk of not reading proficiently. Data shows that a higher proportion of students that attend an RUSD preschool prior enter kindergarten with grade level reading skills (45%) than disadvantaged students who do not attend preschool (27%). In order to ensure that all incoming kindergarten students are academically and socially prepared for kindergarten, there is a need to expand and increase the services for Pre-kindergarten students and families.

Identified Need

As mentioned in Need 1, roughly 40% of students in RUSD did not make grade level standards on previous CST scores, and based on early estimates of SBAC results, this is likely to increase. Initial gaps in reading achievement can persist throughout schooling (Juel, 1988; Francis & Shaywitz, 1996; Catts, Bridges, Little & Tomblin, 2008; Cain & Oakhill, 2011) and can cause students to struggle with all areas of school work (Lee, Grigg, & Donahue, 2007). Additionally, students from disadvantaged background exhibit greater loss in learning during the summer months than more advantaged students (Cooper, Nye, Charlton, Lindsay & Greathouse, 1996; Entwisle, & Alexander, 1992). For example, data from DIBELS assessments show that the gaps in reading achievement between disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged students narrow during the school year, but expand again during the summer. In 2013-14, 77% students who were not disadvantaged ended first grade with adequate readings skills opposed to 60% of disadvantaged students. When those same students entered second grade the following fall, 76% of the non-disadvantaged students remained at grade level, but only 53% of the disadvantaged schools had maintained that level over the summer. As a result, we will be implementing a summer early literacy program for disadvantaged students, as well as expanding summer school programs in higher grades at sites that serve high populations of disadvantaged students.

Large gaps exist in school outcomes as well, and many students do not complete high school being college, career and world ready. Mathematics is one challenging area for many students. Only 14% of 11th grade students were considered college-ready according to the Early Assessment Program. An additional 70% were considered conditionally college-ready. However, these rates are based on students that were enrolled in mathematics classes eligible to take the assessment, which is roughly half of our students. Achievement data from the California Standards Tests show that student achievement in 7th grade is highly indicative of student performance on the EAP. As a consequence, we will be expanding tutoring services in mathematics in our secondary schools.

A large gap exists in the number of African American students who graduate meeting A-G requirements (33.2%) than white (48.2%) and Asian (79.7%) students. To address this, the Heritage Plan provides additional counseling to African American students and provides them access to resources to increase A-G rates. To increase our overall A-G rates all high schools will complete A-G audits each year. The audits require every student's transcripts to be analyzed individually using a detailed process. This effort allows schools to identify gaps in access and success rates for high school courses. The data will allow our secondary schools to make informed decisions about scheduling and instructional needs. In year 1 of our plan, services in the Heritage Plan will expand to provide support for English Learners and Foster Youth.

We have had a successful credit recovery program that has both reduced dropouts and increased graduation rates at Ramona High School, one of our five comprehensive high schools. This school has experienced the largest reduction in dropouts of the secondary programs in RUSD by providing a high quality credit recovery program on site. This program is being expanded to other sites to help meet the needs of more students districtwide.

In order to meet the needs of all RUSD students, it is imperative that schools develop systems that strengthen the core program and ensure that all students receive help based on their individual needs. Multi-Tier Systems Of Support (MTSS) is a coherent continuum of evidence-based, system-wide practices to support a rapid response to academic and behavioral needs, with frequent data-based monitoring for instructional decision-making to empower each student to achieve high standards. General instruction that is provided for all students is considered Tier I instruction. Targeted instruction that is provided to students who need additional help to meet grade level standards is considered Tier II instruction. Intensive instruction that is provided to students who are significantly below grade level is considered Tier III instruction.

Several programs will be initiated within our MTSS framework to increase student achievement. We will expand our existing Pre-K2 Institute to include all schools. This program has proven to be successful at our pilot schools. Schools that have implemented our Pre-K2 Institute in prior years have had more students begin the year at risk of reading difficulties (31% vs 40%), but end the school year with more on track for reading proficiently (63% vs 59%). We will also pilot other Tier II intervention areas of need that do not have existing programs such as mathematics and English Language Arts at higher grades. Based on the results we will modify and scale the programs appropriately. Almost twice as many students are reading proficiently between our highest and lowest need schools. Overall most schools have gaps of 10-20% between SED and 20-40% in rates of proficiency between EL and English Only students. The result is that a disproportional number of unduplicated students are not meeting grade level reading expectations. By providing a level of base funding for all schools in Read 180, a Tier III reading intervention that has been shown to be effective (Institute of Educational Sciences, 2009), support for these students will be available at all sites.

completing certification Career Tech expanding a strengthen	nl's lifelong earnings, health and overall welfare are linked to their level of educational attainment. While the benefits of a post-secondary degree are well-documented, many other alternate career paths exist through vocational training and s. At the end of high school students should not have limits on which path they choose to take. RUSD has developed a nical Education Plan in partnership with workforce development, local colleges and universities and other partners. We are not designing new programs and developing choices for our students. To better meet the needs of our students we are our collaborative relationships with our postsecondary and industry partners to provide students with internships,
mentoring,	field trips and job shadowing opportunities.
Schools:	All

Goal Applies to:

Applicable Pupil Subgroups:

All- with support for English Learners, low income, foster youth, redesignated fluent English Proficient, African American and Students with Disabilities

GOAL 2 LCAP Year 1: 2015-2016

Metric	All	AA	Н	LI	EL	SWD	RFEP	FY
Increase % of students proficient on Smarter Balanced English								
Language Arts-targets will be set when Federal and State								
targets are determined								
Increase % of students proficient on Smarter Balanced								
Mathematics-targets will be set when Federal and State								
targets are determined								
Increase Academic Performance Index- targets will be set								
when Federal and State targets are determined								
Increase % of students proficient on California Standards test	68%	62%	60%	59%	23%	35%	70%	51%
in science	0670	02/0	00%	35/0	23/0	33/0	70%	31/0
Increase % proficient on the California High School Exit Exam-	62%	52.4%	52.4%	55.4%	18.4%	12.4%	71%	41%
Mathematics	02/0	32.4/0	32.4/0	33.470	10.4/0	12.4/0	/1/0	41/0
Increase % proficient on the California High School Exit Exam-	58%	54.4%	50.4%	49.4%	9.4%	12.4%	63%	32%
English Language Arts	3670	J4.470	30.470	45.470	3.470	12.470	0370	32/0
Increase graduation rate	86.9%	86%	83%	84.3%	74.9%	81.7%	91.3%	74.8%
Increase % of students on track for A-G completion-data								
pending								
Increase A-G completion rate	49%	42%	43%	43%	16%	14%	51%	20%
Increase % of students ready on the Early Academic Placement	14%	10%	11%	13%	13%	13%	26%	5%
test-Math	1470	10%	1170	15%	15%	15%	20%	5%
Increase % of students ready on the Early Academic	26%	21%	19%	19%	4%	8%	23%	10%
Placement test-English Language Arts	20/0	Z1/0	13/0	13/0	4/0	0/0	23/0	10/0
Increase % of Advanced Placement exams passed with 3 or	52%	42%	46%	46%	52%	52%	49%	15%
higher	3270	4270	40%	40%	3270	32%	4370	13%

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes

Increase Advanced Placement enrollment rates	33.5%	26.2%	31.3%	33.4%	6%	6%	40.5%	25%
Increase Advanced Placement exam participation rates	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%
Increase % of International Baccalaureate exams passed with 4 or higher	40%%	15%	40%	45%%	15%	10%%	35%	10%
Decrease high school academic year dropout rate	2.6	3.0	3.0	2.6	3.1	4.1	1.4	8.0
Increase % of students at benchmark on 2 nd grade end of the year DIBELS	68%	68%	64%	62%	51%	32%	88%	60%

Increase % of English Learners reclassified in 5 years -current performance 16.1%	26.1%
Increase % of students that make yearly progress towards reclassification using a locally developed tool	Baseline
Increase % of teachers attending PreK-2 Institute training	100%
Increase the % of teachers who implement the newly created digital citizenship lessons	Baseline
Competency Based Indicators and Assessments completion (grade level/s)	12 th grade
Decrease middle school academic year dropout rate	.09
Increase % of students enrolled in Career Technical Education courses	31%
Increase % of students who complete a Career Technical Education Pathway	7%
Increase % of students who grow 2 grade levels within a year of Read 180/Systems 44 instruction as measured by	
lexile growth-final data pending	

Increase % of RUSD preschool attendees scoring Core on the Beginning of the Year Kindergarten DIBELS – Baseline for 14-15 was 53%	59%
Increase % of RUSD preschool attendees scoring at the Building and Integrating levels in 5 specific focus measures on the Desired Results Developmental Profile (DRDP) – Baseline for 14-15 was 85%	89%
Increase score on Early Childhood Environmental Rating Scale specific focus measures – Baseline for 14-15 was 5.	5.5
Increase Classroom Assessment Scoring System (CLASS) in the Instructional Support domain. Baseline for 14-15 was 3.8	4.5
Increase attendance rates of teen parents that participate in Lincoln's Early Impact Program	Baseline
Increase teen parent attendance at Friday Early Impact Parent Workshops	Baseline
Increase % of students in the Spanish Preschool Program (SPP) scoring Core on the Spanish early literacy assessment (IDEL)	Baseline

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
2.1 Close all identified achievement gaps by providing support to students whose academic needs are not met in the core instructional program: Identify, evaluate, and implement districtwide multi-tiered system of support 2.1a Tier I: Core instruction (small group intervention; universal screening; support for English Learners) 2.1b Tier II: Strategic intervention (pilot and implement strength based program at 2 high, 3 middle and 1 continuation school; expand Pre-K-2 Institute to 9 more schools; pilot and implement Tier II for K-12 ELA and math) 2.1c Tier III: Intensive Intervention (continue to implement and evaluate effectiveness of Read 180 and Systems 44; reevaluate allocation formula to target unduplicated students for year 2; pilot and implement intervention for K-2 reading)	Districtwide	_ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Redesignated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities	2.1a \$609,000 LCFF 2.1b \$780,776 LCFF \$164,000 Title II 2.1c \$4,498,006 LCFF
2.2 Increase the percentage of students who graduate college and career ready 2.2a PK-12 college going expectations and culture development (college and higher education workshops for parents and students at the secondary level; digital literacy and citizenship)	Districtwide PK-12	ALL OR:X_Low Income pupilsX_English LearnersX_Foster YouthX_Redesignated fluent English proficientX_Other Subgroups: African	2.2a \$470,358 LCFF
Support for specialized programs 2.2b Personalized Learning / Competency based (strategy development, launch schools and capacity building) 2.2c Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID) 2.2d Heritage Plan (coordination of plan; expand to include Foster Youth and English Learners; college readiness	Hawthorne, Liberty, Gage, University, Sierra, Poly, Arlington, Monroe Grades 7-12 Grades 9-12	American, Students with Disabilities	2.2b \$390,628 LCFF 2.2c \$966,220 LCFF 2.2d \$253,066 LCFF
activities at sites) 2.2e Puente (field trips and workshops) 2.2f Advanced Placement (provide test fees) 2.2g International Baccalaureate Middle Years Program 2.2h Support graduation (caps and gowns) Student support programs	Arlington, Poly, Ramona Grades 9-12 North High Grade 12		2.2e \$24,000 LCFF 2.2f \$358,297 LCFF 2.2g \$304,788 LCFF 2.2h \$65,768 LCFF 2.2i \$577,215 LCFF
2.2i Credit and A-G recovery2.2j Summer programs at elementary and middle schools (schools with highest numbers of unduplicated pupils)2.2k Summer school at a third high school site	Grades 9-12 7 high poverty K-6; 5 high poverty 7-8 Grades 9-12		2.2j \$432,021 Title I 2.2k \$250,000 LCFF

 2.2l Reading by 3rd pilot (summer slide) 2.2m Math tutoring at secondary schools 	Grades K-2 Grades 9-12		2.2l \$200,000 LCFF 2.2m \$300,000 LCFF
2.3 Increase quality opportunities for students and families to choose their educational path 2.3a Project development (grant writing; parent choice survey) 2.3b Dual Language Immersion (library books and bilingual teacher stipends) 2.3c Core Knowledge (40% coordinator) 2.3d Project Lead the Way (PTLW) and Gateway to PLTW (materials, supplies, field trips, software and pilot at middle school) 2.3e Visual and Performing Arts (VAPA) (teachers on special assignment) 2.3f STEM Academy (transportation to underserved areas of the city)	Districtwide Washington, Mt View Castle View, Jefferson Adams, Bryant King, Earhart* Central, Ramona STEM Academy *not S/C funding	ALL OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities	2.3a \$161,720 LCFF 2.3b \$125,000 LCFF 2.3c \$95,525 LCFF 2.3d \$125,000 LCFF 2.3e \$125,201 LCFF 2.3f \$21,750 LCFF
2.4 Increase student access to quality academic and career counseling Implement comprehensive counseling plan (secondary schools with high percentage of unduplicated students)	Grades7-12	ALL OR: _X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities	2.4 \$785,072 LCFF \$25,000 Title II
2.5 Increase quality opportunities for students to participate in sequenced career pathways (Coordinate CTE action plan, CTE Pathways and Curriculum, Professional Development, Virtual Courses, Partnerships)	Districtwide Grades 9-12	ALL OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities	2.5 \$312,257 LCFF \$5,000 Title II
2.6 Provide increased and expanded high quality preschool programs 2.6a Professional development and coaching (Early Childhood Specialist support) 2.6b Expansion of services (Underserved areas of the city; sliding scale for non-qualifying families) 2.6c Spanish preschool program 2.6d Early Impact Program (Teen Parent Infant Center) 2.6e Parent engagement	High poverty schools and areas of city Jefferson Lincoln Continuation	ALL OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities	2.6a \$107,362 LCFF \$24,640 HS/SP* 2.6b \$160,998 LCFF 2.6c \$20,000 LCFF \$134,739 HS/SP* 2.6d \$130,582 LCFF 2.6e \$5,000 Title I * HeadStart/State PreSchool

GOAL 2 LCAP Year 2: 2016-2017

Metric	All	AA	Н	LI	EL	SWD	RFEP	FY
Increase % of students proficient on Smarter Balanced English								
Language Arts- targets will be set when Federal and State								
targets are determined								
Increase % of students proficient on Smarter Balanced								
Mathematics targets will be set when Federal and State								
targets are determined -								
Increase Academic Performance Index- targets will be set								
when Federal and State targets are determined								
Increase % of students proficient on California Standards test	71%	66%	64%	64%	27%	37%	72%	56%
in science	71/0	0076	0470	0470	27/0	3770	7270	3070
Increase % proficient on the California High School Exit Exam-	64%	54.6%	54.6%	57.6%	20.6%	14.6%	73%	49%
Mathematics	0470	34.070	34.070	37.070	20.076	14.070	7370	4370
Increase % proficient on the California High School Exit Exam-	60%	56.6%	52.3%	51.3%	11.6%	14.6%	65%	40%
English Language Arts	0076	30.076	32.370	31.370	11.070	14.070	0370	4070
Increase graduation rate	86.9%	86%	83%	84.3%	74.9%	81.7%	91.3%	79.9%
Increase % of students on track for A-G completion-data								
pending								
Increase A-G completion rate	57%	52%	52%	52%	24%	23%	58%	28%
Increase % of students ready on the Early Academic Placement	14%	10%	11%	13%	13%	13%	26%	10%
test-Math	1470	10/0	11/0	13/0	13/0	13/0	2070	10/0
Increase % of students ready on the Early Academic Placement	26%	21%	19%	19%	4%	8%	23%	15%
test-English Language Arts	2070	21/0	1370	13/0	470	070	23/0	13/0
Increase % of Advanced Placement exams passed with 3 or	52%	42%	46%	46%	52%	52%	49%	TBD
higher	3270				32/0	32/0	7370	
Increase Advanced Placement enrollment rates	33.5%	26.2%	31.3%	33.4%	6%	6%	40.5%	TBD
Increase Advanced Placement exam participation rates	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%
Increase % of International Baccalaureate exams passed with 4	45%	25%	45%	50%	20%	15%	40%	15%
or higher	43/0	23/0	43/0	3070	20/0	13/0	40/0	13/0
Decrease high school academic year dropout rate	2.2	2.9	2.6	2.2	2.7	3.6	1.3	6.0
Increase % of students at benchmark on 2 nd grade end of the year DIBELS	74%	74%	70%	69%	56%	37%	89%	68%

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes

Increase % of English Learners reclassified in 5 years -current performance 16.1%	36.1%
Increase % of students that make yearly progress towards reclassification using a locally developed tool	10%

Increase % of teachers attending PreK-2 Institute training	maintain
Increase the % of teachers who implement the newly created digital citizenship lessons	20%
Competency Based Indicators and Assessments completion (grade level/s)	6 th and 8 th grade
Decrease middle school academic year dropout rate	.085
Increase % of students enrolled in Career Technical Education courses	34%
Increase % of students who complete a Career Technical Education Pathway	10%
Increase % of students who grow 2 grade levels within a year of Read 180/Systems 44 instruction as measured	
by lexile growth-final data pending	

Increase % of RUSD preschool attendees scoring Core on the Beginning of the Year Kindergarten DIBELS – Baseline for 14-15 was 53%.	65%
Increase % of RUSD preschool attendees scoring at the Building and Integrating levels in 5 specific focus measures on the Desired Results Developmental Profile (DRDP) – Baseline for 14-15 was 85%	93%
Increase score on Early Childhood Environmental Rating Scale specific focus measures – Baseline for 14-15 was 5	6
Increase Classroom Assessment Scoring System (CLASS) in the Instructional Support domain. Baseline for 14-15 was 3.8	5
Increase attendance rates of teen parents that participate in Lincoln's Early Impact Program	5% increase
Increase teen parent attendance at Friday Early Impact Parent Workshops	5% increase
Increase % of students in the Spanish Preschool Program (SPP) scoring Core on the Spanish early literacy assessment (IDEL)	5% increase

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified	Budgeted
Actions/ services	Scope of Service	scope of service	Expenditures
2.1 Close all identified achievement gaps by providing	Districtwide	ALL	
support to students whose academic needs are not met in the core instructional program: Identify, evaluate, and implement districtwide multi-tiered system of support 2.1a Tier I: Core instruction (small group intervention; universal screening; support for English Learners)		OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African	2.1a \$609,000 LCFF
2.1b Tier II: Strategic intervention (pilot and implement strength based program at 2 high, 3 middle and 1 continuation school; implement Tier II for K-12 ELA and math)		American, Students with Disabilities	2.1b \$780,776 LCFF \$164,000 Title II
2.1c Tier III: Intensive Intervention (implement revised allocation plan and evaluate effectiveness of Read 180 and Systems 44; pilot and implement intervention for K-2 reading)			2.1c \$\$4498,006 LCFF
3 ,			

2.2 Increase the percentage of students who graduate	Districtwide	ALL	
college and career ready	Districtivide	OR:	-
2.2a PK-12 college going expectations and culture	PK-12		2.2a \$540,358 LCFF
development (college and higher education workshops for		X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth	2.24 75 10,000 20.1
parents and students at the secondary and expand to			
upper elementary level; digital literacy and citizenship)		X_Redesignated fluent English	
Support for specialized programs	Hawthorne, Liberty,	proficient X Other Subgroups: African	
2.2b Personalized Learning / Competency based (strategy	Gage, University, Sierra,	American, Students with Disabilities	2.2b \$390,628 LCFF
development, launch schools and capacity building)	Poly, Arlington, Monroe		2.23 \$33,023 20.1
2.2c Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID)	Grades 7-12		2.2c \$966,220 LCFF
2.2d Heritage Plan (coordination of plan; college readiness	Grades 9-12		2.2d \$253,066 LCFF
activities at sites)	Grades 3 12		2.2e \$24,000 LCFF
2.2e Puente (field trips and workshops)	Arlington, Poly, Ramona		2.2f \$358,297 LCFF
2.2f Advanced Placement (provide test fees)	Grades 9-12		2.2g \$304,788 LCFF
2.2g International Baccalaureate Middle Years Program	North High		2.2h \$65,768 LCFF
2.2h Support graduation (caps and gowns)	Grade 12		
Student support programs	0.000 12		2.2i \$577,215 LCFF
2.2i Credit and A-G recovery	Grades 9-12		
2.2j Summer programs at elementary and middle schools	7 high poverty K-6; 5		2.2j \$570,142 Title I
(schools with highest numbers of unduplicated pupils)	high poverty 7-8		
2.2k Summer school at a third high school site	Grades 9-12		2.2k \$250,000 LCFF
2.2I Reading by 3 rd (summer slide)-monitor and expand	Grades K-2		2.21 \$200,000 LCFF
2.2m Math tutoring at secondary schools	Grades 9-12		2.2m \$300,000 LCFF
	0.0.0000		
2.3 Increase quality opportunities for students and		ALL	
families to choose their educational path		OR:	
2.3a Project development (grant writing; parent choice		X Low Income pupils X English	2.3a \$161,720 LCFF
survey)		Learners X Foster Youth	
2.3b Dual Language Immersion (library books and bilingual	Washington, Mt View	X Redesignated fluent English	2.3b \$244,500 LCFF
teacher stipends)	Castle View, Jefferson	proficient X_Other Subgroups: African	
2.3c Core Knowledge (40% coordinator)	Adams, Bryant	American, Students with Disabilities	2.3c \$95,525 LCFF
2.3d Project Lead the Way (PTLW) and Gateway to PLTW	King, Earhart*	American, Students with Disabilities	2.3d \$125,000 LCFF
(materials, supplies, field trips, software and pilot at middle			
school)			
2.3e Visual and Performing Arts (VAPA) (teachers on	Central, Ramona		2.3e \$161,443 LCFF
special assignment)			
2.3f STEM Academy (transportation to underserved areas	STEM Academy		2.3f \$21,750 LCFF
of the city)			
2.3g Elementary Foreign Language pilot			2.3g TBD
	*not S/C funding		

career counseling Imple	cess to quality academic and ement comprehensive counseling with high percentage of	ALL OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities				<u>an</u>	1 \$785,07 \$25,000	72 LCFF O Title II		
2.5 Increase quality opportunities for students to participate in sequenced career pathways (Coordinate CTE action plan CTE Pathways and Curriculum, Professional Development, Virtual Courses, Partnerships) Districtwide Grades 9-12 OR: X Low Learn X Recordinate			ALL	ome pup <u>X</u> Foster \ gnated flu : <u>X</u> Other	ils <u>X</u> Eng Youth uent Eng	glish dish ups: <u>Afric</u>	2.5 an	5 \$396,25 \$5,000		
2.6 Provide increased and expanded high quality preschool programs 2.6a Professional development and coaching (Early Childhood Specialist support) 2.6b Expansion of services (Underserved areas of the city; sliding scale for non-qualifying families) 2.6c Spanish preschool program 2.6d Early Impact Program (Teen Parent Infant Center) 2.6e Parent engagement		and areas of city Jefferson	ALL OR: X Low Inc Learners 2 X Redesig proficient American	X_Foster \ gnated flu : X_Other	Youth uent Eng Subgrou	ilish ups: <u>Afric</u>	2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6	5b \$295,7 5c \$20,00	80 HS/SP 737 LCFF 90 LCFF 178 HS/S 582 LCFF 9 Title I	P*
		GOAL 2 LCAP Year 3: 2017-2	.018							
	Metric Increase % of students proficient of	on Smarter Ralanced English	All	AA	Н	LI	EL	SWD	RFEP	FY
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes	Language Arts- targets will be set targets are determined Increase % of students proficient of Mathematics- targets will be set was targets are determined	when Federal and State on Smarter Balanced								

70%

69%

74%

69%

39%

75%

62%

31%

Increase Academic Performance Index-targets will be set

Increase % of students proficient on California Standards test

when Federal and State targets are determined

in science

		1		1					
Increase % proficient on the California High School Exit Exam- Mathematics	66%	56.8%	56.8%	59.8%	22.8%	16.8%	75%	57%	
Increase % proficient on the California High School Exit Exam- English Language Arts	62%	58.8%	54.2%	53.5%	13.8%	16.8%	67%	48%	
Increase graduation rate	86.9%	86%	83%	84.3%	74.9%	81.7%	91.3%	TBD	
	80.9%	80%	65%	64.5%	74.9%	01.7%	91.5%	עפו	
Increase % of students on track for A-G completion- data									
pending	C 40/	640/	620/	620/	220/	220/	660/	250/	
Increase A-G completion rate	64%	61%	62%	62%	33%	32%	66%	35%	
Increase % of students ready on the Early Academic Placement test-Math	14%	10%	11%	13%	13%	13%	26%	15%	
Increase % of students ready on the Early Academic Placement test-English Language Arts	26%	21%	19%	19%	4%	8%	23%	20%	
Increase % of Advanced Placement exams passed with 3 or higher	52%	42%	46%	46%	52%	52%	49%	TBD	
Increase Advanced Placement enrollment rates	33.5%	26.2%	31.3%	33.4%	6%	6%	40.5%	TBD	
Increase Advanced Placement exam participation rates	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	
Increase % of International Baccalaureate exams passed with 4 or higher	50%	25%	50%	55%	25%	20%	45%	20%	
Decrease high school academic year dropout rate	2.1	2.8	2.5	2.1	2.6	3.5	1.3	4.0	
Increase % of students at benchmark on 2 nd grade end of the year DIBELS	79%	79%	77%	76%	64%	41%	89%	75%	
	1								
Increase % of English Learners reclassified in 5 years -current pe	rformanc	e 16.1%				46.1%			
Increase % of students that make yearly progress towards reclass			locally de	veloped	tool	10%			
Increase % of teachers attending PreK-2 Institute training			,			maintain			
Increase the % of teachers who implement the newly created di	gital citize	enship le	ssons			60%			
Competency Based Indicators and Assessments completion (gra	•					3 rd Grade			
Decrease middle school academic year dropout rate	,.	<u>, </u>				.08%			
Increase % of students enrolled in Career Technical Education co	ourses					37%			
Increase % of students who complete a Career Technical Educat		/av				16%			
·	Increase % of students who grow 2 grade levels within a year of Read 180/Systems 44 instruction as								
measured by lexile growth-final data pending		, - ,			-				
8						1			
Increase % of RUSD preschool attendees scoring Core on the Beginning of the Year Kindergarten DIBELS – Baseline for 14-15 was 53%						72%			
Increase % of RUSD preschool attendees scoring at the Building	Increase % of RUSD preschool attendees scoring at the Building and Integrating levels in 5 specific focus measures on the Desired Results Developmental Profile (DRDP) – Baseline for 14-15 was 85%.								

Increase score on Early Childho was 5	od Environmental Rating Scale	e specific focus measures – Baseline for 14-	6.5
Increase Classroom Assessmen 14-15 was 3.8	5.5		
		Lincoln's Early Impact Program	5% Increase
Increase teen parent attendance		·	5% Increase
Increase % of students in the S assessment (IDEL)	oanish Preschool Program (SPI	P) scoring Core on the Spanish early literac	5% Increase
Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
2.1 Close all identified achievement gaps by providing support to students whose academic needs are not met the core instructional program: Identify, evaluate, and implement districtwide multi-tiered system of support 2.1a Tier I: Core instruction (small group intervention; universal screening; support for English Learners) 2.1b Tier II: Strategic intervention (pilot and implement strength based program at 2 high, 3 middle and 1 continuation school; support and strengthen Pre-K-2 Institute implementation; pilot and implement Tier II for ELA and math) 2.1c Tier III: Intensive Intervention (continue to implement and evaluate effectiveness of Read 180 and Systems 44; pilot and implement intervention for K-2 reading)	K-12	ALL OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities	2.1a \$609,000 LCFF 2.1b \$780,776 LCFF \$164,000 Title II 2.1c \$\$4498,006 LCFF
2.2 Increase the percentage of students who graduate college and career ready 2.2a PK-12 college going expectations and culture development (college and higher education workshops) parents and students at the secondary level, upper elementary and expand to PreK-3 rd ; digital literacy and citizenship) Support for specialized programs 2.2b Personalized Learning / Competency based (strategy development, launch schools and capacity building) 2.2c Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID)	Hawthorne, Liberty, Gage, University, Sierra, Poly, Arlington, Monroe Grades 7-12	ALL OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities	2.2a \$540,358 LCFF 2.2b \$390,628 LCFF 2.2c \$966,220 LCFF
2.2dHeritage Plan (coordination of plan; college readines activities at sites)2.2e Puente (field trips and workshops)	Grades 9-12 Arlington, Poly, Ramona		2.2d \$253,066 LCFF 2.2e \$24,000 LCFF

2.2f Advanced Placement (provide test fees)2.2g International Baccalaureate Middle Years Program2.2h Support graduation (caps and gowns)	Grades 9-12 North High Grade 12		2.2f \$358,297 LCFF 2.2g \$304,788 LCFF 2.2h \$65,768 LCFF
Student support programs 2.2i Credit and A-G recovery 2.2j Summer programs at elementary and middle schools (schools with highest numbers of unduplicated pupils) 2.2k Summer school at a third high school site	Grades 9-12 7 high poverty K-6; 5 high poverty 7-8 Grades 9-12		2.2i \$577,215 LCFF 2.2j \$570,142 Title I 2.2k \$250,000 LCFF
2.2l Reading by 3 rd (summer slide)-monitor and expand 2.2m Math tutoring at secondary schools	Grades K-2 Grades 9-12		2.2l \$200,000 LCFF 2.2m \$300,000 LCFF
2.3 Increase quality opportunities for students and families to choose their educational path 2.3a Project development (grant writing; parent choice survey) 2.3b Dual Language Immersion (library books and bilingual teacher stipends) 2.3c Core Knowledge (40% coordinator) 2.3d Project Lead the Way (PTLW) and Gateway to PLTW (materials, supplies, field trips, software and pilot at middle school) 2.3e Visual and Performing Arts (VAPA) (teachers on special assignment) 2.3f STEM Academy (transportation to underserved areas of the city) 2.3.g Elementary Foreign Language (access effectiveness)	Washington, Mt View Castle View, Jefferson Adams, Bryant King, Earhart* Central, Ramona STEM Academy *Not S/C funding	ALL OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities	2.3a \$161,720 LCFF 2.3b \$244,500 LCFF 2.3c \$95,525 LCFF 2.3d \$125,000 LCFF 2.3e \$161,443 LCFF 2.3f \$21,750 LCFF 2.3g TBD
2.4 Increase student access to quality academic and career counseling Implement comprehensive counseling plan (secondary schools with high percentage of unduplicated students)	Grades7-12	ALL OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities	2.4 \$785,072 LCFF \$25,000 Title II
2.5 Increase quality opportunities for students to participate in sequenced career pathways (Coordinate CTE action plan CTE Pathways and Curriculum, Professional Development, Virtual Courses, Partnerships)	Districtwide Grades 9-12	ALL OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities	2.5 \$396,257 LCFF \$5,000 Title II

2.6 Provide increased and expanded high quality		ALL	
preschool programs 2.6a Professional development and coaching (Early Childhood Specialist support) 2.6b Expansion of services (Underserved areas of the city; sliding scale for non-qualifying families) 2.6c Spanish preschool program 2.6d Early Impact Program (Teen Parent Infant Center) 2.6e Parent engagement	High poverty schools and areas of city Jefferson Lincoln	OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities	2.6a \$107,362 LCFF \$26,880 HS/SP* 2.6b \$295,737 LCFF 2.6c \$20,000 LCFF \$269,478 HS/SP* 2.6d \$130,582 LCFF 2.6e \$6,000 Title I * HeadStart/State PreSchool

		Related State and/or Local Priorities:
GOAL 3	Fully engage students, parents and the community in support of short and long term educational outcomes	1 2 3_X_ 4 5_X_ 6_X_ 7 8 Local : Board Goals 3,6,7; VAPA Plan; SFC Partnership Plan, Student Assistance Plan
Identified N	At risk behaviors can be exhibited in disengagement from school, and can be measured the absenteeism, suspensions and expulsions. All of these behaviors are highly correlated wit (Rumberger, 2010). Higher rates of suspension and expulsion exist for socioeconomically on schools with higher concentrations. African American students, particularly males, have the district overall (5.00%). The expulsion rate for foster youth is twice the rate of the district assistance Plan will target students needed additional supports at schools by provided social risk students. Additionally increased support for Child Welfare and Attendance Manager foster youth, with needed resources. In RUSD, parent/community involvement has moved from focusing on increasing parents/in and of itself, to focusing on leveraging parents/community members' participation to describe family and Community Partnership project and the RUSD Family Resource Center provide integrated, comprehensive, and responsive to the identified needs of families, schools and (FRC) is a one-stop place where parents can gather, create connections with peers and contain and social services. We have increased the work year of staff in the FRC so that it can remediate a partnership with the adjacent Assessment Center. With this partnership, English Learne their language tested and then attend orientation workshop in the FRC. Services at the FRC Core Services: walk-in services, assistance with RUSD Parent Portal and Free and Reduce and referrals, family support services, Family Literacy, Support Groups, Connections; Parent Education and Engagement Programs: Parenting Classes, School Smarts Academy (PELI) Parent Institute for Quality Education (PIQE); Community Resources & Referrals Offered: crisis assistance, health services mental health.	rough increased absenteeism, chronic h higher incidents of dropping out of school disadvantaged children which require a focus to higher suspension rates (9.5%) compared to crict average. A recently complete Student dial-emotional counseling and other services to the ers will provide families, including those of the community members' participation as a goal frectly support student learning. Our School is an array of services and activities that are differently, and receive a variety of education ain open in the summer and have established in parents will be bringing their children to have accordingly to the community resources and community resources are include: It care include: It care include: It care the community resources are the co
	31	

	Child Development and Youth prog	grams, education programs, empl	loyment	services.						
	Student participation can help students identify with school which can reduce disengagement (Finn, 1989). Community input identifie the need for increased opportunities and access for student participation in extracurricular activities. As a result, athletics for middle school students and freshman and sophomores has been increased. We are also supporting schools with monitoring software, which will allow us to measure the activity level of students and provide additional opportunities and support to those students that are not actively involved in school. We have also increased funding and access to non-athletic activities such as elementary music, VAPA and academic competitions.							iddle which e not		
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups:	All- with support for English Proficient, African American				-	uth, red	esignate	d fluent	English
		GOAL 3								
		LCAP Year 1: 2015-20	16							
				T		Ι	1		T	
	Metric		All	AA	Н	LI	EL	SWD	RFEP	FY
	Increase positive attendance		96%	95.7%	96%	96%	96%	94.6%	97.1%	TBD
	Decrease Chronic Absenteeism	rate	5.5%	6.7%	5.5%	6.3%	5%	8%	3.4%	TBD
	Decrease suspension rates		3.7%	6.7%	3.7%	4.7%	3.9%	6.2%	3.2%	TBD
	Decrease expulsion rates		.16%	.3%	.22%	.3%	.22%	.16%	.16%	TBD
	Decrease truancy rate		23%	30%	28%	30%	24%	30%	23%	TBD
	Increase % of positive student regarding HOPE-All-48% Baselin 15-16	·	52%							
Expected Annual Measurable	Increase % of positive student regarding ENGAGEMENT-AII-55 subgroups in 15-16	·	60%							
Outcomes	Increase % of positive student re	esponses of Gallup Poll								
	regarding WELL BEING-All-58% subgroups in 15-16		61%							
	% of secondary students who page	•								
	curricular and/or extra-curricular activities- baseline year									
	! !	p with county and city agencies, i	non-prof	it agencie	es and lo	cal busin	ess to		v partner	-
	support the comprehensive nee							•	and info	rmal)
	Increase the number of families (unique) accessing the Family Resource Center and at the satellite centers in Casa Blanca and the Eastside communities 1,000 Families									
	Increase the number of parents	participating in Parent Academie	es, works	shops and	confere	nces		1,550	Families	
	Increase % of translators that at	ttend Professional Development-	90%					100%		
	Increase % of translators that ar	re authorized to translate IEPs- 5 %	6					20%		

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
3.1 Increase services for students exhibiting behaviors that are interfering with their learning 3.1a Implement Student Assistance Plan (social and emotional support personnel, Gallup Poll, Positive Behavior System of Support) 3.1b Support for Child Welfare and Attendance (Pupil Services, Foster Youth, Homeless)	Districtwide	ALL OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities	3.1a \$4,099,585 LCFF 3.1b \$538,974 LCFF
3.2 Increase quality parent/community communication, engagement and collaboration 3.2a School Family Community collaborative (coordination of services, partnerships) 3.2b Family Resource Center (at center, satellite services in underserved areas) 3.2c Workshops and academies (Parent Institute for Quality Education, Parent Engagement Leadership Institute, School Smarts, Districtwide Family Engagement Conference) 3.2d Translation services (sites with 30% on home language survey # of IEPs requiring translation)	Districtwide	ALL OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities	3.2a \$382,339 LCFF 3.2b \$120,000 LCFF 3.2c \$305,000 LCFF 3.2d \$831,028 LCFF
3.3 Increase opportunities and reduce barriers for participation in extra and co-curricular activities Activities 3.3a Science Enrichment 3.3b Elementary Music (decrease barriers and increase opportunities for students) 3.3c Activities/VAPA/student government/academic competitions Athletics 3.3d Middle School athletics 3.3e Freshman/sophomore athletics 3.3f High School athletics 3.3g Quality athletic coaching 3.3h School +2 monitoring tool	Elementary Elementary Grades 7-12 Grades 7-8 Grades 9-10 Grades 9-12 Grades 7-12 Grades 7-12 Grades 7-12	ALL OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities	3.3a \$810,935 LCFF 3.3b \$234,000 LCFF 3.3c \$1,692,450 LCFF 3.3d \$47,280 LCFF 3.3e \$587,500 LCFF 3.3f \$1,293,613 LCFF 3.3g \$22,550 LCFF 3.3h \$98,000 LCFF

GOAL 3 LCAP Year 2: 2016-2017

Metric	All	AA	Н	LI	EL	SWD	RFEP	FY
Increase positive attendance	06.49/	96.4	96.4	96.4	96.4	95.4	97.5	TBD
	96.4%		%	%	%	%	%	טפו
Decrease Chronic Absenteeism rate	5%	5.5%	5%	5.5%	5%.	6.5%	3%	TBD
Decrease suspension rates	3.2%	5.5%	3.2%	3.8%	3.2%	5%	3.1%	TBD
Decrease expulsion rates	01.40/	.025	.018	.025	.018	.014	.014	TBD
	.014%	%	%	%	%	%	%	טמו
Decrease truancy rate	20%	26%	24%	24%	21%	26%	20%	20%
Increase % of positive student responses of Gallup Poll								
regarding HOPE targets will be set by subgroup based on	55%							
baseline in 2015-16								
Increase % of positive student responses of Gallup Poll								
regarding ENGAGEMENT targets will be set by subgroup based	64%							
on baseline in 2015-16								
Increase % of positive student responses of Gallup Poll								
regarding WELL BEING targets will be set by subgroup based	65%							
on baseline in 2015-16								
% of secondary students who participate in 2 or more co-								
curricular and/or extra-curricular activities targets will be set								
by subgroup based on baseline in 2015-16								

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes

Increase and sustain partnership with county and city agencies, non-profit agencies and local business to support the comprehensive needs of students and families	15 Hew partnerships
Increase the number of families (unique) accessing the Family Resource Center and at the satellite centers in Casa Blanca and the Eastside communities	1,500 Families
Increase the number of parents participating in Parent Academies, workshops and conferences	1,900
Increase % of translators that attend Professional Development	Maintain
Increase % of translators that are authorized to translate IEPs	45%

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
3.1 Increase services for students exhibiting behaviors that	Districtwide	ALL	Experiarea
are interfering with their learning 3.1a Implement Student Assistance Plan (social and emotional support personnel, Gallup Poll, Positive Behavior System of Support)		OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth	3.1a \$4,099,585 LCFF

3.1b Support for Child W Services, Foster Youth, F	Velfare and Attendance (Pupil Homeless)		X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities					3.1b \$538,974 LCFF					
engagement and collab 3.2a School Family Coming of services, partnerships 3.2b Family Resource Counderserved areas) 3.2c Workshops and acan Education, Parent Engal Smarts, Districtwide Family	munity collaborative (coordination i) enter (at center, satellite services in ademies (Parent Institute for Quality gement Leadership Institute, School mily Engagement Conference) is (sites with 30% on home language	Districtwide	ALL OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities				OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African					00 LCFF	
participation in extra an Activities 3.3a Science Enrichment 3.3b Elementary Music (opportunities for studen 3.3c Activities/VAPA/stucompetitions	decrease barriers and increase	Districtwide Elementary Elementary Grades 7-12	ALL OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities			3.31	3.3a \$810,935 LCFF 3.3b \$351,000 LCFF 3.3c \$2,273,900 LCFF						
Athletics 3.3d Middle School athle 3.3e Freshman/sophom 3.3f High School athletic 3.3g Quality athletic coa 3.3h School +2 monitori	ore athletics cs aching	Grades 7-8 Grades 9-10 Grades 9-12 Grades 7-12 Grades 9-12 GOAL 3 LCAP Year 3: 2017	7-2018				3.36 3.31 3.38	3.3d \$59,380 LCFF 3.3e \$893,000 LCFF 3.3f \$1,718,168 LCFF 3.3g \$22,550 LCFF 3.3h \$98,000 LCFF					
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes	Metric Increase positive attendance Decrease Chronic Absenteeism rate		All 96.7% 4.5%	AA 96% 4.3%	H 96.6% 4.5%	LI 96.6% 4.7%	EL 96.6% 4.7%	SWD 95.7% 4%	RFEP 97.7% 2.6%	FY TBD TBD			

2.7%

4.3%

4.5%

4.7%

4.7%

Decrease suspension rates

5%

2.6%

TBD

Decrease expulsion rates	.12%	.2%	.14%	.2%	.14%	.12%	.12%	TBD
Decrease truancy rate	17%	26%	20%	18%	18%	22%	20%	TBD
Increase positive student responses of Gallup Poll regarding	60%							
HOPE targets will be set by subgroup based on 16-17 data	00%							
Increase positive student responses of Gallup Poll regarding								
ENGAGEMENT targets will be set by subgroup based on 16-17	70%							
data								
Increase positive student responses of Gallup Poll regarding								
WELL BEING targets will be set by subgroup based on 16-17	70%							
data								
% of secondary students who participate in 2 or more co-								
curricular and/or extra-curricular activities targets will be set								
by subgroup based on 16-17 data								

Increase and sustain partnership with county and city agencies, non-profit agencies and local business to support the comprehensive needs of students and families	20 New partnerships
Increase the number of families (unique) accessing the Family Resource Center and at the satellite centers in Casa	2,000 Families
Blanca and the Eastside communities	
Increase the number of parents participating in Parent Academies, workshops and conferences	2,300
Increase % of translators that attend Professional Development	Maintain
Increase % of translators that are authorized to translate IEPs	60%

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
3.1 Increase services for students exhibiting behaviors that	Districtwide	ALL	Experialtares
are interfering with their learning 3.1a Implement Student Assistance Plan (social and emotional support personnel, Gallup Poll, Positive Behavior System of Support) 3.1b Support for Child Welfare and Attendance (Pupil Services, Foster Youth, Homeless)		OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth	3.1a \$4,099,585 LCFF
		X_Redesignated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities	3.1b \$538,974 LCFF
3.2 Increase quality parent/community communication, engagement and collaboration	Districtwide	ALL	
3.2a School Family Community collaborative (coordination		OR: X Low Income pupils X English	3.2a \$382,339 LCFF
of services, partnerships) 3.2b Family Resource Center (at center, satellite services in underserved areas)		Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African	3.2b \$120,000 LCFF
3.2c Workshops and academies (Parent Institute for Quality Education, Parent Engagement Leadership Institute, School Smarts, Districtwide Family Engagement Conference)		American, Students with Disabilities	3.2c \$305,000 LCFF

3.2d Translation services (sites with 30% on home language survey # of IEPs requiring translation)			3.2d \$831,028 LCFF
3.3 Increase opportunities and reduce barriers for participation in extra and co-curricular activities Activities 3.3a Science Enrichment 3.3b Elementary Music (decrease barriers and increase opportunities for students) 3.3c Activities/VAPA/student government/academic competitions Athletics	Districtwide Elementary Elementary Grades 7-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities	3.3a \$810,935 LCFF 3.3b \$351,000 LCFF 3.3c \$2,273,900 LCFF
3.3d Middle School Athletics 3.3e Freshman/sophomore athletics 3.3f High School athletics 3.3g Quality athletic coaching 3.3h School +2 monitoring tool	Grades 7-8 Grades 9-10 Grades 9-12 Grades 7-12 Grades 9-12		3.3d \$59,380 LCFF 3.3e \$893,000 LCFF 3.3f \$1,718,168 LCFF 3.3g \$22,550 LCFF 3.3h \$98,000 LCFF

Annual Update

Annual Update Instructions: For each goal in the prior year LCAP, review the progress toward the expected annual outcome(s) based on, at a minimum, the required metrics pursuant to Education Code sections 52060 and 52066. The review must include an assessment of the effectiveness of the specific actions. Describe any changes to the actions or goals the LEA will take as a result of the review and assessment. In addition, review the applicability of each goal in the LCAP.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all pupils and did the provisions of those services result in the desired outcomes?
- 2) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, including, but not limited to, English learners, low-income pupils, and foster youth; and did the provision of those actions/services result in the desired outcomes?
- 3) How have the actions/services addressed the identified needs and goals of specific schoolsites and were these actions/services effective in achieving the desired outcomes?
- 4) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was examined to review progress toward goals in the annual update?
- 5) What progress has been achieved toward the goal and expected measurable outcome(s)? How effective were the actions and services in making progress toward the goal? What changes to goals, actions, services, and expenditures are being made in the LCAP as a result of the review of progress and assessment of the effectiveness of the actions and services?
- 6) What differences are there between budgeted expenditures and estimated actual annual expenditures? What were the reasons for any differences?

	LCAP Year: 2014-15					
Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	A. Increase the quality and rigor of co	e curriculum and instru	Related State and/or Local Prior 1 2 _ X _ 3 4 5 6 7 Local: Board Goal 1, LEA Plan, El	8		
Identified Need:	All students need to be provided with ri	All students need to be provided with rigorous high quality core curriculum and instruction				
Goal Applies to:	Schools: ALL Applicable Pupil Subgroups:	ALL				
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes	Recently adopted textbook rate: 100%		Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes	Recently adopted textbook rate: 100%		
	Planned Actions/Services			Actual Actions/Services		
		Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures	
Begin full implementation of the common core state standards (CCSS) in English Language Arts (ELA)/English Language Development (ELD), mathematics, and literacy in core content areas		\$0	Two staff development days in August, 2014 for all K-12 teachers; completed 3 days of mandatory K-6 professional development and after school workshops; support for teachers throughout the year with continued PD and coaching		\$0	
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide		
✓ All OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)		t	✓ All OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)			
Support and monitor implementation of the core curriculum and instruction through ongoing feedback to the instructional staff		\$377,040 LCFF	Provided support through RUSD Induction Group Meetings; utilized ELA and Math Coaches; three Assistant Principals were hired to support and monitor instruction and state standards implementation		\$330,986 LCFF	
Scope of Service:	LEA-wide		Scope of Service:	LEA-wide		
<u>√</u> All			<u>√</u> All			

	pilsEnglish Learners Re-designated fluent English proficient ups:(Specify)		Foster Youth	oupilsEnglish Learners Re-designated fluent English proficient oups:(Specify)	\$815,427
Implement Class-Size Reduction in grades 1-3 at 27:1		\$1,144,736 LCFF \$355,308 General Fund	necessary furnit	Teachers hired and assigned; classrooms setup with necessary furniture, equipment; monitored class size throughout the year	
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide	
	pilsEnglish Learners Re-designated fluent English proficient ups:(Specify)		Foster Youth	oupilsEnglish Learners Re-designated fluent English proficient oups:(Specify)	
Provide resources and support to align current materials to implement Common Core State Standards		\$2,000,000 General Fund (One-Time)	Developed units of student aligned to state standards in ELA and Math; staff developers to support curriculum development and deliver professional development; developed resources in Haiku		\$1,976,173 General Fund (One-Time)
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide	
	pilsEnglish Learners Re-designated fluent English proficient ups:(Specify)		Foster Youth	oupilsEnglish Learners Re-designated fluent English proficient oups:(Specify)	
Enhance core instruction with integrated and supplemental digital resources (e.g., Gooru, Accelerated Reader, First in Math, DOCENT)		\$201,985 LCFF		ed in Gooru; Accelerated Reader purchased included in online library	\$201,985 LCFF
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide	
✓ All OR:Low Income puFoster YouthOther Subgrou	Re-designated fluent English proficient			oupilsEnglish Learners Re-designated fluent English proficient oups:(Specify)	

Establish a base level of technology, support, and infrastructure to enhance the core curriculum, and align our system of instruction and assessment for every school and plan for phased-in expansion	\$5,450,000 General Fund (One-Time)	Infrastructure upgrade for 14 schools; equipment purchased, installation July – August 2015	\$2,659,164 General Fund (One-Time)
Scope of LEA-wide		Scope of service:	
✓ All		✓ All	
OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)		OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	
Support integration of technology into core curriculum	\$365,880 LCFF	Support for teachers and they increase use and integration of technology into core curriculum and instruction; technology staff continue to provide support for the integration efforts	\$247,007 LCFF
Scope of service:		Scope of service:	
<u>√</u> All		<u>√</u> All	
OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)		OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	
Increase support for student access to digital learning tools	\$67,731 LCFF	Students and schools supported by services provided by an Instructional Technology Technician	\$42,992 LCFF
Scope of service:		Scope of service:	
✓ All OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		✓ All OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
Research and develop a plan for refreshing teacher and classroom based technology	\$0	Plan was developed and will be implemented as funding is available	\$0
Scope of service:		Scope of service:	
<u>√</u> All		<u>√</u> All	

Foster Youth	upilsEnglish Learners Re-designated fluent Eng oups:(Specify)	lish proficient		Foster Youth	oupilsEnglish Learners Re-designated fluent English proficient roups:(Specify)	
	rise the current Gifted and Ta i) program to ensure appropi		\$0	GATE assessme 2015-16	nt tool identified and will be purchased for	\$0
Scope of service:	LEA-wide			Scope of service:	LEA-wide	
<u>✓</u> All				<u>✓</u> All		
OR:				OR:		
	upilsEnglish Learners				oupilsEnglish Learners	
	Re-designated fluent Eng	lish proficient			Re-designated fluent English proficient	
Other Subgro	oups:(Specify)				oups:(Specify)	
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? One will include survey has be districtwide or year; Units of Year; Units of All 15 goals in the state prior		de strategies related ten sent to teachers re onference; all K-12 te study are in continued the our LCAP are beingity areas and address	echnology integrigarding content factors will have to development and consolidated at the identified ne	nt days added to their calendar prior to the station and day two will be for professional collar for the day and 80+ sessions are being developed who professional development release days due and will be continually analyzed and modified for and integrated into 3 comprehensive goals that eds of RUSD students. This goal is now an Aning environments for all students.	aboration; a ed for ring the school or effectiveness. t are aligned with	
			LCAP Year	: 2014-15		
Original GOAL from prior year LCAP: B. Increase the percentage of students who meet standards we with grade level core instructional program			hen provided	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 2 _X 3 4 _X _5 6 7 8 Local: Board Goal 1,3, LEA Plan, EL Plan		
Identified Need	All students need to be provided with rigorous high quality core curriculum and instruction					
Goal Applies	Schools: ALL					
to:	Applicable Pupil Subgroup	s: AL	L			

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes	1. Increase proficiency on Smarter Balance 2. Increase proficiency on Smarter Balance 3. Increase proficiency on CST Science: All: 49.3% to 55.2%; H: 47.4% to 53.1%; LI: 46.9 11.5% to 12.9%; SWD: 27.9% to 31.2%; RFE FYI: no data 4. Increase % of English Learners reclassified data 5. Increase % of English Learners making ye towards reclassification using locally development of the score: no data 6. Increase % at benchmark on 2 nd grade D 7. Increase Academic Performance Index: 7	d Math: no data 56.9% to 62%; AA: 9% to 52.55; EL: EP: 59.8% to 65%; ed in 5 years: no early progress oped composite	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes	 Proficiency on Smarter Balanced ELA: no cease of the proficiency on Smarter Balanced Math: no as a CST Science: All: 60.9.% up 4.0%; AA: 55. LI: 51.1%; EL: 13.6%; SWD: 26.2%; RFEP: 59. 4. Percent of English Learners reclassified in 16.1% Percent of English Learners making yearly reclassification using locally developed condata Percent of students at benchmark on 2nd and 63%; AA: 63%; H: 57%; LI: 55%; EL: 46%; 88.1%; FY: 53% Academic Performance Index: New API be 	o data .7%; H: 52.0%; 0.6%; FY: 39.7% 5 years: All: progress towards propress towards propress towards progress t
	Planned Actions/Services			Actual Actions/Services	
		Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
	Monitor effectiveness of implementation of the core curriculum and modify instruction using a data team process		Committee esta recommendatio development or integrated with	\$0	
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide	
<u>√</u> All			✓ All		
OR:	e de la companya de l		OR:	e de la companya de l	
Foster Youth	oupilsEnglish LearnersRe-designated fluent English proficient oups:(Specify)		Foster Youth	oupilsEnglish Learners Re-designated fluent English proficient oups:(Specify)	
	te universal screening and monitoring	\$24,000	-	MAPS and AIMS Web pilots are in progress	\$5,225
tools for 7-12 EL Scope of	A and K-12 mathematics	LCFF	at schools and a Scope of	re being evaluated for 2015-16 expansion	LCFF
service:	Middle and High Schools		service:	Middle and High Schools	
<u>√</u> All			✓ All		
OR:	oupilsEnglish Learners		OR:	oupilsEnglish Learners	
Low Income p Foster Youth	English Learners Re-designated fluent English proficient		Low Income process Foster Youth	Re-designated fluent English proficient	
	oups:(Specify)			oups:(Specify)	

Research and develop a plan for meeting 1:1 device to student ratio across the district, while leveraging existing Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) policy	\$0	A plan has been developed and will be implemented pending available funds	\$0
Scope of service:		Scope of service: LEA-wide	
<u>√</u> All		✓ All	
OR:	-	OR:	
Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners		Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners	
Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
Increase outside-of-school internet access through		Collaboration continues with city to partner with business	
participation in a digital inclusion program	\$0	owners to offer WiFi hotspots	\$0
Scope of service:		Scope of service:	
All		All	
OR:	•	OR:	
✓ Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther		✓ Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient	
Subgroups:(Specify)		Other Subgroups:(Specify)	
Evaluate and revise the current English Language Development (ELD) program to establish a program aligned to the new ELD standards	\$0	Development of implementation plan, ELD Standards supporting TK-12 documents; presentation of transition plan to TK-12; development of ELD lessons to correspond to units of study; identified site-level ELD mentors to transitions sites to new standards; developed pilot ELD standard classroom assessments in TK-6	\$0
Scope of service:		Scope of service: LEA-wide	
All		All	
OR:	-	OR:	
Low Income pupils \(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \) English LearnersFoster Youth		Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth	
Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
Revise the criteria for reclassification of English Language			
Learners to Fluent English Proficient and develop criteria	\$0	Identified and implemented new reclassification criteria; First wave of reclassification in December and second	\$0
and composite scoring utilizing multiple measures for yearly progress toward reclassification	υÇ	February-April	ŞU
Scope of service: LEA-wide		Scope of service:	

All					All	
OR:Low Income pupils ✓ English LearnersFoster Youth ✓ Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		th		pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth ted fluent English proficientOther ecify)		
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals		tools will developn school we progress All 15 goo the state	be implemented in 202 nent/collaboration for a orkshops; EL mentors or toward reclassification als in the our LCAP are lapriority areas and address.	.5-16; Data Team profil teachers during Pontinued and reclass tool currently in dependence of the consolidated ess the identified no	ess community on Digital Inclusion program; universal screening rocesses will be integrated with professional PD days; ELD training will continue during PD days and after sification criteria will be monitored for effectiveness; Yearly velopment and will be utilized in 2015-16. and integrated into 3 comprehensive goals that are aligned with eeds of RUSD students. These actions are integrated into a quality teaching and learning environments for all students.	
				LCAP Y	ear: 2014-15	
Original GOAL from prior year LCAP: C. Close all identified achievement gaps by providing support whose academic needs are not met in the core instruction			Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 2 3 4 _X 5 6 7 8 _X			
						Local: <u>Board Goal 1, 2; EL Plan</u>
Identified Need	All RUSD students need to be provided with rigorous high quality core curriculum and instruction					
Goal Applies	Schools:	ALL				
to:	Applicable Pup	il Subgroup	s:	ALL		

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes	1. Increase proficiency on Smarter Balance 2. Increase proficiency on Smarter Balance 3. Increase proficiency on CST Science: All: 49.3% to 55.2%; H: 47.4% to 53.1%; LI: 46.9 11.5% to 12.9%; SWD: 27.9% to 31.2%; RFE FYI: no data 4. Increase % of English Learners reclassified data 5. Increase % of English Learners making yetowards reclassification using locally developed score: no data 6. Increase % of students at benchmark on 7. Increase Academic Performance Index: redeveloped	d Math: no data 56.9% to 62%; AA: 9% to 52.55; EL: EP: 59.8% to 65%; ed in 5 years: no early progress oped composite 2nd grade DIBELS:	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes	1. Proficiency on Smarter Balanced ELA: no of 2. Proficiency on Smarter Balanced Math: no 3. CST Science: All: 60.9.% up 4.0%; AA: 55. LI: 51.1%; EL: 13.6%; SWD: 26.2%; RFEP: 59. 4. Percent of English Learners reclassified in 5. Percent of English Learners making yearly reclassification using locally developed compondata 6. Percent of students at benchmark on 2 nd g 63%; AA: 63%; H: 57%; LI: 55%; EL: 46%; SW 88.1%; FY: 53% 7. Academic Performance Index: new API be	data 7%; H: 52.0%; 9.6%; FY: 39.7% 5 years: 16.1% progress towards posite score: All: grade DIBELS: All: D: 28%; RFEP:
	Planned Actions/Services			Actual Actions/Services	
		Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
	Build capacity to strengthen the Multi-Tier System of Support (MTSS) at all schools		One full time Instructional Services Specialist (ISS) to work collaboratively to develop and guide implementation of a MTSS. Specialist supervises the Read 180 program and Teacher on Special Assignment; research, conduct pilot activities and working with teams of teachers and administrators to establish a districtwide system of support		\$68,837 LCFF
Youth X Re-de			X_ Re-designate	pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth ed fluent English proficient X Other	
Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroup: African American and Students with Disabilities Establish base Tier II strategic ELA and mathematics intervention including resources and curriculum for grades 1-12 that best support success in the core program \$810,000 LCFF		·	Support and tra Language Arts c on-site staff dev interventions ar	ining collaboration in strategic English lasses; K-6 support through Haiku resources, relopment by site and grade level; strategic being piloted; results analyzed and ons made for implementation; no funds action	\$0

Scope of service:		Scope of service:	LEA-wide	
All		All		
OR:		OR:		
X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster		_	pupils X English Learners X Foster	
Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other			esignated fluent English proficient X Other	
Subgroup: <u>African American and Students with Disabilities</u>			an American and Students with Disabilities	
Establish base Tier III intensive ELA and mathematics intervention/core replacement including resources and curriculum for K-3 and 4-12 that best support success in the core program	\$3,395,698 LCFF	elementary site support a class and materials; F provide profess	Read 180/Systems 44 base allocation 1 FTE at each elementary site; 40% teacher per secondary Tier III to support a class size of no more than 20:1; licenses, devices and materials; Read 180 Teacher on Special Assignment to provide professional development and provide support to teachers and program implementation	
Scope of service:		Scope of service:	LEA-wide	
All		All	All	
OR:		OR:	OR:	
X_ Low Income pupils X_ English Learners X_ Foster		X_Low Income pupils X_ English Learners X_ Foster		
Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient		Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient		
X Other Subgroup: African American and Students with		X Other Subgroup: African American and Students with		
<u>Disabilities</u>		<u>Disabilities</u>	h l a company for eller and a company of the compan	

What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goal?

Tutoring services will be provided 2015-16 for English Learners to facilitate their access to the core curriculum and accelerate progress towards English Language proficiency; Universal screening tool will be used districtwide; Tier II (strategic interventions) funds were not expended this year although research, development and piloting has begun; As we continue to pilot and begin implementation, it is estimated that this cost will be less next year and is reflected in the 2015-16 LCAP; during the 2015-16 school year, money funds will be allocated for Read 180 in 2015-16, however, in depth data analysis of the effective of the Read 180/Systems 44 will take place and a reevaluation of allocation formula for Tier III will occur to ensure an increased focus and services for unduplicated students; we will also be piloting and implementing an intensive intervention for K-2 reading with a focus on English Language Learners.

All 15 goals in the our LCAP are being consolidated and integrated into 3 comprehensive goals that are aligned with the state priority areas and address the identified needs of RUSD students. This goal is now an Action/Service under Goal 2: Prepare all students to be college, career and world ready upon graduation. The action/service for 2015-2018 is: 2.1 Close all identified achievement gaps by providing support to students whose academic needs are not met in the core instructional program: Identify, evaluate, and implement districtwide multi-tiered system of support.

	LCAP Year: 2014-15					
Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	D. Increase the effectiveness of teachers			Related State and/or Local Prior 1 _X _ 2 _X _ 3 4 _X _5 _ 6 7 _ Local: Board Goal 1,2, 4; LEA Plan an	8	
Identified Need	All RUSD students need to be provided wit	h rigorous high qualit	y core curriculum	and instruction		
Goal Applies	Schools: ALL					
to:	Applicable Pupil Subgroups: ALI	<u>L</u>				
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes	1.Increase number of teachers trained in P 2.Increase % of staff that complete profess		Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes	1.Number of teachers trained in PK-2 Institute: 40% to 74% 2.% of staff that complete professional development: Secondary required days at start of year: 92.2% Elementary required days at start of year: 94.9% Elementary required during the year: 76% attended all 3 days 98% attended 2 of 3		
Planned Actions/Services			Actual Actions/Services			
		Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures	
mathematics, ar pedagogy, and d	Provide professional development K-12 in CCSS, ELA, ELD, mathematics, and literacy standards for content \$4,699,75 pedagogy, and data analysis including teacher and student use of technology		Add two additional work days for professional development in the CCSS implementation and instructional shifts for all teachers; substitute 3 release days for PD for all elementary teachers with full time staff developers/instructional coaches; secondary teacher follow up throughout the year with PD and collaboration with 20% released staff developers/instructional coaches; in ELA and Math at each site		\$4,219,312 CCSS Grant	
	LEA-wide pupilsEnglish LearnerFoster Youth d fluent English proficientOther			pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth		

_	-8 math content area knowledge elopment including teacher and student y	\$358,934 Title II	subject and sec	Provided professional development to elementary multiple subject and secondary single subject math teachers specific to content and effectiveness strategies at their grade level		
Scope of service:	4-8 grade teachers (math)		Scope of service:	4-8 grade teachers (math)		
<u>✓</u> AII			<u>√</u> All			
OR:			OR:			
	upilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth fluent English proficientOther ify)			oupilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth d fluent English proficientOther cify)		
Expand the Pre K – 2 Institute from 12 to 20 sites with training, observations and coaching		\$154,534 Title II	Team and teacher support for data analysis provided as webinars, online resources, videos and team meetings; modified institute provided, as appropriate, for preschool teachers; implementation monitoring with discussions and walk thru conducted with principals		\$50,756 Title II	
Scope of service:	Teachers at Alcott, Beatty, Bryant, Harrison, Liberty, Magnolia, Victoria, Washington-All preschool teachers		Scope of service:	Teachers at Alcott, Beatty, Bryant, Harrison, Liberty, Magnolia, Victoria, Washington-All preschool teachers		
All			All			
OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroup: African American and Students with Disabilities			OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroup: African American and Students with Disabilities			
Provide professional development for Tier II interventions for district administration and teachers		\$0	Professional development for schools involved in strategic intervention pilots; strategic intervention PD built into Haiku and other digital resources		\$0	
Scope of service:	At pilot schools		Scope of service:	At pilot schools		
All			All			
Youth <u>X</u> Re-de	oupils X English Learners X Foster signated fluent English proficient X Other namerican and Students with Disabilities		X Re-designate	pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth ed fluent English proficient X Other an American and Students with Disabilities		

Provide professional development for Tier III interventions for district administrators and teachers		\$38,000 Title II	Provided professional development for Read 180; 4 days of face to face PD for secondary; ELA/ELD shifts; ongoing coaching and support; 3 days of PD for; General Education SDC and 3-4 elementary SCD; coaching and follow-up support		\$29,967 Title II
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide	
All			All		
YouthRe-des	upils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster ignated fluent English proficient <u>X</u> Other American and Students with Disabilities		OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroup: African American and Students with Disabilities		
in the Next Gener	nal development for key teacher leaders ration Science Standards (NGSS) and moving schools from awareness to full by 2017-18	S) and \$39,416 Professional development, curriculum development, and			\$39,416 Title II
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide	
✓ All			<u>√</u> All		
OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			OR: Low Income p Re-designated Subgroups:(Spe		
Ensure that systems, including induction programs, are in place to support and foster continuous development of teachers and managers in years 1-3		\$135,857 LCFF \$65,000 Title II	Specialist for induction program; workshops and seminars that incorporate a sequenced structure of extended preparation and PD that prepares 1 st and 2 nd year teachers to meet the academic learning needs of students; Funds to support internal and external leadership building programs		\$139,357 LCFF \$106,961 General Fund
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide	
✓ All			✓ AII		
	pilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth fluent English proficientOther fy)		OR: Low Income p Re-designated Subgroups:(Spe		
Establish and imp development prop	lement a substitute teacher professional gram	\$10,000 Title II	Training for sub	stitutes occurred without expenses incurred	\$0

_							
Scope of	LEA-wide			Scope of	LEA-wide		
service:				service:	service:		
✓ All				✓ All			
OR:			,	OR:			
		earnersFoster	routh		pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth		
Subgroups:(Speci		oficientOther		Subgroups:(Spe	ed fluent English proficientOther		
Analysis of DIBELS data at schools where to achievement, therefore the institute will be rollout that was previously planned (make success of the elementary model, Staff De model in 2015-16 with full release instead for professional development, coaching ar Professional Development days at the beg participants, more funds are being allocated. All 15 goals in the our LCAP are being constitute will be rollout that was previously planned (make success of the elementary model, Staff De model in 2015-16 with full release instead for professional Development days at the beg participants, more funds are being allocated. All 15 goals in the our LCAP are being constitute will be rollout that was previously planned (make success of the elementary model, Staff De model in 2015-16 with full release instead for professional Development, coaching are participants, more funds are being allocated.			ols where teachers had itute will be expanded need (makeup sessioned). Staff Developments in the paragraph oaching and collaborated the beginning of the beginning of the beginning of the supposessing consolidated aress the identified needs the ching and learning expands.	ave been trained in PK-2 Institute indicates hig ed to all schools in the summer months of 2015 as will be offered during the school year, as need to Specialists at the secondary schools will follow the time model in 2014-15. This will allow for moration with teacher teams. All K-12 teachers with the year and 2 throughout the year. Due to insort our beginning teacher induction program. and integrated into 3 comprehensive goals that eds of RUSD students. This goal is now an Action program and integrated into 3 to the year. However, Action the college, career and world ready upon gradules.	cessary). Due to w the elementary ore focused time ill have 2 creased tare aligned with on/Service under as/Services as it		
			LCAP	'ear: 2014-15			
0.555-1.0041					Related State and/or Local Pri	orities:	
Original GOAL from prior year	E. Increase the	number of highly	qualified, skilled profess	onals who apply for	1 <u>X</u> 2 <u>3</u> 4 <u>5</u> 6 7	8	
LCAP:	and accept	employment wit	n RUSD				
207111.					Local: Board goal 1, 2	Local: Board goal 1, 2	
Identified Need	All RUSD stude	nts need to be pro	vided with rigorous high q	uality core curriculur	m and instruction		
Goal Applies	Schools:	ALL					
to:	Applicable Pup	il Subgroups:	ALL				
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes	Increase % of H	rease % of Highly Qualified Teachers: 97.5%		Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes	Increase % of Highly Qualified Teachers: 9	7.33%	

Planned Actions/Services			Actual Actions/Services			
			Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
Ensure that all sal peer districts	aries in RUSD are competiti	ve with our	\$0		peech Language Pathologists increase additional 15 minutes of planning time.	\$5,132,289
Scope of service:	LEA-wide			Scope of service:	LEA-wide	
<u>√</u> All				<u>✓</u> All		
OR:				OR:		
	pilsEnglish LearnersF				oupilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth defined the control of th	
Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			Subgroups:(Spe			
Expand RUSD's participation in local and national recruiting activities that attract diverse and qualified applicants with special attention to high need credentialing		\$30,000 Title II	Marketing materials, travel expenses, and substitutes		\$28,924	
Scope of service:	LEA-wide			Scope of service:	LEA-wide	
<u>√</u> All				<u>√</u> All		
OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			
Funds have been allocated in the 2015-16 LCAP to ensure that CTE teachers meet credentialing requirem order to attract and retain highly effective and qualified personnel, compensation has been increased for What changes in actions, services, and				•		

What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?

All 15 goals in the our LCAP are being consolidated and integrated into 3 comprehensive goals that are aligned with the state priority areas and address the identified needs of RUSD students. This goal will be an Action/Service in Goal 1: Provide high quality teaching and learning environments for all students. Inductions program will be added to this action/service under Goal I, as well.

Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	F. Increase student access for quality	academic and caree	Related State and/or Local Pri 1 2 3 4 _X _5 6 7 _ Local: Board Goal 2; Comprehensive 0	<u>X</u> 8 <u>X</u>	
Identified Need	All RUSD students need to graduate hi	gh school prepared fo	or college and career		
Goal Applies to:	Schools: ALL Applicable Pupil Subgroups: ALL				
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes	1. Decrease Academic Year dropout rate: All: 3.4% to 3%; AA: 5.6% to 5%; H:3.9% to 3.4%; LI: 3.5% to 3%; EL: 4.1% to 3.5%; SWD: 5.1% to 1.6%; FY: No data 2. Decrease Middle School Academic Year dropout rates: 0.1% to 0.095% 3. Increase Graduation Rate: All: 85.3%; AA: 84.6%; H: 80.3%; LI: 82.4%; EL: 69.9%; SWD: 79.2%; RFEP: maintain FY: No data		Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes	Measurable 2. Middle School Academic Year dropout rates: 0.31% 3. Graduation Rate: All: 87.3% up 1.8%: AA: 86.1%-	
	Planned Actions/Services			Actual Actions/Services	
		Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
Academic and Care and implement pha	students by redesigning the RUSD er Counseling Plan by December 2014, use I of redesigned plan at schools with of disadvantaged students	\$434,167 LCFF	RUSD Academic and Career Counseling Plan redesigned and integrated with the newly developed Student Assistance Plan.		\$0
service:	Middle and High Schools		service:	service:	
All OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups:(Specify)			OR: X_ Low Income pup	 X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other 	
Subgroups:(Specify) Provide professional development for counselors and administrators on the RUSD Academic and Career Counseling Plan and provide opportunities for counselors to attend conferences		\$25,000 Title II	Counselors attended statewide conferences and were involved in the creation of the Academic and Career Counseling Plan		\$14,525 Title II

	Scope of service: ✓ All OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
\$0	District and schools co-sponsor of the Riverside College and Career Fair on October 1 st with thousands in attendance; October 4 th Pathways to High Education Conference cosponsor	\$0
	Scope of service:	
	✓ All OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
\$30,000 LCFF	Workshops held at school sites and at the Family Resource Center	\$30,214 LCFF
	Scope of service: High Schools	
	OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American and Students with Disabilities	
\$20,000 LCFF	Workshops held at school sites and at the Family Resource Center	\$20,000 LCFF
	Scope of service: Middle Schools	
	\$30,000 LCFF	service: Middle and High School All

			7	r		
OR:				OR:		
	oupils X English Learners				ls X English Learners	
X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English			X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient			
	her Subgroups: <u>African Ame</u>	<u>rican and</u>			African American and Students with	
Students with Di	<u>isabilities</u>			<u>Disabilities</u>		
Commont the avia	tina Havitana Dlan and manit		¢201.700	Coordinator and .2 te	eacher for each of the seven high	¢101 F73
	ting Heritage Plan and monit		\$201,709	schools; counselors a	and teacher meetings and professional	\$181,572
effectiveness of	the plan using a data team a	pproacn	LCFF	development; field to	trips and parent involvement	LCFF
Scope of				Scope of		
service:	High Schools			service:	h Schools	
All				All		
OR:				OR:		
	upilsEnglish LearnersF	actor Vouth		•	sEnglish LearnersFoster Youth	
· —	d fluent English proficient _X				ent English proficient <u>X</u> Other	
		Other				
Subgroups: Afric	an American	I		Subgroups: African A		
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? Community as added at the lime was need therefore, 7 r in 2015-16. All 15 goals in the state prior		lination of services and sistant added to reace Family Resource Centuded to develop the conew school counselors on the our LCAP are being the areas and address on the course of the cou	d support for English La h out to families; increa er (in Goal 3); counselor unseling plan and ensu s will be hired at the 7 h and consolidated and intentions to the identified needs of	anguage Learners and Foster Youth; Heritase number of College and Career works ors were not able to be hired in Decembe are that it was integrated with the Studer highest need (% of unduplicated pupils) so integrated into 3 comprehensive goals that of RUSD students. This goal will be an Acticollege, career and world ready upon graces.	tage Plan hops will be r because more at Assistance Plan, econdary schools t are aligned with on/Service in the	
			LCAP Year	r: 2014-15		
					Related State and/or Loca	l Priorities:
Original GOAL					1 2 <u>X</u> 3 4 <u>X</u> 5 6 <u>)</u>	X 7 X 8 X
from prior	G. Increase the percentage	e of students w	tho graduate college a	and career ready		
year LCAP:					Local: <u>Board Goal 1; A</u>	<u>VID Plan</u>
Identified	All RUSD students need to	graduate high s	school prepared for co	llege and career	-	
Need		D. 300000 111811 0				
Goal Applies	Schools: ALL					
to:	Applicable Pupil Subgroup	s: EL	, Foster, Low Income			

1. Increase A-G completion rate: All: 34.5% to 39%; AA: 28.2% to 33%; H: 27.5% to 33%; LI: 7.4% to 15%; EL: 7.4% to 15%; SWD: 4.5% to 10%; RFEP: 36.9% to 39%; FY: No data 2. Increase % ready or conditional on Early Academic Placement Test in Math: All: 105 to 12%; AA: 4% to 7%; H: 5% to 8%; LI: 7% to 10%; EL: 7% to 10%; SWD: 8% to 10%; RFEP:22% to 24%; FY: No data 3. Increase % ready or conditional on Early Academic Placement Test in ELA: All: 22% to 24%; AA: 15% to 18%; H: 13% to 16%; LI:13% to 16%; EL: 1% to 2%; SWD: 4% to 6%; RFEP: 19% to 21%; FY: No data 4. Increase proficiency on California High School Exit Exam in Math: All: 58% to 60%; AA: 48% to 50.2%; H: 48% to 50.2%; LI: 51% to 53%; EL: 14% to 16.2%; SWD: 8% to 10%; RFEP: 67% to 69%; FY: No data 5. Increase proficiency on California High School Exit Exam in **Expected** ELA: All: 54% to 56%; AA: 50% to 52.2%; H: 46% to 48.2%; LI: Annual 45% to 47.2%; EL: 5% to 7.2%; SWD: 8% to 10.2%; RFEP: 59% Measurable to 61%: Outcomes FY: No data 6. Increase % of students on track for A-G completion: No baseline 7. Increase % International Baccalaureate exams passed with 4 or higher: No baseline 8. Increase % Advanced Placement exams passed with 3 or higher: All: 48% to 50%; AA: 34% to 38%; H: 38% to 42%; LI: 38% to 42%; EL: 46% to 49%; SWD: 50% to 53%; RFEP: 43% to 46%: FY: No data 9. Increase Advanced Placement enrollment rates: All: 31.9%; AA: 24.5%; H: 29.2%; LI: 26.8%; EL: 5.6%; SWD: 5.6%; RFEP: 38.5%; FY: No data 10. Increase # of Advanced Placed sections offered 2nd semester: 246 to 255 11. Increase Advanced Placement Exam participation rate: All: 95%; AA: 95%; H: 95%; LI: 95%; EL: 95%; SWD: 95%; RFEP:

95%; FY: No data

1. A-G completion rate: All: 39% up 41%; AA: 33% to 33.2%; H: 34.3% to 33.2%; LI: 15% to 34.1%; EL: 15% to 7.2%; SWD: 4.6%; RFEP: 44.9%; FY: 13.6% 2. Early Academic Placement Test in Math: All: 8.3% down 1.8%; AA: 4.1%-3.9%; H: 5.3%-5.2%; EL: 7.3%-7.3%; LI: 6.7%-5.6%; SWD: 7.1%-0.0%; RFEP: 7.8%-6.4%; FY: 0% 3. Early Academic Placement Test in ELA: All: 21.5% up 0.1%; AA: 14.8%-14.6%; H: 13.4%-14.5%; LI: 13.4%-14.3%; EL: 0.8%-0.4%; SWD: 3.3%-1.1%; RFEP: 13.7%-17.3%; FY: 0% 4. California High School Exit Exam in Math: All: 66% up 5%; AA: 49.0%-56.0%; H:54.0%-58.0%; LI:53.0%-57.0%; EL: 15.0%-22.0%; SWD: 9.0%-17.0%; RFEP: 68.0%-68.0%; FY: 33% 5. California High School Exit Exam in ELA: All: 58% up 2%: AA: 52.%-52%; H: 48.%-49%; LI:47.%-48%; EL: 5%-4%; SWD: 9%-11%: RFEP: 60%-58%: FY: 24% 6. Students on track for A-G completion: data pending 7. International Baccalaureate exams passed with 4 or higher: All: 34%; AA: 9%; H: 33%; LI: 39%; EL: 0%; SWD: 0%; RFEP: 28%; FY: 0% 8. Advanced Placement exams passed with 3 or higher: All: 44.8%; AA: 31.6%; H: 35.9%; LI: 32.7%; EL: 52.8%; SWD: 33.3%; FY: no data 9. Advanced Placement enrollment rates: All: 30.4% up 1.4%; AA: 20.3%-22.3%; H: 25.4%-27.9%; LI: 24.6%- 27.4%; EL: 6.1%-10%; SWD: 1.8%- 1.7%; RFEP: 38.2%-39.2%; FY: 2.9%no data 10. Advanced Placed sections offered 2nd semester: no data 11. Advanced Placement Exam participation rate: All: 88%; AA: 79.8%; H: 90.1%; LI: 90.1%; EL: 84.6%; RFEP: 89.7%; SWD: 100%; FY: 100%

Actual Annual

Measurable

Outcomes

Planned Actions/Services			Actual Actions/Services		
		Budgeted Expenditures	Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures		
Advancement Via II	ncrease the quality and fidelity of our ndividual Determination (AVID) and to Alternative Education sites	\$40,000 LCFF	Planning time and professional development; AVID program \$40,000 expansion to alternative sites LCFF		
Scope of service:	Middle and High Schools		Scope of service: All		
OR: X Low Income pup X Re-designated fl	w Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth -designated fluent English proficient X Other oups: African American Students		OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American Students		
Expand credit recov	very programs to all high schools	\$453,015 LCFF	One full time teacher was hired for credit recovery programs at each comprehensive high school \$519,658 LCFF		
service:	Grades 9-12		Scope of Grades 9-12		
OR: X Low Income pup X Re-designated fl	All OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American Students		All OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American Students		
Establish a summer program for at risk students in 3 elementary schools with the highest concentration of disadvantaged students (3 weeks, up to 4 hour a day program for 25% of incoming 1st-6th grade students at each school)		\$97,526 Title I	Summer program for at risk students at Longfellow, \$48,763 Highgrove and Liberty Elementary Schools Title I		
Scope of service:	Longfellow, Liberty and Highgrove		Scope of service: Longfellow, Liberty and Highgrove		
X_ Re-designated fl	pils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth luent English proficient <u>X</u> Other <u>American Students</u>		All OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American Students		

Establish a summer program for at risk students in 2 middle schools with the highest concentration of disadvantaged students (3 weeks, up to 4 hour a day program for 25% of incoming 7th and 8th grade students at each school)		\$70,889 Title I	Summer progra Chemawa Midd	\$38,000 Title I	
Scope of service:	University and Chemawa Middle Schools		Scope of service:	University and Chemawa Middle Schools	
All			All		
OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American Students			OR: \underline{X} Low Income \underline{X} Re-designated \underline{X} Other Subgr		
Increase access for incoming and current high school students to summer school programs by adding a third site		\$350,000 LCFF	Additional high	\$210,085 LCFF	
Scope of service:	High Schools		Scope of service:	High Schools	
√ All			√ All		
OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			OR: Low Income p Re-designate Other Subgro		
Require all juniors to take the Early Assessment Program (EAP) assessment and place students in a class which supports college entrance		\$0	Students took SBAC in 11 th grade and performance levels determine placement for senior year to support college entrance		\$0
Scope of service:	High School juniors		Scope of service: High School juniors		
✓ All			√ All		
OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		

Implement a full access program that funds all Advanced Placement test fees and International Baccalaureate	\$470,288 LCFF		Pay \$90 per AP exam, \$800 per IB Diploma candidate Baccalaureate (IB) fees for all students	
Scope of service: High Schools		Scope of service:	High Schools	
All		All		
OR: X Low Income pupils English Learners _ Foster Youth Re-designated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups:(Specify)		OR: X Low Income Foster Youth Other Subgro		
Implement a full access program that pays for graduation expenses for all graduating seniors	\$177,750 LCFF	To support need cost for Caps an	\$91,798 LCFF	
Scope of service: High Schools		Scope of service:	High Schools	
All		All		
OR: X Low Income pupils _ English Learners X Foster Youth _ Re-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)		OR: X Low Income X Foster Youth Other Subgro		
Support the initial implementation of the Middle Years IB Program at North High School	\$362,412 General Fund	Increase the number of teachers in the IB program from 2.2 to 6.2		\$372,682 LCFF
Scope of Service: North High School		Scope of service:	North High School	
✓ All		✓ AII		
OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR:Low Income pRe-designated Subgroups:(Spe	h o o o o delo d to	

What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?

Due to the decrease in end of year compared to beginning of year DIBELS achievement, funds have been added to design and pilot a program for K-2 students to prevent the "summer slide" and support student success; RUSD will continue to support students by covering costs for a maximum of 3 AP tests per year: expand AVID program per district AVID plan by serving 35 more students per year; funds have been reduced for caps and gowns for all seniors due to RUSD volume purchase plan

All 15 goals in the our LCAP are being consolidated and integrated into 3 comprehensive goals that are aligned with the state priority areas and address the identified needs of RUSD students. This goal will be an Action/Service in Goal 2 of the 2015-18 LCAP: Prepare all students to be college, career and world ready upon graduation.

	LCAP Year: 2014-15						
Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	H. Increase quality opportunities for students to participate in sequenced career pathways Related State and/or Local F 1 X 2 X 3 4 X 5 X 6 Local: Board Goal 2, 3; CT						
Identified Need	All RUSD students need to graduate high s	chool prepared for co	llege and career				
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All High Schools Applicable Pupil Subgroups: AL	L					
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 Increase CTE Pathway Completion rate A Increase % enrolled in Career Technical I 1.9% to 2% 		Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes: 1. CTE Pathway Completion rate All: 4.6%; AA: 4.5%; H: 4 LI: 4.7%; EL: 5.5%; SWD: 4.6%; RFEP: 4.6%; FY: 5.8% 2. Enrolled in Career Technical Educational courses: 1.89 down 0.1%				
	Planned Actions/Services			Actual Actions/Services			
		Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures		
Plan to reflect cu	Revise the current Career and Technical Education (CTE) Plan to reflect current pathways and long range development of new CTE opportunities		Provide teacher additional hours and/or substitutes for CTE plan development \$0		\$0		
Scope of service:	Grades 9-12		Scope of service:	Grades 9-12			
✓ All OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)				oupilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth d fluent English proficientOther cify)			
Increase support and coordination of the CTE program; Coordinate pathways and courses (Perkins, California Partnership Academies, ROP and other pathways; Develop courses and articulation agreements, recruit and foster business partnerships for student internships, field trips and guest speakers, serve as liaison with industry sectors		\$188,121 LCFF	Hired a CTE coordinator, joined Greater Riverside Chamber of Commerce to begin expanding partnerships; participated in consortium development of California Career Partnership Trust funding opportunities		\$64,388 LCFF		
Scope of service:	Grades 9-12		Scope of service:	Grades 9-12			
<u>√</u> All			<u>√</u> All				

	oilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth luent English proficientOther /)		OR:Low Income Re-designate Subgroups:(Spe		
•	students by creating two new CTE plemented in year 2	\$5,000 LCFF	Arlington HS (Biomedical Project Lead the Way) and Educational Options Center (Game Design) designing new pathways		\$7,334 LCFF
Scope of service:	Arlington and Educational Options Center		Scope of service:	Arlington and Educational Options Center	
	oilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth luent English proficientOther /)		✓ All OR:Low Income Re-designate Subgroups:(Spe		
•	y and rigor of current CTE courses and te Common Core State Standards and s for A-G approval	\$2,000 LCFF	I II(a-g alignment, revised Perkins min-grant annication to		
Scope of service:	Grades 9-12		Scope of service:	Grades 9-12	
✓ All OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)				pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth d fluent English proficientOther ccify)	
Provide ongoing and relevant professional development to CTE staff		\$5,000 Title II	Staff attended Education for Careers Conference, CTE Summer Institute; coordinator worked with Personnel to negotiate a MOU with the Orange County Department of Education to ensure that all CTE teachers are credentialed appropriately for their teaching assignment		\$400 Title II
Scope of service:	Grades 9-12		Scope of service:	Grades 9-12	
✓ All OR:			✓ All		
Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		

	thway Collaborative (advisor lips with postsecondary and		\$0			ling post-secondary and industry chers, administrators, and	\$0
Scope of service:	Grades 9-12			Scope of service:	Grades 9-	12	
√ All				√ All			
OR:				OR:			
	upilsEnglish LearnersF					nglish LearnersFoster Youth	
	fluent English proficient(Other				lish proficientOther	
Subgroups:(Spec	ify)			Subgroups:(Spe	cify)		
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? A Memorandum of Understanding/contract has been developed between RUSD and the Orange Count Department of Education for our teachers to participate in their program for credentialing; teachers we summer of 2015; funds increased in 2015-16 to establish two new pathways in high need industry sect CTE programs, courses and pathways will be increased by utilizing on line resources via the Riverside V visits to CART (Center for Advanced Research and Technology), a partnership between Clovis and Frest Districts, are scheduled and conversations will continue regarding feasibility for a like program in RUSD All 15 goals in the our LCAP are being consolidated and integrated into 3 comprehensive goals that are the state priority areas and address the identified needs of RUSD students. This goal will be an Action/Goal 2: Prepare all students to be college, career and world ready upon graduation. Support for CTE creating for the control of					ers will begin in sectors; access to de Virtual School; resno School USD. t are aligned with cion/Service in		
			LCAP Year	: 2014-15			
Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:				Related State and/or Loca 1 2 3 4 _X _5 _X _6 _ Local: Board Goal 2, 3 Personalized Learning Plan a	7_X8_X 3, 6, 7;		
Identified Need	All RUSD students need to	graduate high s	chool prepared for co	llege and career			
Goal Applies to:	Schools: ALL Applicable Pupil Subgroup	s: ALI	L				
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	ncrease % of responses on parent surveys for school choice - Baseline Increase enrollment in schools of choice - Baseline Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes: Increase % of responses on parent surveys for school cho Survey will occur in fall of 2015 Increase enrollment in schools of choice - No final data						

Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services		
	Budgeted Expenditures		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures	
Expand opportunities for securing enhanced funding to support student learning, school needs and programs of choice by restoring the funding for the dedicated grant writer	\$131,619 LCFF	Additional funding to support student achievement sought by a dedicated manager responsible for grants and project development	\$96,060 LCFF	
Scope of service:		Scope of LEA-wide		
✓ All OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		✓ All OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
Survey families and the community to determine interest and satisfaction of school choice opportunities based on specialized school programs	\$10,000 LCFF	Survey is currently in discussion and development; survey will occur in fall of 2015	\$0	
Scope of LEA-wide		Scope of service:		
✓ All OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth _Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		✓ All OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners_Foster Youth _Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
Research, explore and develop a plan to eliminate barriers to participation in school of choice programs	\$0	Established an outreach plan to 3 areas of the city and will provide bussing for students in those areas to the Riverside STEM Academy; held parent information sessions in the community for STEM; outreach activities and information sessions regarding schools of choice held at the Family Resource Center	\$0	
Scope of service:		Scope of service: LEA-wide		
All OR: X_Low Income pupilsX_ English Learners X_ Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroup: African American and Students with Disabilities		All OR: X_ Low Income pupilsX_ English Learners X_ Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroup: African American and Students with Disabilities		

Evaluate parent/community surveys and develop programs of choice that address the needs of all students and close the achievement gap for disadvantaged students		\$0	Parent/community survey will occur in fall of 2015 with analysis and discussion of need and feasibility shortly thereafter		\$0
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide	
<u>√</u> All			<u>✓</u> All		
OR:			OR:		
	pilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth			oupilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth	
	fluent English proficientOther			d fluent English proficientOther	
Subgroups:(Specif			Subgroups:(Spe		
	for current Dual Language Immersion	\$43,500		for 6 additional teachers, additional	\$44,509
(DLI) programs at	3 schools	LCFF		y books in Spanish	LCFF
Scope of service:	Mt View, Castle View, Washington		Scope of service:	Mt View, Castle View, Washington	
All			All		
OR:			OR:		
	pils X English Learners Foster			Low Income pupilsX_ English LearnersFoster Youth	
	signated fluent English proficientOther		Re-designated fluent English proficientOther		
Subgroups:(Specif	fy)		Subgroups:(Spe		
Expand DLI progra	am to Jefferson Elementary School	\$29,000 LCFF	DLI program added to Jefferson Elementary; 2 kindergarten classes		\$14,514 LCFF
Scope of service:	Jefferson		Scope of service:	Jefferson	
✓ All			√ All		
OR:			OR:		
Low Income pu	pils English LearnersFoster Youth		Low Income	oupils English LearnersFoster Youth	
	fluent English proficientOther			Re-designated fluent English proficientOther	
Subgroups:(Specif	fy)		Subgroups:(Spe	cify)	
Support Core knowledge Program at Bryant and Adams		\$36,242 LCFF	partially release assist teachers f Knowledge Fou	program at Bryant and start up at Adams; ed teacher on assignment; coordinators find or develop materials for Core ndations; develop Core Knowledge based to CCSS and RUSD Units of study	\$41,680 LCFF
Scope of service:	Bryant and Adams Elementary Schools		Scope of service:	Bryant and Adams Elementary Schools	
✓ All			✓ All		

OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR:Low Income Re-designate Subgroups:(Spe		
Implement Riverside STEM Academy visioning plan currently being developed	\$0	Visioning Plan development; facilities design for high school grade level expansion, feasibility study for site capacity; completed STEM Academy Plan; developed courses (Studio Design Lab, VAPA Design Technologies); organized stakeholder focus groups		\$0
Scope of STEM Academy		Scope of service:	STEM Academy	
✓ All OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			oupilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth d fluent English proficientOther cify)	
Increase the support for college readiness programs such as Puente	\$24,000 LCFF	Field trips, conferences, parent involvement activities		\$22,309 LCFF
Scope of service: Arlington, Ramona, Poly		Scope of service:	Arlington, Ramona, Poly	
All		All		
OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)			pupils X_ English Learners X_ Foster Youth ed fluent English proficientOther cify)	
Increase service for Visual and Performing Arts (VAPA) Magnet Program at Ramona High School	\$54,362 LCFF	Coordination services (part time Teacher on Special Assignment); monitored student academic progress; developed relationships with galleries/art museums; scheduled guest artists		\$55,587 LCFF
Scope of Ramona High School		Scope of service:	Ramona High Schools	
✓ All OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		✓ All OR:Low Income ¡	oupilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth d fluent English proficientOther cify)	

Increase services by supporting the development of a Visual and Performing Arts (VAPA) Magnet Program Central Middle School	\$36,241 LCFF	•	rlong art course during 0 period and e development of VAPA magnet program	\$33,502 LCFF
Scope of service: Central Middle School		Scope of service:	Central Middle School	
<u>√</u> All		<u>√</u> All		
OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth ed fluent English proficientOther	
Replace discontinued federal funding with local funds to continue Project Lead the Way engineering pathway	\$90,000 LCFF	Technology, co	nferences, software licenses and field trips pathway; increase partnerships	\$43,344 LCFF
Scope of service: King High School		Scope of service:	King High School	
<u>√</u> All		✓ All		
OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth ed fluent English proficientOther ecify)	
Support Project Lead the Way Middle School Gateway Program	\$10,215 LCFF	Professional de classrooms in 2	velopment and materials; ready for pilot 015-16	\$4,372 LCFF
Scope of service: Earhart Middle School		Scope of service:	Earhart Middle School	
✓ All		✓ All		
OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youthed the control of the cont	
Plan and implement Personalized Learning	\$90,603 LCFF	Defined and implemented model at 5 sites; coordinated work groups as required by Next Generation Systems Initiative funding; selection of Cohort 2; pilot of Personalized Learning tools		\$21,402 LCFF
Scope of Gage-pilot teachers and classrooms service:		Scope of service:	Hawthorne, Liberty, University, Sierra, Gage-pilot teachers and classrooms	
All		All		

	upils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth fluent English proficientOther fy)		OR: X Low Income X Re-designate Subgroups:(Spe		
Increase support tools	for student's access to digital learning	\$67,731 LCFF	Instructional Technology Technician support for classroom instruction, digital integration and Personalized Learning pilots		\$24,904 LCFF
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide	
<u>✓</u> All			<u>√</u> All		
	pilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth fluent English proficientOther fy)		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
Manage Personal	ized Learning	\$36,241 Coordinated Personalized Learning initiative including both launch and capacity building		-	\$40,084 LCFF
Scope of service:	Hawthorne, Liberty, University, Sierra, Gage-pilot teachers and classrooms		Scope of service:	Hawthorne, Liberty, University, Sierra, Gage-pilot teachers and classrooms	
All			All		
<u> </u>	upilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth fluent English proficientOther fy)			e pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth ed fluent English proficientOther ecify)	
Select and pilot to elements	ools for Personalized Learning design	\$100,000 LCFF	Purchase mater	rials and software for pilots	\$100,000 LCFF
Scope of service:	Hawthorne, Liberty, University, Sierra, Gage-pilot teachers and classrooms		Scope of service:	Hawthorne, Liberty, University, Sierra, Gage-pilot teachers and classrooms	
All			All		
OR:			OR:		
X_Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth				pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth	
Re-designated for Subgroups:(Specification)	fluent English proficientOther fy)		Re-designate Subgroups:(Spe	ed fluent English proficientOther ecify)	

What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?

Bussing will be provided to STEM Academy students in underserved areas of the city in 2015-16; three schools will join Personalized Learning (PL) initiative as Cohort 2 in 2015-16; increased funds allocated to support PL work; Dual Language Immersion will not increase to another school, however, planning, support and design work will occur for vertical expansion; funds allocated to support pilot classrooms for Gateway to PLTW; choice opportunities will be discussed, designed and supported based on results from parents and community survey; PD day in August will focus on tech integration strategies specific to supporting student achievement.

All 15 goals in the our LCAP are being consolidated and integrated into 3 comprehensive goals that are aligned with the state priority areas and address the identified needs of RUSD students. This goal will be an action/service in Goals 2: Prepare all students to be college, career and world ready upon graduation.

	LCAP Year: 2014-15						
Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	J. Increase services for students exhibiting at risk behaviors that are interfering with their learning			Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 2 3 45_X_6_X_78_X Local: Board Goal 2 and 3			
Identified Need	All RUSD stude	ents need to be sociall	y and emotionally ready to	earn;			
Goal Applies	Schools: ALL						
to:	Applicable Pu	pil Subgroups:	ALL				
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes	96% SWD: 94.0 2. Decrease ch LI: 7.1% EL: 5% 3. Decrease su 5.6% EL: 4.3% 4. Decrease ex EL: .26% SWD: 5. Decrease tro	6% RFEP: 97.1% FY: No nronic absenteeism rat 6 SWD: 9.5% RFEP: 3.8 uspension rates: A: 4.2 5 SWD: 7.4% RFEP: 3.3	A: A: 6% AA: 7.9% H: 6% FY: No data M: AA: 7.9% H: 4.2% LI: FY: No data AA: .35% H: .26% LI: .35% No data	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes	1. Attendance rates All increased to 95.9% up 0.2%; AA: 95.6%; H:96.3%; LI:95.8%; EL:95.5%; SWD: 93.9%; RFEP: 97.1%; FY:95.0% 2. Chronic Absenteeism rates All increased 1.0% to 7.9%; AA: 9.6%; H: 6.6%; LI: 8.4%; EL: 9.1%; SWD: 14.3%; FY: 8.8% 3. Suspension Rates All 0.61%; AA: 7.99%; H:4.47%; EL: 4.68%; SWD: 9.70%; RFEP: 3.5%; FY: 12.83% 4. Expulsion Rates All: 0.182%; AA: 0.354%; H: 0.205%; EL: 0.188%; SWD: 0.408%; RFEP: .266% FY: 0.524% 5. Truancy Rates All 34.93% 6. Student Gallup Poll baseline results-Hope: 48%; Engagement: 55%: Well Being: 58%		

Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services		
	Budgeted Expenditures	Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures		
Increase coordination of services, per Student Assistance Plan (SAP)- to be developed by December, 2014) for socially and emotionally at risk students and families, develop and evaluate the implementation plan, oversee the model, and provide Professional Development for administrators, site SAP counselors and academic counselors	\$272,817 LCFF	Student Assistance Plan is comprehensive and took longer to develop than was initially planned. We are ready for the initial roll out of the plan in the 2015-16 school year.		
Scope of service:		Scope of service: LEA-wide		
All OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American and Students with Disabilities		OR: X_ Low Income pupils X_ English Learners X_ Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X_ Other Subgroups: African American and Students with Disabilities		
Establish social and emotional services to secondary schools with the highest concentration of disadvantaged students	\$412,470 LCFF	Personnel will be hired to support this action/service in the spring of 2015 for initial implementation at highest \$0 concentration schools in the fall.		
Scope of service:		Scope of service: LEA-wide		
All OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American and Students with Disabilities		OR: X_ Low Income pupils X_ English Learners X_ Foster Youth X_ Re-designated fluent English proficient X_ Other Subgroups: African American and Students with Disabilities		
Administer California Health Kids Survey and Gallup Student Poll which will provide data and feedback on student support services to inform SAP services	\$6,136 LCFF	Administered to 5 th -12th grade students; results analyzed and informed the development of the SAP plan \$0		
Scope of service: All		Scope of service: All		

X_ Re-designated	upils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth fluent English proficient <u>X</u> Other n American and Students with		OR: X Low Income X Re-designated Subgroups: Africa		
	data team process to analyze data and monitoring for results	\$0	\$0 Data team process will be integrated with the rollout of the SAP plan		\$0
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide	
All			All		
X Re-designated f	upils X_ English Learners X_ Foster Youth luent English proficient X_ Other n American and Students with		X Re-designated	pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth If fluent English proficient X Other can American and Students with Disabilities	
Intervention Syste	al education Positive Behavior em (PBIS) and transition the supervision nd Family Therapist Interns to RUSD staff	\$245,593 LCFF	Positive Behavior Intervention System developed as part of the Student Assistance Plan and implemented in 2015-16; Interns will continue at the beginning of school		\$0
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide	
All			All		
X_ Re-designated	upils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth fluent English proficient X_Other n American and Students with		X Re-designated	pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth If fluent English proficient X Other can American and Students with Disabilities	
	m to meet the immediate social and the needs of general education students	\$371,784 LCFF	School Assistandin 2015-16	ce Plan developed and will be implemented	\$0
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:		
All			All		
X_ Re-designated	upils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth fluent English proficient X_Other n American and Students with		X Re-designated	pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth If fluent English proficient X Other can American and Students with Disabilities	

Explore and implement restructuring of Special Education and Pupil Services with the goal of increased support to schools and students		\$582,004 LCFF	Department restructured and expanded to meet needs of students and service to schools; Child Welfare and Attendance Managers and Assistant Director recruited and hired in Spring of 2015.		\$20,748 LCFF	
Scope of service:	LEA-wide				LEA-wide	
All			All			
OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American and Students with Disabilities			X Re-designated	pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth I fluent English proficient X Other Can American and Students with Disabilities		
		Due to the extensive process that was used to develop the Student Assistance Plan, it has not been implemented this school year. We will implement an initial portion of the plan in 2015-16 and roll the plan out further in 2016-18. With the restructuring of Special Education and Pupil Services, there will be personnel to support the needs of foster students and to assist other personnel with the support of Homeless students. A strategic intervention class				

What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?

assist other personnel with the support of Homeless students. A strategic intervention class will be piloted based on need as indicated by student responses on the Gallup Poll (in Goal 2, action/service 2.1b).

All 15 goals in the our LCAP are being consolidated and integrated into 3 comprehensive goals that are aligned with the state priority areas and address the identified needs of RUSD students. The goal is an Action/Service in the 2015-2018 LCAP under Goal 3: Fully engage students, parents and the community in support of short and long term educational outcomes.

LCAP Year: 2014-15 Related State and/or Local Priorities: **Original GOAL** K. Provide increased and expanded high quality pre-school programs for RUSD from prior year 1 __ 2 __ 3 **X** 4 **X** 5 _ 6 _ 7 __ 8 __ LCAP: Local: Board Goal 1 and 3 Identified All RUSD students need to be socially and academically prepared for success in kindergarten and beyond (pre-school) Need ALL **Goal Applies** Schools: to: **Applicable Pupil Subgroups:** ALL

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes	1. Increase the % of RUSD pre-school as benchmark on the beginning of the year DIBELS – 60% 2. Increase the % of RUSD pre-school as Benchmark in the beginning of year of mathematics screening tool – 3. Increase the % of RUSD pre-school as the beginning and/or integrating lever Results Developmental Profile (DRDP school – 55%)	ear kindergarten Ittendees at on the kindergarten Ittendees scoring at el on the Desired	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes	 % of RUSD pre-school attendees at benchm beginning of the year kindergarten DIBELS: 45 % of RUSD pre-school attendees at Benchm beginning of year on the kindergarten math tool – no test was administered The % of RUSD pre-school attendees scoring beginning and/or integrating level on the Dependental Profile (DRDP) at the end of 	5% to 53% nark in the ematics screening g at the esired Results
Pla	anned Actions/Services			Actual Actions/Services	
		Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
-	Increase professional development to include training observations and coaching by Early Childhood Preschool Coordinator		Provided professional development once a month; observation and coaching provided 3x per week by Coordinator		\$22,936 Pre-school Head Start
service:	LEA-wide (Pre-K)		Scope of service:	LEA-wide (Pre-K)	
OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities			All OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities		
Expand support for early childhood program with compliance, attendance, mental health, parent education, referrals monitoring		\$109,012 LCFF	An Early Childhood Specialist joined the team and has provided expertise in numerous areas which has allowed the coordinator to provide professional development to teachers as well as coaching.		\$72,858 LCFF
Scope of service:	LEA-wide (Pre-K)		Scope of service:	LEA-wide (Pre-K)	
X_ Re-designated fl	oils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth uent English proficient <u>X</u> Other <u>American, Students with Disabilities</u>		X Re-designate	pupils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth d fluent English proficient <u>X</u> Other ican American, Students with Disabilities	

Increase services to families by researching and developing a sliding scale for ineligible families based on income		\$0	Areas of the city were studied to determine if there was a service gap for eligible families and where there were lack of affordable preschools programs; implementation will occur in 2015-16		\$0
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide	
All			All		
Youth <u>X</u> Re-des	upils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster lignated fluent English proficient <u>X</u> Other In American, Students with Disabilities		X Re-designated	pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth I fluent English proficient X Other can American, Students with Disabilities	
	Research and develop a plan for a Dual Language Immersion (DLI) Pre-K Program		2015-16 in orde	Spanish Language preschool program to be implemented in 2015-16 in order to develop academic Spanish language skills of English Learners and feed into DLI should parents wish to do so	
Scope of service:	LEA-wide (Pre-K)		Scope of service:	LEA-wide (Pre-K)	
All			All		
X_ Re-designated	upils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth fluent English proficient <u>X</u> Other on American, Students with Disabilities		X Re-designated	pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth I fluent English proficient X Other can American, Students with Disabilities	
	velop a plan to increase services for udents in underserved areas of the	\$0	Areas of the city and income mapping; plan developed to add services		\$0
Scope of service:	LEA-wide (Pre-K)		Scope of service:	LEA-wide (Pre-K)	
All			All		
X_ Re-designated	upils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth fluent English proficient <u>X</u> Other on American, Students with Disabilities		X_ Re-designate	pupils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth ed fluent English proficient <u>X</u> Other can American, Students with Disabilities	
Research and develop a plan for a RUSD Early Impact Program for birth to 3 year olds and their families including RUSD teen parents; implement mid-year if feasible.		\$134,739 LCFF	mid-year with a 18 months; tead	ogram added at Lincoln Continuation School Teen Parent Center for babies 6 weeks to chers and aides hired and center en parent workshops designed and	\$64,567

Scope of service:	Lincoln Continuation High School		Scope of service:	Lincoln Continuation High School	
All			All		
OR:			OR:		
X_ Low Income p	upils X English Learners X Foster Youth		X_ Low Income	pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth	
_	fluent English proficient X_ Other		X_ Re-designate	ed fluent English proficient X_ Other	
Subgroups: Africa	an American, Students with Disabilities		Subgroups: Afri	can American, Students with Disabilities	
	grate the Family Learning Collaborative	\$0	Collaborative in	stegrated in April 2015 and 3 new	
	with the new Community/Parent Partnership			of Understanding fully executed	\$0
Collaborative					
Scope of	LEA-wide (Pre-K)		Scope of	LEA-wide (Pre-K)	
service:				service:	
All			All		
OR:			OR:		
X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster			X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth		
Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other			X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other		
Subgroups: <u>African American, Students with Disabilities</u>			Subgroups: Afri	can American, Students with Disabilities	
Expand engagement opportunities for Pre-K families such as Dad's University, Grandparent's Group, Latino Family		\$4,000 Title I	Parent engagement sessions and workshops		\$4,000 Title I
Literacy Project					
Scope of service:	LEA-wide (Pre-K)		Scope of service:	LEA wide (Pre-K)	
All					
			All		
OR:			OR:		
X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster			X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth		
	Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other			d fluent English proficient X Other	
Subgroups: Africa	an American, Students with Disabilities		Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities		

What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?

RUSD preschool students outscore non-RUSD preschool students in RUSD on beginning of the year DIBELS including students in areas of the city that do not have large numbers of unduplicated pupils. Therefore, we will be expanding services to students and families. We analyzed the language skills of our Spanish speaking students entering our DLI programs and decided to design a Spanish preschool in order to increase their academic vocabulary and readiness to be positive language models should their parents decide to enroll in DLI Kindergarten. Professional Development will continue to focus on school readiness both in academics and behavior; preschool class added at Harrison Elementary; subsidized slots for families will be integrated into state preschool classrooms for families over income but unable to afford full pay in areas of the city where data support need.

All 15 goals in the our LCAP are being consolidated and integrated into 3 comprehensive goals that are aligned with the state priority areas and address the identified needs of RUSD students. This goal will become an Action/Service under Goal 2: Prepare all students to be college, career and world ready upon graduation.

	under Goal 2:	Prepare all students	to be college, care	eer and world ready upon graduation.	
		LCAP Yea	r: 2014-15		
Original goal from prior year LCAP:	L. Improve the quality and safety of the school environment to support optimal learning.			Related State and/or Local Prion 1 X 2 3 4 5 X 6 7 Local: Board Goal 5; Major Maintenand Schools; Comprehensive Sa	e Plan for Our
Identified Need	All RUSD students need a safe and secure learning environment,			nate.	
Goal Applies to:	Schools: ALL Applicable Pupil Subgroups: AL	L			
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes	1.Increase level of reactive maintenance 2 2.Decrease # of unaddressed work orders 3.Decrease suspension rates: A: 4.2%; AA 5.6%; EL: 4.3%; SWD: 7.4%; RFEP: 3.3%; F' 4.Decrease expulsion rates: A: .17%; AA: .3 .35%; EL: .26%; SWD: .17%; RFEP: .17%; FY	5% : 7.9%; H: 4.2%; LI: Y: TBD 35%; H: .26%; LI:	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes	1.Increase level of reactive maintenance: final data pending 2. Decrease # of unaddressed work orders: final data pending 3. Suspension Rates All: 0.61%; AA: 7.99%; H: 4.47%; EL: 4.68%; SWD: 9.70%; RFEP: 3.5%; FY: 12.83% 4. Expulsion Rates All: 0.182%; AA: 0.354%; H: 0.205%; EL: 0.188%; SWD: 0.408%; RFEP: .266% FY: 0.524%	
	Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services		
		Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
of building to im	Implement a plan to increase levels of daily maintenance of building to improve the quality and consistency of the learning environment phased in over two years. \$600,000 LCFF		I materials/equipment. Backlog of open work orders are		\$283,075 LCFF
Scope of service: <u>✓</u> All	LEA-wide		Scope of service: <u>✓</u> All	LEA-wide	

OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
Implement a plan to reduce the number of unreliable building systems by replacing systems that are failing or have reached the end of their life cycle	\$1,500,000 General Fund	Design process complete to replace the unreliable south chiller system at Poly that conditions 35 classrooms	\$2,942 LCFF
Scope of service:		Scope of service:	
✓ All OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		✓ All OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
Develop a Facilities Master Plan by assessing the needs for modernizing and expanding school facilities by identifying and inventorying the facilities needs by school and conducting a survey	\$500,000 Capital Projects Fund One-Time	RFP process to hire consultants and planning process initiated. Plan will be complete in January 2016	\$0
Scope of service:		Scope of service:	
✓ All OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		✓ All OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
Establish and implement a plan to increase safety and security services to elementary schools without Assistant Principals	\$525,634 LCFF	Increase hours from 5 to 7 hours at six elementary schools for campus supervisors, eight 7 hour campus supervisors for 8 of 15 elementary schools without Assistant Principals)	\$446,800 LCFF
Scope of service:		Scope of service:	
✓ All OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		✓ All OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth _Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	

What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?

As a result of engaging and reviewing our LCAP with the California School Employees Association, we will be surveying schools regarding our team cleaning program. Results of the survey will be analyzed and action steps taken. A metric will be added in relation to basic services.

All 15 goals in the our LCAP are being consolidated and integrated into 3 comprehensive goals that are aligned with the state priority areas and address the identified needs of RUSD students. This goal will be an Action/Service in Goal 1: Provide high quality teaching and learning environments or all students.

	-	LCAP Year	: 2014-15					
Original goal from prior year LCAP:	M. Increase the quality community and p in support of student success	Related State and/or L 1 2 3 _ X 4 5 _ Local: Board 6	_678					
Identified Need	All RUSD students need engage parents an	utcomes						
Goal Applies to:	Applicable Pupil Subgroups: ALL							
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes	 Increase number of parents participatin Community/Parent Partnership and Outr baseline data Increase favorable ratings on parent sur programs - No baseline data Increase student achievement for stude participate in programs - No baseline data 	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes	1. Increase number of parents participating in Community/Parent Partnership and Outreach activities: Parent Summit-432; PIQE-398; PELI-27; School Smarts-29; Families Resource Center-1,803 total visits to the center 2. Increase favorable ratings on parent surveys for outreach programs: Parent Educational Summit-97% favorable rankings; PIQE, PELI and School Smarts surveys pending 3. Increase student achievement for students whose parents participate in programs-not able to harvest data at this time					
Planned Actions/Services			Actual Actions/Services					
		Budgeted Expenditures				Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures		
The district will become a member of the National \$400 Network for Partnerships Schools (NNPS) LCFF		Will become members of the National Network for Partnership Schools in 2015; could not join because staff did not have required training in Action teams; training held in spring of 2014		\$0				
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide				

All OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities Improve coordination of community and parent involvement services	\$113,296 LCFF \$133,296 Title I	OR: X_ Low Income pupils _X_ English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X_ Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities Recruited and hired a Coordinator, School Family Community Partnerships; Clerical support; 2 bilingual community assistants		\$147,124 LCFF
Scope of service: All OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities		All OR: X Low Income pu X Re-designated fl	upils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth luent English proficient <u>X</u> Other n American, Students with Disabilities	
Develop the Community / Parent Partnership Plan to include: *Communication plan, both formal and informal means and structures with parents and community; *Engagement plan for parents and the community; *Capacity build approaches for parents of at risk students and student with disabilities; *Parent Leadership Training to include research based projects such as; Parent Institute for Quality Education (PIQU), Parent Engagement Leadership (PELI), School Smarts, Latino Literacy Project, Dad's University, No Excuses University parent component and Digital Literacy and Citizenship; *Establish and build capacity to strengthen parent roles in the LCAP process; *Establish and support a Community/Parent Partnership Collaborative which will govern the plan and provide interagency support for students and families (i.e., social, emotional, health, housing with a focus on disadvantaged students) Scope of	\$250,000 LCFF	RUSD School Family Community Partnerships initiative at the Family Resource Center provides: Core Services: walk-in services, assistance with RUSD Parent Portal and Free and Reduced Lunch applications, community resources and referrals, family support services, Family Literacy, Support Groups, Connections Classes; Parent Education and Engagement Programs: Parenting Classes, School Smarts Academy, Parent Engagement Leadership Initiative (PELI) Parent Institute for Quality Education (PIQE); Community Resources & Referrals Offered: crisis assistance, health services mental health/counseling, support groups, Child Development and Youth programs, education programs, employment services.		\$105,404 LCFF
service: LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide	

OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities		OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities	
Establish and build capacity to strengthen parent roles in the LCAP process	\$0	Stakeholder engagement session held at Family Resource Center, PIQE parent session held at one of our high schools during community forum and parents attended	\$0
Scope of service:		Scope of service:	
OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities		All OR: X_Low Income pupils _X_ English Learners _X Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficient X_ Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities	
Establish and support a Community / Parent Partnership Collaborative which will govern the plan and provide interagency support for students and families (i.e., social, emotional, health, housing with focus on disadvantaged students)	\$0	Plan developed and includes support with community resources and referrals for crisis assistance, health services mental health/counseling, support groups, Child Development and Youth programs, education programs, employment services	\$0
Scope of service:		Scope of service:	
All		All	
OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities		OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities	
Establish and identify a location for a RUSD Community/Parent Outreach Center: *Provide a space for community and parents to access needed services *Provide college and career workshops for parents *Serve as a training center for Parent Leadership Training *Provide a meeting and working space for the Community/Parent Partnership Collaborative *Provide a staff person to coordinate the activities in the Outreach Center	\$120,000 LCFF \$92,401 Adult Ed.	Created a space for community and parents to access needed services; college and career workshops for parents; facility serves as a training center for Parent Leadership Workshops; provides a meeting / working space for School, Family and Community Partnership Collaborative and staff	\$37,615 LCFF \$86,503 Adult Ed.

Scope of LEA-wide		Scope of service: LEA-wide	
All		All	
OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Fo X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Ot Subgroups: African American, Students with Discontinuous African American Am	ther	OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities	
Plan and implement the Parent Educational Sum Plan a Community/Parent Partnership Conferen			\$18,510 LCFF
Scope of LEA-wide		Scope of service: LEA-wide	
All		All	
OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X For X Re-designated fluent English proficient X C Subgroups: African American, Students with Discontinuous African American Am	Other	OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities	
Establish and implement a data collection proce measure community and parent involvement	ess to \$500 LCFF	Staff has been working with evaluation department to establish a process for collecting data regarding parent involvement and engagement	\$0
Scope of service:		Scope of service:	
All		All	
OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X For X Re-designated fluent English proficient X C Subgroups: African American, Students with Disc	Other	OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities	
Establish and implement a base level of oral and translation services at sites K-12 based upon seclanguage learner groups (over 30%) and number individual Education Program (IEP) meeting requiremental translation	cond \$56,256 rs of \$945,534	Hired one district translator for Special Education - IEP support and 29 school site translators. District translators have provided professional development sessions for the newly hired translators.	\$32,531 LCFF \$401,513 LCFF
Scope of LEA-wide		Scope of service: LEA-wide	
All		All	

OR:				OR:		
X_ Low Income p	oupils <u>X</u> English I	earners <u>X</u> Foster You	ıth	X_ Low Income	pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth	
X_ Re-designated	d fluent English p	roficient <u>X</u> Other		X Re-designated	fluent English proficient X Other	
Subgroups: Afric	<u>an American, Stu</u>	dents with Disabilities		Subgroups: Afri	can American, Students with Disabilities	
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? with district assessment center (housed action their children to be assessed); satellite Ambassador program being studied and deprovided to the translators; partnerships we ensure academic and social/emotional support the state priority areas and address the idea.					r increased to 12 months due to parent need a ne center-parents will come to FRC for orienta underserved areas of the city to be added in 2 RUSD implementation; continued professional ervices and Instructional Services will be furthed dents and families. Indicate the part of the p	tion while waiting 015-16; Parent of development er developed to are aligned with on/service in Goals
			LCAP Year	r: 2014-15		
Original GOAL N. Increase opportunities for all students to participate in ext			racurricular	Related State and/or Local Prio 1 2 3 4 5 _ X _ 6 _ X _ 7 _		
year LCAP:	activities				Local: Board Goal 6 and 7; VAP	<u>A Plan</u>
Identified Need	All RUSD studer	nts need to be connec	red to school.			
Goal Applies	Schools:	Other :ALL				
to:	Applicable Pupi	l Subgroups:	ALL			

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes	1. Increase % of participation in extra-currino data 2. Decrease Academic Year dropout rate: A 5.6% to 5%; H: 3.9% to 3.4%; LI: 3.5% to 3% SWD: 5.1% to 1.6%; FY: No data 3. Increase attendance: All: 96%; AA: 95.79; EL: 96%; SWD: 94.6%; RFEP: 97.1%; FY: TBI 4. Decrease suspension rates: All: 4.2%; AA: 5.6%; EL: 4.3%; SWD: 7.4%; RFEP: 3.3%; FY: 5. Decrease expulsion rates: All: .17%; AA: .35%; EL: .26%; SWD: .17%; RFEP: .17%; FY: 6. Decrease truancy rates: All: 26%; AA: 349; EL: 27%; SWD: 24%; RFEP: 26%; FY: TBD 7. Increase Graduation Rate: All: 85.3%; AA: LI: 82.4%; EL: 69.9%; SWD: 79.2%; RFEP: mill: 82.4%; EL: 69.9%; FIL:	All: 3.4% to 3%; AA: 6; EL: 4.1% to 3.5%; 76; H: 96%; LI: 96%; DA: 7.9%; H: 4.2%; LI: 7: TBD 35%; H: .26%; LI: 1: TBD 76; H: 32%; LI: 36%; A: 84.6%; H: 80.3%;	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes	1. Increase participation in extra-curricular activities: Pilot year at select schools-no districtwide data 2. Academic Year dropout rate: All: 2.2% down 1.2%; AA: 3.2% down 2.4%; H: 2.2% down 1.2%; LI: 3.3 down 0.2%; EL: 5.0% up 0.9%; SWD: 3.8% down 1.3%; FY: 10.0% to baseline 3. Increase attendance rates All: 95.9% up 0.2%; AA: 95.6%; H: 96.3%; LI: 95.8%; EL: 95.5%; SWD: 93.9%; FY: 95.0% 4. Suspension Rates All: 0.61%; AA: 7.99%; H:4.47%; EL: 4.68%; SWD: 9.70%; RFEP: 3.5%; FY: 12.83% 5. Expulsion Rates All: 0.182%; AA: 0.354%; H: 0.205%; EL: 0.188%; SWD: 0.408%; RFEP: .266% FY: 0.524% 6. Truancy Rates All increased to 34.93% up 5.6% 7. Increase Graduation Rate: All: 87.3% up 1.8%; AA: 86.1%-83.7%; H:83.1%-85.8%; LI: 83.8%-84.0%; EL: 74.1%-77.0%; SWD: 78.6%-67.1%; RFEP 95.3%; FY: 69.2%		
	Planned Actions/Services			Actual Actions/Services		
		Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures	
Establish and implement a base Science enrichment program with choices at all elementary schools (Science enrichment programs)		\$810,935 LCFF	Funded science camp and on/off site field trips at all elementary schools		\$630,075 LCFF	
Scope of service:	LEA-wide-Elementary		Scope of service:	LEA-wide-Elementary		
All OR: _X_Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			All OR: _X_Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			
Establish and implement a base level of funding to support county and regional academic competition programs		\$2,000 LCFF	Supported a districtwide History Day and Science Fair; supported and mentored Science Olympiad and robotics competitions		\$4,191 LCFF	
Scope of service:	LEA-wide		Scope of service:	LEA-wide		
<u>√</u> All			√ All			

OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
Monitor student participation in "School+2" activities at each secondary site	\$40,560 LCFF	Purchased software and monitoring devices for pilot to assess student participation in activities with a focus on unduplicated pupils	\$150,349 LCFF
Scope of service: LEA-wide-secondary		Scope of service: LEA-wide-secondary	
✓ All		<u>✓</u> AII	
OR:Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Re-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)		OR:Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Re-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	
Establish and implement a base level of funding to support an equitable athletics program	\$0	Met with a comprehensive team and developed a year 1 funding plan; funds allocated to sites per plan	\$0
Scope of service: LEA-wide		Scope of service: LEA-wide	
✓All OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		✓All OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
Expand options for high school athletic teams by supporting freshmen/sophomore teams and expanding or adding new team options	\$282,000 LCFF	Increasing the number of coaching stipends by 12 (from 39 to 51) at each comprehensive high school to ensure	\$282,000 LCFF
Scope of service: Grades 9 and 10		Scope of service: Grades 9 and 10	
<u>√</u> All		<u>√</u> All	
OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	

Establish, implement and require a Coaching Institute that focused on quality coaching principles that include: Philosophy of coaching, leadership, professional conduct, rapport with students and parents, media relations, booster groups and fundraising, and health and welfare of students		;, \$22,550 LCFF	Professional development including fees for First Aid/CPR up on successful completion of Coaches' Institute		\$5,163 LCFF		
Scope of service:	Grades 7-12			Scope of service:	Grades 7-12		
✓All			✓AII				
OR:			OR:		1		
Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			Re-designate	pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster Youth d fluent English proficient oups:(Specify)			
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? be revise to in will be made All 15 goals in with the state Fully engages		to include our alternative de to programs as a resure our LCAP are being tate priority areas and adgestudents in support of	e sites. Tracking of It of data analysis ing consolidated Idress the identific f short and long te	participation at all of our schools and the fund participation by subgroup will occur in 2015-1. and integrated into 3 comprehensive goals the ded needs of RUSD students. This goal will be integrated outcomes. The Action/Service cipation in extra and co-curricular activities.	16. Modifications at are aligned ncluded in Goal 3:		
	LCAP Year: 2014-15						
Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	O. Decrease the barriers for participation in extracurricular actividisadvantaged students encounter			on in extracurricular activ	vities that	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 2 3 45 X 6 X 7 8 X Local: Board Goal 6 and 7; VAPA Plan	
Identified Need	All RUSD students need to be connected to school.						
Goal Applies	Schools: All	Other :ALL					
to:				English			

Expected Annua Measurable Outcomes	asurable LI: 5.6%; EL: 4.3%; SWD: 7.4%; RFEP: 3.3%; FY: TBD			activities: Pilot year at select schools-no districtwide data 2. Academic Year dropout rate All: 2.2% down 1.2%; AA: 3.2% down 2.4%; H: 2.2% down 1.2%; LI: 3.3 down 0.2%; EL: 5.0% up 0.9%; SWD: 3.8% down 1.3%; FY: 10.0% - baseline 3. Increase attendance rates All: 95.9% up 0.2%; AA: 95.6%; H:96.3%; LI:95.8%; EL:95.5%; SWD: 93.9%; RFEP: .266% FY:95.0% 4. Suspension Rates All: 0.61%; AA: 7.99%; H:4.47%; EL: 4.68%; SWD: 9.70%; RFEP: 3.5%; FY: 12.83% 5. Decrease expulsion rates All: 0.182% down 0.083; AA: 0.354%; H: 0.205%; EL: 0.188%; SWD: 0.408%; FY: 0.524% 6. Truancy Rates All increased to 34.93% up 5.6% 7. Increase Graduation Rate All: 87.3% up 1.8%; AA: 86.1%-83.7%; H: 83.1%-85.8%; LI: 83.8%-84.0%; EL: 74.1%-77.0%; SWD: 78.6%-67.1%; FY: 69.2%		
	·		Actual Actions/Services Estimated			
		Budgeted Expenditures			Actual Annual Expenditures	
Scope of work:	Elementary schools		Scope of work:	lementary schools		
All			All			
OR: X_ Low Income pupils X_ English Learners X Foster Youth X_ Re-designated fluent English proficient X_ Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities		OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities				
Establish and implement a base middle and high school activities, VAPA, student government, and academic competitions programs without reliance on fundraising \$1,066,000 LCFF		Comprehensive team met to develop a funding plan; principals met with Activity Directors and Leadership Team to assess and prioritize extracurricular needs; stipends, FTE's uniforms and equipment replacement, competition costs; reconvene team and evaluate implementation at end of year 1		4		
activities, VAPA,	student government, and academic		FTE's uniforms and	d equipment replacement, competition	\$980,517 LCFF	

1. Increase % of participation in extra-curricular

All OR: X_Low Income pupils _X_ English Learners _X Foster Youth X_ Re-designated fluent English proficient _X_ Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities			OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities		
Establish and implement a base middle school sports program without reliance on fundraising		\$35,720 LCFF	Met with a comprehensive team and developed a year 1 funding plan; funds allocated to sites per plan Stipends, overtime (OT), sports officials, uniforms, equipment, supplies, transportation, tournaments and competition fees		\$28,394 LCFF
Scope of work:	LEA Wide		Scope of work:	LEA Wide	
All OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities			All OR: X_ Low Income pupils X_ English Learners X Foster Youth X_ Re-designated fluent English proficient X_ Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities		
Establish and implement a base High School Athletic Program without reliance on fundraising in a phased in approach		\$838,833 LCFF	Met with a comprehensive team and developed a year 1 funding plan; funds allocated to sites per plan Stipends, overtime (OT), sports officials, uniforms, equipment, supplies, transportation, tournaments and competition fees; reconvene team and evaluate implementation at end of year 1		\$986,938 LCFF
Scope of work:	Grades 9-12		Scope of work:	Grades 9-12	
AII OR:			OR: X_ Low Income pupils X_ English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X_ Other Subgroups: African American, Students with Disabilities		
 X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: African American, Students with <u>Disabilities</u> 					

What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?

We will be revising the allocation formula to provide a per pupil base level of funding to all schools and additional resources for schools with high numbers of unduplicated pupils. We will also include our alternative sites and are planning to extend the roll out time for the funding. Tracking of participation by subgroup will occur in 2015-16. Modifications will be made to programs as a result of data analysis.

All 15 goals in the our LCAP are being consolidated and integrated into 3 comprehensive goals that are aligned with the state priority areas and address the identified needs of RUSD students. This goal will be an Action/Service, Increase opportunities and reduce barriers for participation in extra and co-curricular activities under Goal 3: Fully engage students, parents and the community in support of short and long term educational outcomes

Section 3: Use of Supplemental and Concentration Grant funds and Proportionality

A. In the box below, identify the amount of funds in the LCAP year calculated on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner pupils as determined pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(5).

Describe how the LEA is expending these funds in the LCAP year. Include a description of and justification for, the use of any funds in a districtwide, school wide, countywide, or charter wide manner as specified in 5 CCR 15496.

For school districts with below 55 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils in the district or below 40 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a school site in the LCAP year, when using supplemental and concentration funds in a districtwide or school wide manner, the school district must additionally describe how the services provided are the most effective use of funds to meet the district's goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. (See 5 CCR 15496(b) for guidance.)

Total amount of Supplemental and Concentration grant funds calculated: \$\\$41,537,565

For the 2015-16 school year, a majority, 65.89%, of RUSD students are included in the unduplicated count of disadvantaged students, therefore, the funds will be expended to support students at the school sites in an equitable manner. \$41,562,045 of Local Control Funding Formula Supplemental and Concentration Grant funds are budgeted for expenditures to improve and/or increase services for low income, foster youth and English Language Learners. This affords a significant opportunity to improve the educational outcomes of these students and reduce the service gap by improving and/or increasing instructional programs and student support programs that increase access to services and reduce and eliminate barriers to student success.

Programs and services are funded through both Targeted and Districtwide investments at schools with higher numbers and concentrations of disadvantaged students. Targeted investments include additional support for English Language Learners, college and career counseling services and student/parent workshops, a student assistance program with significant counseling services, consistent translation services, expanded and

improved parent/family education programs, expansion of our Heritage Plan to include services for English Learners and Foster Youth, support for schools with high numbers of unduplicated pupils with the addition of an assistant principal and collaboration/planning time for teachers. Districtwide investments include the commitment to provide mathematics support for struggling students at the secondary level, two initiatives to increase our numbers of students reading by 3rd grade, lower class sizes in transitional kindergarten through grade 3, implementation of Common Core State Standards and an expanded Multi-Tiered System of Support with increased systematic strategic and intensive interventions.

Included in the \$41,562,045 is \$5,848,562 which is allocated to school sites and for centralized services for targeted students. School site allocations are based on numbers of unduplicated pupils.

B. In the box below, identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all pupils in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a).

Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR 15496, demonstrate how the services provided in the LCAP year for low income pupils, foster youth, and English learners provide for increased or improved services for these pupils in proportion to the increase in funding provided for such pupils in that year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(7). An LEA shall describe how the proportionality percentage is met using a quantitative and/or qualitative description of the increased and/or improved services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils.

14.03% %

Targeted and Districtwide investments that demonstrate services are increased or improved at schools with higher concentrations of low income, English Language Learners and Foster Youth include:

Family Resource Center with collaborative interagency support to students and families;

Expansion of services for Early Childhood;

Reading by 3rd grade (2 initiatives);

Personalized Learning and Technology Integration;

Additional support for English Language Learners;

Mental Health and Positive Behavior Intervention and Support;

Increased access to college and career counselors;

Expanded Career Technical Education programs;

Assistant principals at high needs schools;

Child Welfare and Attendance services;

Increased opportunities and reduction of barriers for participation in robust student enrichment/activity programs.