

Local Control and Accountability Plan

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
REACH Leadership STEAM Academy	Virgie Rentie Executive Director	vrentie@reachroyals.org 951-275-8820

Plan Summary 2023-24

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

SCHOOL MISSION AND VISION

REACH Leadership STEAM Academy is a college preparatory elementary school dedicated to bridging the socioeconomic, racial, and digital divide for underserved youth. Our dedicated and highly trained teaching staff are committed to preparing our students for success in college and equipping them to meet the demands of a global society. REACH is committed to developing confident, articulate leaders who will use their life experiences and education to create positive changes in their own lives and within their communities and beyond. Central to its mission is the unwavering belief that if given the proper tools, all students can succeed in school and in the most competitive colleges and universities. REACH believes that all students must be prepared for higher education and equipped with the skills and the choice to pursue it at the highest levels.

As a nurturing learning community, REACH cultivates and empowers global literate students who have the knowledge, critical thinking skills, and attitudes to become influential contributors in an ever-changing global society. REACH believes in a student-centered approach to learning that encourages all students to become compassionate, innovative, and intelligent thinkers who are knowledgeable about themselves and the world around them. To prepare students for success in competitive four-year colleges and universities, REACH has created a focused learning environment that cultivates students' characters and academic skills. In line with the school's mission is the underlying belief that one of the fundamental purposes of education is to empower and give back to the community. In order to do so, students must develop a sense of purpose that exceeds social and economic gain. Through intentional teaching, modeling, and reinforcement of strong character, REACH staff and students operate under the guiding principles of six core values: Leadership, Excellence, Responsibility, Resilience, Gratitude and Service.

Reflections: Successes

A description of successes and/or progress based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

The LEA was able to recognize some successes in the 2022-23 school year. Using the Renaissance STAR assessments, the LEA continued to track scholar achievement data in an effort to monitor scholar academic growth and achievement. The Renaissance STAR assessments are aligned to the California State Standards for both English Language Arts and Mathematics and continue to be uniformly administered across all grade levels. REACH is very proud of the progress scholars continue to make, particularly in the area of English Language Arts (ELA).

ACADEMIC SUCCESS: On the 2021-2022 SBAC, REACH had an overall ELA proficiency of 59.65% and overall Math proficiency of 44.60%. We rolled out a new reading tool called STEP. STEP offers a continuum of component datasets that are closely aligned with established milestones in reading development, and follow students' progress from Emergent to Self-Extending readers. The STEP data was beneficial in identifying additional needs of scholars as it relates to reading. We continued to use STAR assessments to progress monitor each scholar's growth throughout the school year. The school utilizes formative and summative skill based assessments for scholars who are receiving support in specific

areas to close the learning gap. Progress monitoring is used to track progress of reading and math. Curriculum based assessments are also used to measure proficiency toward grade level benchmarks.

ENROLLMENT SUCCESS: As a charter school, parents choose daily to continue enrollment with our program. Enrollment numbers have continued to increase with an enrollment projection of next school year exceeding this year's enrollment with a waiting list in most grade levels.

PARENT INVOLVEMENT: This year many events were held throughout the school year that allowed parents to be on campus. The school saw a lot of parent participation overall. Many parents volunteered to chaperone during school-sponsored field trips. Parent participation continues to be lower for LCAP or LCFF budget meetings. However, the LEA saw an increase in participation for LCAP meetings this year in comparison to previous school years. To increase parent involvement related to feedback and input relative to LCAP goals, actions, and expenditures, the LEA found it useful to conduct school-wide surveys soliciting feedback and input from all education partners.

INTERVENTION: Every classroom continued to receive intervention support for students in need of academic support. The highly trained and highly skilled interventionists supported each grade level with small group pullouts and skill-based remediation in both reading and math for scholars who needed additional support.

READ 180: Scholars not making adequate progress in the area of reading were added to Read 180/System 44 groups where instruction was individualized via a computer-adapted program to bolster and enhance existing reading strengths, plug and remediate missing skills allowing students to gain missing and vital reading competency allowing progress to be made.

TEACHER SUPPORT: Each classroom teacher had a team lead and coach that provided observations, feedback, assistance, and encouragement during the school year.

Reflections: Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

MATH ACHIEVEMENT

There was a focus on math throughout the school year to help increase the achievement levels in this subject area. Math is still an area of focus for all grade levels. The LEA has included the following instructional strategies: (1) monthly data meetings to analyze scholar data as a team, which includes teachers, administrators, and intervention specialists. During data meetings the school will analyze all areas from each subject where scholars indicate limitations. During said meetings, plans are formulated to offer extra support or extension activities to best meet the needs of all learners. (2) Extra support in the areas of need are offered in the form of free after-school tutoring, small group instruction, and targeted, small group instruction with intervention specialists and classroom teachers on a weekly basis. (3) Using the benchmark data collected, instructional teams work together to create intentional small groups for direct instruction. (4) Small group instruction offers varied modalities of instruction, including visual supports such as graphic organizers, color coding, interactive whiteboards. Scholars who require tactile support have access to instructional materials that include letter/sound tiles, high frequency word cards, base 10 blocks, fraction strips and a variety of other hands on manipulatives created to meet individual needs.

ATTENDANCE

School attendance since the COVID19 pandemic reached a low point starting in the 2021-22 school year. On average, Average Daily Attendance (ADA) dropped from an average of 95.5% to a now average of less than 93%. For a single-site charter school, the difference can be significant. The LEA will continue to align its attendance practices with safe and healthy guidance from the county, state and federal authorities. To increase attendance, the LEA will continue to implement wraparound attendance intervention and support for scholars and their families in order to optimize learning and increase the social and emotional needs of this student body.

LCAP Highlights

A brief overview of the LCAP, including any key features that should be emphasized.

(a) The LEA continues to attract and keep highly qualified teachers. (b) The school continues to invest in its physical environment by hiring night custodians and constantly keeping the grounds clean. (c) Extra support for teachers in the form of instructional coaches, SBAC support, and professional development. (d) The school continues to increase its support to scholars by looking at the whole child and hiring a school counselor (e) The LEA continues to focus on Restorative Practices which minimizes suspensions and time out of school. (f) Parent Climate survey recognizes an increase in parent satisfaction in areas of school safety and instructional focus. (g) The LEA continues to increase teacher salaries in order to maintain a highly qualified workforce acknowledging the hard work and dedication that its teaching staff demonstrates yearly.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

None

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

None

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

None

Engaging Educational Partners

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners and how this engagement was considered before finalizing the LCAP.

The LCAP parent involvement criteria is intended to assist parents in holding LEAs accountable for fulfilling their commitment to its scholars and families. To ensure that families had full access and involvement in the curation of the LCAP, REACH implemented the following:

CLIMATE SURVEY

The LEA conducted a climate survey during the spring 2023. The climate survey included areas such as: academics, social-emotional needs, school climate, school communication, and parent engagement.

MEETINGS

Parent participation in meetings has improved with the continued use of the Zoom platform. However, the school still finds that parents do not heavily engage when a meeting's primary focus is on LCAP and other areas requiring public hearings. For this reason, the LEA proactively pushed into parents committees when eliciting feedback pertaining to the LCAP, such meetings included RSSC. In said meetings, the administrators reviewed local assessment data, LCAP goals and proposed actions, services, and funding allocations. By using existing platforms which also included regularly scheduled board meetings, the school was able to speak directly to its stakeholders. School board meetings were held throughout the school year allowing the school board to closely monitor the LCAP development and implementation process.

AAPAC MEETINGS

African American Parent Advisory Committee (AAPAC) meetings were held throughout the 2022-2023 school year. The mission of AAPAC is to raise the level of awareness about culturally sensitive issues relating to the education of African American scholars.

ELAC MEETINGS

English Learners Advisory Committee (ELAC) meetings were held in spring of 2023. The ELAC meeting is a space to help families navigate the ELD program. As part of the committee's commitment to diversity and inclusion, the ELAC coordinator asks families how they can best be supported.

SCHOOL SITE COUNCIL

School Site Council meetings were held throughout the 2022-2023 school year.

LCAP SURVEY

To maximize parent involvement in the creation of the LCAP, REACH also conducted a brief survey for our stakeholders to review its proposed goals, actions, and funding allocations.

A summary of the feedback provided by specific educational partners.

The REACH parent climate survey results suggest that the majority of parents agree with the proposed goals, actions, services and funding allocations.

A description of the aspects of the LCAP that were influenced by specific input from educational partners.

Parent comments within the climate survey and the LCAP survey supported the allocation of LCFF funds towards STEAM and other enrichment activities for scholars.

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description
Goal 1	The school will close the achievement gap for underperforming, underrepresented, and underserved students, and support all students in achieving mastery of the state standards.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

In order to reach the charter school's goals of closing the achievement gap for underperforming, underrepresented, and underserved students, and support all students in achieving mastery of the state standards, the school must hire the best teacher candidates and staff possible, support teacher growth and development, and ensure that students have the materials, technology, and scaffolded support that is needed to make adequate growth towards their personal growth goals.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023-24
% of students with standards aligned instructional materials	2020-21: 100%	2021-22: 100%	2022-23: 100%	[Intentionally Blank]	100%
% of teachers properly credentialed and appropriately assigned	2020-21: 80%	2021-22 Data Not Yet Available	Unavailable	[Intentionally Blank]	100%

% of English learners making progress on the ELPAC	2020-21 N/A due to the pandemic	2021-22: 38.1%	Unavailable	[Intentionally Blank]	5%
English learner reclassification rate	2019-20 18.2%	2020-21: 42.5%	Unavailable	[Intentionally Blank]	45%
% of growth in Math for African American students on the SBAC	N/A	2021-22: 24.66%	Unavailable	[Intentionally Blank]	5%
Facilities Inspection Results	2019-20 Facility Rating: Good	2020-21 Facility Rating: Good	Unavailable	[Intentionally Blank]	Excellent

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
Action #1	Curriculum and Instructional Materials	The LEA seeks to close the achievement gap by adopting and purchasing a culturally relevant Social Studies curriculum to acknowledge and teach different cultures, not just the dominant culture of a society, and encourages intercultural understanding. Funding includes: (1) Culturally relevant Social Studies curriculum	\$125,000.00	Yes
Action #2	English Learner Supports	The LEA seeks to close the achievement gap by increasing language proficiency levels (listening, speaking, writing, reading) and overall student proficiency levels for scholars learning English as a second language. Funding includes: (1) Dedicated ELD coordinator, (2) Curriculum and materials (3) Funding to support parent engagement via	\$64,000.00	Yes

		free child care and dinners in order to attend meetings on campus		
Action #3	African American Student Outcomes Support	The LEA seeks to close the achievement gap by increasing academic performance amongst African American scholars in ELA and Math. Funding Includes: (1) A dedicated AAPAC Coordinator (2) Funding to support parent engagement via free child care and dinners in order to attend meetings on campus, and (3) After school tutoring. By increasing parent involvement, awareness and access to school resources and supports, the LEA believes that the goal to increase African American academic achievement in both reading and math can be achieved.	\$67,000.00	Yes
Action #4	High Quality STEAM and PBL Instruction	The LEA seeks to close the achievement gap by engaging scholars in STEM and Project-based learning activities to promote life-long learners and enhance critical thinking and problem solving skills. STEAM & Project Based Learning Curriculum, Materials and Supports: Continue towards full-implementation of Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS). STEAM and project-based activities are some of the most engaging learning activities that are available to scholars. As scholars engage in activities that require cooperative learning, critical thinking skills and discourse with peers, every child benefits and learning is enhanced across all disciplines.	\$110,000.00	Yes

Action #5	Enrichment for Scholars At or Above Grade Level	The LEA seeks to close the achievement gap by implementing additional enrichment opportunities for scholars who are at or above grade level. Funding Includes: (1) AVID in grades 4-6 (2) Foreign languages: Spanish and Mandarin (3) Leadership, and (4) Coding and Robotics.	\$150,000.00	No
Action #6	Instruction Driven by Data	The LEA seeks to close the achievement gap by using ongoing assessment data to inform instruction and support individual scholar learning. Funding includes: (1) Professional Development (2) Weekly Data Meetings: Staffing, and (3) Materials As teachers and administrators do a deep dive into data, teachers are better able to measure the effectiveness of their teaching and administrators can measure the effectiveness of its program. Data analysis helps to drive instruction as teachers use the information to adjust teaching practices, form small groups, and other research based strategies to plug missing skills.	\$100,000.00	Yes
Action #7	Professional Development	The LEA seeks to close the achievement gap by offering ongoing professional development for teachers and support staff. Funding includes: (1) Summer and ongoing training, and (2) Materials Professional development for teachers and support staff allows teachers to expand their skills and knowledge and grow as educators. Professional development allows teachers to hone their craft and become more effective in what they do in a field that is constantly changing.	\$95,000.00	Yes

Action #8	Special Education	The LEA seeks to close the achievement gap by including additional supports for our scholars in special education. Funding includes: (1) Behavior Interventionist (BI) support The Behavior Interventionist works collaboratively with the scholar's educational team to develop and implement intervention strategies (positive behavior supports) for the classroom and within the school environment that allows the scholar to integrate behaviorally into the school setting successfully.	\$110,000.00	No
Action #9	Intervention	The LEA seeks to close the achievement gap by offering additional supports for scholars. Funding includes: (1) Staffing The LEA staffs each grade level with an interventionist that serves the scholars within the designated grade level. When scholars may be struggling in ELA and/or Math, interventionists are available to address the academic needs and provide additional support within the classroom.	\$390,000.00	Yes

Goal Analysis 2022-23

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

An explanation of how effective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Goal

Goal #	Description
Goal 2	The school will be a safe and welcoming environment for students, families, and staff.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

As a school of choice, parents make a choice every day when they choose to drop their child off at school. Parent and scholar perception and satisfaction rates determine the long-term viability of the school and determine how parents choose to engage with the school daily. Creating a safe and welcoming environment for all stakeholders is vital in establishing a positive school community for all.

In order to reach its mission of closing the achievement gap for underserved youth, the LEA needs all scholars in attendance. A schoolwide attendance focus is essential as there is a correlation between school attendance and academic achievement.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023-24
Parent school climate survey: % who feel school is safe	2020-21 Parents: 98%	2021-22 Parents: 99%	2022-2023 Parents: 91%	[Intentionally Blank]	Parents: 98%
Attendance Rate	98.18%	2021-22: 91.98%	Unavailable	[Intentionally Blank]	95%
Chronic Absence Rate	2018-19: 11.6%	2021-22: 31%	Unavailable	[Intentionally Blank]	All students: <10%
Suspension & Expulsion Rate	2020-21: 0%	2021-22: 1%	Unavailable	[Intentionally Blank]	1%
Facilities Inspection Results	2021 Facility Rating: Good	2022 Facility Rating: Good	Unavailable	[Intentionally Blank]	Excellent

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
Action #1	Provide Clean and Safe School Facilities	The LEA seeks to provide a safe and welcoming environment for scholars, families, and staff by providing a campus that is safe and clean. Funding includes: (1) Extra custodial staffing, and (2) Additional event safety	\$60,000.00	No

Action #2	Student Activities and Field Trips	The LEA seeks to provide a safe and welcoming environment for scholars, families, and staff by incorporating scholar activities and field trips that scholars can enjoy. Funding includes: (1) Field trip buses (2) Offset costs of field trips, and (3) Offset costs for Sports Academy	\$55,000.00	Yes
Action #3	Restorative Justice Practices (RJP)	The LEA seeks to provide a safe and welcoming environment for scholars, families, and staff by enforcing school rules and offering restorative justice practices for all scholars. Funding includes: (1) Staffing and Materials. Restorative practices focus on resolving conflict, repairing harm, and healing relationships. They support a positive and safe school climate, help prevent bullying, and reduce disciplinary incidents.	\$30,000.00	Yes
Action #4	Mental Health Support	The LEA seeks to provide a safe and welcoming environment for scholars, families, and staff by offering additional social-emotional supports. Funding includes: (1) Counseling services, and (2) Behavior interventionist (BI) supervision and training. Social-emotion learning (SEL) provides a foundation for safe and positive learning, and enhances scholars' ability to succeed in school. Our LEA has a full-time Counselor on site who provides the social-emotional supports needed for the special education and general education population. Behavior interventionist supports are offered to our scholars within special education. The behavior interventionists provide our special education population of scholars with the tools and strategies needed to be successful while in school.	\$70,000.00	No

Action #5	Family Engagement and Communication	The LEA seeks to provide a safe and welcoming environment for scholars, families, and staff by communicating effectively regarding special events, announcements and important information. Funding includes: (1) Communications (2) Software costs (ParentSquare, Class Dojo), and (3) Staffing Communication is effective in helping to create a good and safe environment for scholars to learn. When scholars feel comfortable, they are more likely to be focused and motivated while in school. Effective communication between the school and families establishes a relationship of respect between all parties.	\$130,000.00	Yes
Action #6	Family Events	The LEA seeks to provide a safe and welcoming environment for scholars, families, and staff by creating opportunities for families to engage in their child's learning and actively participate in events within the school community. Funding includes: (1) Evening events (Math night, Meet & Greet, etc.) (2) ELAC, LCAP, SSC, and other meetings, and (3) Fundraisers (Spring Performance, Jog-A-Thon).	\$30,000.00	Yes

Goal Analysis 2022-23

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

An explanation of how effective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year’s actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year’s actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students for 2023-24

Projected LCFF Base Grant	Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	Projected Additional LCFF Concentration Grant (15 percent)
\$6,504,827.00	\$739,860.00	\$4,293.00

Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve	LCFF Carryover — Percentage	LCFF Carryover — Dollar	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the

Services for the Coming School Year			Coming School Year
11%	0%	\$5,601,505.00	0%

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

Required Descriptions

For each action being provided to an entire school, or across the entire school district or county office of education (COE), an explanation of (1) how the needs of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students were considered first, and (2) how these actions are effective in meeting the goals for these students.

LOW-INCOME:

Needs, Conditions, Circumstances: A performance gap persist between low-income students and all students in both ELA & Math based on SBAC and STAR data.

Action(s):

- a. 1:1 tech devices
- b. Small group instruction
- c. Intervention support
- d. Liaison staffing for OutREACH assistance

ENGLISH LEARNERS

Needs, Conditions, Circumstances: Due to language barrier, parents unable to help with work completion.

Action(s)-School provides the help needed to be successful:

- a. After School Tutoring
- b. 1:1 tech devices

- c. Small group instruction
- d. Intervention support

AFRICAN AMERICANS

Action(s):

- a. Parent meetings (AAPAC)
- b. After school tutoring
- c. Intervention support

ATTENDANCE SUPPORT

- a. Parent training
- b. Daily phone calls home
- c. Staffing to assist families in need
- d. Incentives and recognition

A description of how services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students are being increased or improved by the percentage required.

Goal 1: The school will close the achievement gap for underperforming, underrepresented, and underserved students, and support all students in achieving mastery of the state standards.

Goal 2: The school will be a safe and welcoming environment for students, families, and staff.

Services for English Learners, low-income students and foster youth are being increased or improved in the above goals in the following manner:

Goal 1: (a) Culturally relevant curriculum adoption (b) English Learner supports (ELD program) (c) Intervention: small-group pullouts with intervention staff, which can include Read 180/System 44 reading supports; (c) small group pull outs with teachers.

Goal 2: Mental Health Support (a) Counseling services

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

REACH LSA was founded for the purpose of closing the achievement gap for the underserved students, namely black, brown and poor kids, which traditionally receive an inferior education to their white and more affluent counterparts. With this knowledge as a backdrop for every initiative that the charter school undertakes, the LEA's English learners, foster youth, and low-income scholars are always considered in every instance or circumstance. Beginning with nearly every item purchased, each program instituted, and almost every new initiative that the school initiates, they are all done with this population in mind. For example, English learners, foster youth, and low-income students are considered for small group instruction that incorporates multimodal instruction, with frontloading of vocabulary and concepts to strengthen their connection to text and comprehension before other groups. Low-income students, EL students, or other students identified as at-risk of potentially experiencing learning loss, received additional small group instruction and support via the intervention team throughout the school year and will continue to do so. By analyzing instructional planning reports for each scholar, scholars will continue to be grouped by ability level and focus skill in order to ensure that instruction is targeted to their specific growth goals. Instructional strategies and modalities of instruction during small groups are varied and determined by scholar learning preferences. These scholars receive and shall continue to receive counseling and behavioral support on an individual and ongoing basis. Small group instruction, intervention services, free after-school tutoring services are provided for these scholars first and foremost. Low income students receive technology (e.g., hotspots and chromebooks), school resources and other school-related materials, including school uniforms free of charge as needed.

Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students	Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less	Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent
---	--	---

Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students	20:1	
Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students	28:1	

Instructions

- Plan Summary
- Engaging Educational Partners
- Goals and Actions
- Increased or Improved Services

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the LCAP template, please contact the local COE, or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at lcff@cde.ca.gov.

Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all

statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- **Comprehensive Strategic Planning:** The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning (California Education Code [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.
- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners:** The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.
- **Accountability and Compliance:** The LCAP serves an important accountability function because aspects of the LCAP template require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:
 - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC Section 52064[b][4-6]).
 - Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (EC sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).
 - Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (EC Section 52064[b][7]).

The LCAP template, like each LEA's final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the

outcome of their LCAP development process, which should: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in EC sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2021–22, 2022–23, and 2023–24 school years reflects statutory changes made through Assembly Bill 1840 (Committee on Budget), Chapter 243, Statutes of 2018. These statutory changes enhance transparency regarding expenditures on actions included in the LCAP, including actions that contribute to meeting the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, and to streamline the information presented within the LCAP to make adopted LCAPs more accessible for educational partners and the public.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA’s diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions that the LEA believes, based on input gathered from educational partners, research, and experience, will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP, but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP itself. Additionally, information is included at the beginning of each section emphasizing the

purpose that each section serves.

Plan Summary

Purpose

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to provide a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included in the subsequent sections of the LCAP.

Requirements and Instructions

General Information

Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA. For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, or employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information as an LEA wishes to include can enable a reader to more fully understand an LEA's LCAP.

Reflections: Successes

Based on a review of performance on the state indicators and local performance indicators included in the Dashboard, progress toward LCAP goals, local self-assessment tools, input from educational partners, and any other information, what progress is the LEA most proud of and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success? This may include identifying specific examples of how past increases or improvements in services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students have led to improved performance for these students.

Reflections: Identified Need

Referring to the Dashboard, identify: (a) any state indicator for which overall performance was in the “Red” or “Orange” performance category or any local indicator where the LEA received a “Not Met” or “Not Met for Two or More Years” rating AND (b) any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the “all student” performance. What steps is the LEA planning to take to address these areas of low performance and performance gaps? An LEA that is required to include a goal to address one or more consistently low-performing student groups or low-performing schools must identify that it is required to include this goal and must also identify the applicable student group(s) and/or school(s). Other needs may be identified using locally collected data including data collected to inform the self-reflection tools and reporting local indicators on the Dashboard.

LCAP Highlights

Identify and briefly summarize the key features of this year’s LCAP.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

Schools Identified: Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.

Support for Identified Schools: Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness: Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

Engaging Educational Partners

Purpose

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

Statute and regulations specify the educational partners that school districts and COEs must consult when developing the LCAP: teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units of the LEA, parents, and students. Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the Parent Advisory Committee and, if applicable, to its English Learner Parent Advisory Committee. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

Statute requires charter schools to consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in developing the LCAP. The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and districtlevel goals and actions.

Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the following web page of the CDE's website:

<https://www.cde.ca.gov/re/lc/>.

Requirements and Instructions

Below is an excerpt from the 2018–19 *Guide for Annual Audits of K–12 Local Education Agencies and State Compliance Reporting*, which is provided to highlight the legal requirements for engagement of educational partners in the LCAP development process:

Local Control and Accountability Plan:

For county offices of education and school districts only, verify the LEA:

1. Presented the local control and accountability plan to the parent advisory committee in accordance with Education Code section 52062(a)(1) or 52068(a)(1), as appropriate.
2. If applicable, presented the local control and accountability plan to the English learner parent advisory committee, in accordance with Education Code section 52062(a)(2) or 52068(a)(2), as appropriate.
3. Notified members of the public of the opportunity to submit comments regarding specific actions and expenditures proposed to be included in the local control and accountability plan in accordance with Education Code section 52062(a)(3) or 52068(a)(3), as appropriate.
4. Held at least one public hearing in accordance with Education Code section 52062(b)(1) or 52068(b)(1), as appropriate.
5. Adopted the local control and accountability plan in a public meeting in accordance with Education Code section 52062(b)(2) or 52068(b)(2), as appropriate.

Prompt 1: “A summary of the process used to engage educational partners and how this engagement was considered before finalizing the LCAP.”

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve educational partners in the development of the LCAP, including, at a minimum, describing how the LEA met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners as applicable to the type of LEA. A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA’s philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.

Prompt 2: “A summary of the feedback provided by specific educational partners.”

Describe and summarize the feedback provided by specific educational partners. A sufficient response to this prompt will indicate ideas, trends, or inputs that emerged from an analysis of the feedback received from educational partners.

Prompt 3: “A description of the aspects of the LCAP that were influenced by specific input from educational partners.”

A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. The response must describe aspects of the LCAP

that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback described in response to Prompt 2. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP. For the purposes of this prompt, “aspects” of an LCAP that may have been influenced by educational partner input can include, but are not necessarily limited to:

- Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
- Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
- Determination of the desired outcome on one or more metrics
- Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
- Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
- Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
- Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
- Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated services
- Determination of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
- Determination of material differences in expenditures
- Determination of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
- Determination of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

Goals and Actions

Purpose

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal should be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

Requirements and Instructions

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs should consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and

reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- **Focus Goal:** A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.
- **Broad Goal:** A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.
- **Maintenance of Progress Goal:** A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics.

Focus Goal(s)

Goal Description: The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound. An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach. The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal: Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal. An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data. LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

Broad Goal

Goal Description: Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal. The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal. The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner. A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal: Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

Maintenance of Progress Goal

Goal Description: Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP. Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP. The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal: Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

Required Goals

In general, LEAs have flexibility in determining what goals to include in the LCAP and what those goals will address; however, beginning with the development of the 2022–23 LCAP, LEAs that meet certain criteria are required to include a specific goal in their LCAP.

Consistently low-performing student group(s) criteria: An LEA is eligible for Differentiated Assistance for three or more consecutive years based on the performance of the same student group or groups in the Dashboard. A list of the LEAs required to include a goal in the LCAP based on student group performance, and the student group(s) that lead to identification, may be found on the CDE’s Local Control Funding Formula web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.

- *Consistently low-performing student group(s) goal requirement:* An LEA meeting the consistently low-performing student group(s) criteria must include a goal in its LCAP focused on improving the performance of the student group or groups that led to the LEA's eligibility for Differentiated Assistance. This goal must include metrics, outcomes, actions, and expenditures specific to addressing the needs of, and improving outcomes for, this student group or groups. An LEA required to address multiple student groups is not required to have a goal to address each student group; however, each student group must be specifically addressed in the goal. This requirement may not be met by combining this required goal with another goal.
- *Goal Description:* Describe the outcomes the LEA plans to achieve to address the needs of, and improve outcomes for, the student group or groups that led to the LEA's eligibility for Differentiated Assistance.
- *Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal:* Explain why the LEA is required to develop this goal, including identifying the student group(s) that lead to the LEA being required to develop this goal, how the actions and associated metrics included in this goal differ from previous efforts to improve outcomes for the student group(s), and why the LEA believes the actions, metrics, and expenditures included in this goal will help achieve the outcomes identified in the goal description.

Low-performing school(s) criteria: The following criteria only applies to a school district or COE with two or more schools; it does not apply to a single-school district. A school district or COE has one or more schools that, for two consecutive years, received the two lowest performance levels on all but one of the state indicators for which the school(s) receive performance levels in the Dashboard and the performance of the "All Students" student group for the LEA is at least one performance level higher in all of those indicators. A list of the LEAs required to include a goal in the LCAP based on school performance, and the school(s) that lead to identification, may be found on the CDE's Local Control Funding Formula web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.

- *Low-performing school(s) goal requirement:* A school district or COE meeting the low-performing school(s) criteria must include a goal in its LCAP focusing on addressing the disparities in performance between the school(s) and the LEA as a whole. This goal must include metrics, outcomes, actions, and expenditures specific to addressing the needs of, and improving outcomes for, the students enrolled at the low-performing school or schools. An LEA required to address multiple schools is not required to have a goal to address each school; however, each school must be specifically addressed in the goal. This requirement may not be met by combining this goal with another goal.
- *Goal Description:* Describe what outcomes the LEA plans to achieve to address the disparities in performance between the students enrolled at the low-performing school(s) and the students enrolled at the LEA as a whole.
- *Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal:* Explain why the LEA is required to develop this goal, including identifying the schools(s) that lead to the LEA being required to develop this goal; how the actions and associated metrics included in this goal differ from previous efforts to improve outcomes for the school(s); and why the LEA believes the actions, metrics, and expenditures included in this goal will help achieve the outcomes for students enrolled at the low-performing school or schools identified in the goal description.

Measuring and Reporting Results:

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes. LEAs are encouraged to identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that would reflect narrowing of any existing performance gaps.

Include in the baseline column the most recent data associated with this metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2019 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available (e.g. high school graduation rate).

Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS. Because final 2020–21 outcomes on some metrics may not be computable at the time the 2021–24 LCAP is adopted (e.g., graduation rate, suspension rate), the most recent data available may include a point in time calculation taken each year on the same date for comparability purposes.

The baseline data shall remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.

Complete the table as follows:

- **Metric:** Indicate how progress is being measured using a metric.
- **Baseline:** Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2021–22. As described above, the baseline is the most recent data associated with a metric. Indicate the school year to which the data applies, consistent with the instructions above.
- **Year 1 Outcome:** When completing the LCAP for 2022–23, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies, consistent with the instructions above.
- **Year 2 Outcome:** When completing the LCAP for 2023–24, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies, consistent with the instructions above.
- **Year 3 Outcome:** When completing the LCAP for 2024–25, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies, consistent with the instructions above. The 2024–25 LCAP will be the first year in the next three-year cycle. Completing this column will be part of the Annual Update for that year.
- **Desired Outcome for 2023-24:** When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the desired outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the 2023–24 LCAP year.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for Year 3 (2023-24)
Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2021–22 .	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2021–22 .	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2022–23 . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2023–24 . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2021–22 or when adding a new metric.

Timeline for completing the “**Measuring and Reporting Results**” part of the Goal.

The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA’s LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year as applicable to the type of LEA. To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant self-reflection tool for local indicators within the Dashboard.

Actions:

Enter the action number. Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables. Provide a description of the action. Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the summary tables. Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increase or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a “Y” for Yes or an “N” for No. (Note: for each such action offered on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Summary Section to address the requirements in California Code of Regulations, Title 5 [5 CCR] Section 15496(b) in the Increased or Improved Services Section of the LCAP).

Actions for English Learners:

School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant English learner student subgroup must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum, the language acquisition programs, as defined in EC Section 306, provided to students and professional development activities specific to English learners.

Actions for Foster Youth:

School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant Foster Youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to Foster Youth students.

Goal Analysis:

Enter the LCAP Year

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process. This must include any instance where the

LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.
- Describe the effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA. In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal. When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

Purpose

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA's description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

Requirements and Instructions

Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants: Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner students.

Projected Additional LCFF Concentration Grant (15 percent): Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in EC Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year: Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

LCFF Carryover — Percentage: Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

LCFF Carryover — Dollar: Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero (\$0).

Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year: Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEAs percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

Required Descriptions:

For each action being provided to an entire school, or across the entire school district or COE, an explanation of (1) how the needs of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students were considered first, and (2) how these actions are effective in meeting the goals for these students.

For each action included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement for unduplicated pupils and provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis, the LEA must include an explanation consistent with 5 CCR Section 15496(b). For any such actions continued into the 2021–24 LCAP from the 2017–2020 LCAP, the LEA must determine whether or not the action was effective as expected, and this determination must reflect evidence of outcome data or actual implementation to date.

Principally Directed and Effective:

An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students when the LEA explains how:

- It considers the needs, conditions, or circumstances of its unduplicated pupils;
- The action, or aspect(s) of the action (including, for example, its design, content, methods, or location), is based on these considerations; and
- The action is intended to help achieve an expected measurable outcome of the associated goal.

As such, the response provided in this section may rely on a needs assessment of unduplicated students.

Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient. Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increase or improve services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

For example, if an LEA determines that low-income students have a significantly lower attendance rate than the attendance rate for all students, it might justify LEA-wide or schoolwide actions to address this area of need in the following way:

- After assessing the needs, conditions, and circumstances of our low-income students, we learned that the attendance rate of our low-income students is 7 percent lower than the attendance rate for all students. (Needs, Conditions, Circumstances [Principally Directed])
- In order to address this condition of our low-income students, we will develop and implement a new attendance program that is designed to address some of the major causes of absenteeism, including lack of reliable transportation and food, as well as a school climate that does not emphasize the importance of attendance. Goal N, Actions X, Y, and Z provide additional transportation and nutritional resources as well as a districtwide educational campaign on the benefits of high attendance rates. (Contributing Action[s])
- These actions are being provided on an LEA-wide basis and we expect/hope that all students with less than a 100 percent attendance rate will benefit. However, because of the significantly lower attendance rate of low-income students, and because the actions meet needs most associated with the chronic stresses and experiences of a socio-economically disadvantaged status, we expect that the attendance rate for our low-income students will increase significantly more than the average attendance rate of all other students. (Measurable Outcomes [Effective In])

COEs and Charter Schools:

Describe how actions included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement on an LEA-wide basis are principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities as described above. In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

For School Districts Only:

Actions Provided on an LEA-Wide Basis:

Unduplicated Percentage > 55%:

For school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of 55 percent or more, describe how these actions are principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities as described above.

Unduplicated Percentage < 55%:

For school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent, describe how these actions are principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities. Also describe how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet these goals for its unduplicated pupils. Provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Actions Provided on a Schoolwide Basis:

School Districts must identify in the description those actions being funded and provided on a schoolwide basis, and include the required description supporting the use of the funds on a schoolwide basis.

For schools with 40% or more enrollment of unduplicated pupils:

Describe how these actions are principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities.

For school districts expending funds on a schoolwide basis at a school with less than 40% enrollment of unduplicated pupils:

Describe how these actions are principally directed to and how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet its goals for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students in the state and any local priorities.

A description of how services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students are being increased or improved by the percentage required.

Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR Section 15496, describe how services provided for unduplicated pupils are increased or improved by at least the percentage calculated as compared to the services provided for all students in the LCAP year. To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in

quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis or provided on a limited basis to unduplicated students. A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students. This description must address how these action(s) are expected to result in the required proportional increase or improvement in services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services the LEA provides to all students for the relevant LCAP year.

For any action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. See the instructions for determining the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for information on calculating the Percentage of Improved Services.

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in EC Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55

percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.

Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.

In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe

how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA. The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA. The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.
- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA. The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA. The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

Action Tables

Complete the Data Entry Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. With the exception of the Data Entry Table, the

word “input” has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following action tables are required to be included in the LCAP as adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2024-25 LCAP, 2024-25 will be the coming LCAP Year and will be the current LCAP Year.

Data Entry Table

The Data Entry Table may be included in the LCAP as adopted by the local governing board or governing body, but is not required to be included. In the Data Entry Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- *LCAP Year:* Identify the applicable LCAP Year.
- *1. Projected LCFF Base Grant:* Provide the total amount of LCFF funding the LEA estimates it will receive for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Grant Program and the Home to School Transportation Program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8).

See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF apportionment calculations.

- *2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:* Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will receive on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.
- *3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:* This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.
- *LCFF Carryover — Percentage:* Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).
- *Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:* This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover — Percentage. This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.

Goal #:

Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.

Action #:

Enter the action's number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.

Action Title:

Provide a title of the action.

Student Group(s):

Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering "All", or by entering a specific student group or groups.

Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?:

Type "Yes" if the action is included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services; OR, type "No" if the action is not included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services.

If "Yes" is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:

Scope:

The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.

Unduplicated Student Group(s)

Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups. Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.

Location:

Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools". If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans". Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5), as appropriate.

Time Span:

Enter "ongoing" if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter "1 Year", or "2 Years", or "6 Months".

Personnel Expense:

This column will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the following columns:

Total Personnel:

Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.

Total Non-personnel:

This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.

LCFF Funds:

Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA's total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).

- *Note:* For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.

Other State Funds:

Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.

Local Funds:

Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.

Federal Funds:

Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.

Total Funds:

Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.

Planned Percentage of Improved Services:

For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.

- As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Service for the action.

Contributing Actions Table

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses.

Annual Update Table

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- Estimated Actual Expenditures: Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- *6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:* Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on of the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- *Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions:* Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.
- *Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services:* For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).
- Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster

youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been \$169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of \$169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

LCFF Carryover Table

- *9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant:* Provide the total amount of LCFF funding the LEA estimates it will receive for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Grant Program and the Home to School Transportation Program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8).
- *10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year:* This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

Calculations in the Action Tables

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

Contributing Actions Table

4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)

- This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column

5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services

- This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column

Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)

- This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

Pursuant to EC Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental

and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display “Not Required.”

6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants

- This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on of the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.

4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)

- This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)

7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions

- This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)

Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)

- This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4)

5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)

- This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column

8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)

- This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column

Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)

- This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8)

LCFF Carryover Table

10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)

- This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.

11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)

- This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)

- If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.

13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)

- This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

Action Tables

2023-2024 Total Planned Expenditures Table

Totals	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel
Totals	\$ 1,204,000	\$ 372,000	\$ 10,000	\$ -	\$ 1,586,000	\$ 789,000	\$ 797,000

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds
1	1	Curriculum and Instructional Materials	English Language Learners, Low Income, Foster Youth	\$ 80,000	\$ 45,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 125,000
1	2	English Learner Supports	English Language Learners	\$ 52,000	\$ 12,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 64,000
1	3	African American Student outcomes support	Low-income	\$ 62,000	\$ 5,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 67,000
1	4	High-quality STEAM and PBL Instruction	English Language Learners, Low	\$ 110,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 110,000

			Income, Foster Youth					
1	5	Enrichment for Scholars At or Above Grade Level	On-level or Advanced	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 150,000
1	6	Instruction Driven By Data	English Language Learners, Low Income, Foster Youth	\$ 90,000	\$ -	\$ 10,000	\$ -	\$ 100,000
1	7	Professional Development	Low-income, English Language Learners	\$ 85,000	\$ 10,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 95,000
1	8	Special Education	Students with disabilities	\$ 100,000	\$ 10,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 110,000
1	9	Intervention	English Language Learners, Low Income, Foster Youth	\$ 150,000	\$ 240,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 390,000
2	1	Provide Clean and Safe School Facilities	All	\$ 60,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 60,000
2	2	School Activities/Field Trips	English Language Learners, Low Income, Foster Youth	\$ 55,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 55,000

2	3	Restorative Justice Practices (RJP)	English Language Learners, Low Income, Foster Youth	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 30,000
2	4	Mental Health Support	All	\$ 70,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 70,000
2	5	Family Engagement and Communication	English Language Learners, Low Income, Foster Youth	\$ 130,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 130,000
2	6	Family Events	English Language Learners, Low Income, Foster Youth	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 30,000

2023-2024 Contributing Actions Table

1. Projected LCFF Base Grant	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)	Totals by Type	Total LCFF Funds
\$ 6,504,827	\$ 739,860	11.37%	0.00%	11.37%	\$ 874,000	0.00%	13.44%	Total:	\$ 874,000
								LEA-wide Total:	\$ 874,000
								Limited Total:	\$ -
								Schoolwide Total:	\$ -

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
1	1	Curriculum and Instructional Materials	Yes	LEA-wide	All	REACH (Single site)	\$ 80,000	0.00%
1	2	English Learner Supports	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners	REACH (Single site)	\$ 52,000	0.00%
1	3	African American Student outcomes support	Yes	LEA-wide	Low-Income	REACH (Single site)	\$ 62,000	0.00%
1	4	High-quality STEAM and PBL Instruction	Yes	LEA-wide	All	REACH (Single site)	\$ 110,000	0.00%
1	6	Instruction Driven By Data	Yes	LEA-wide	All	REACH (Single site)	\$ 90,000	0.00%
1	7	Professional Development	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners and	REACH (Single site)	\$ 85,000	0.00%

					Low-Income			
1	9	Intervention	Yes	LEA-wide	All	REACH (Single site)	\$ 150,000	0.00%
2	2	School Activities/Field Trips	Yes	LEA-wide	All	REACH (Single site)	\$ 55,000	0.00%
2	3	Restorative Justice Practices (RJP)	Yes	LEA-wide	All	REACH (Single site)	\$ 30,000	0.00%
2	5	Family Engagement and Communicatio n	Yes	LEA-wide	All	REACH (Single site)		0.00%
2	6	Family Events	Yes	LEA-wide	All	REACH (Single site)	\$ 30,000	0.00%

2022-2023 Annual Update Table					
Totals:	Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Total Estimated Actual Expenditures (Total Funds)			
Totals:	\$ 738,875	\$0.00			
Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
1	1	Acquisition and Use of Technology	Yes	\$ 86,735	\$86,735.00
1	2	English Language Proficiency Assessment (ELPAC) student outcomes	Yes	\$ 62,700	\$62,700.00
1	3	African American student outcomes	Yes	\$ 50,000	\$5,000.00
1	4	STEAM and Project-based Learning	Yes	\$ 27,022	\$27,022.00
1	5	Instruction driven by data	Yes	\$ 152,000	\$152,000.00
1	6	Common Core Standard Implementation	Yes	\$ 255,418	\$255,418.00
2	1	Stakeholder Satisfaction Rate	Yes	\$ -	\$0.00
2	2	Stakeholder Satisfaction Rate	Yes	\$ -	\$0.00
2	3	Stakeholder Satisfaction Rate	Yes	\$ -	\$0.00

2	4	ADA Attendance Rate	Yes	\$ 105,000	\$0.00
---	---	---------------------	-----	------------	--------

2022-23 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

								Difference Between the Total Planned Percentage of Increased or Improved Services and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services	Difference between Last Year's Percentage to Increase or Improve Services and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services	Required Carryover of the Unused Portion of Funds Generated by Unduplicated Students
	Totals:	Last Year's Percentage to Increase or Improve Services	Last Year's Estimated Amount of Additional Funds Generated by Unduplicated Students	Total Planned Contributing Expenditures		Last Year's Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services			
	Totals:	12.85%	\$738,875	\$738,875		0%	13.02%	13.02%	0.17%	0%
Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions	Planned Qualitative Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Qualitative Percentage of Improved Services			
1	1	Acquisition and Use of Technology	Yes	\$86,735	\$77,683	0.00%	0.00%			

1	2	English Language Proficiency Assessment	Yes	\$62,700	\$70,000	0.00%	0.00%			
1	3	African American Student Outcomes	Yes	\$50,000	\$9,500	0.00%	0.00%			
1	4	STEAM and Project-based Learning	Yes	\$27,022	\$27,022	0.00%	0.00%			
1	5	Instruction Driven By Data	Yes	\$152,000	\$152,000	0.00%	0.00%			
1	6	Common Core Standard Implementation	Yes	\$255,418	\$299,231	0.00%	0.00%			
2	1	Stakeholder Satisfaction Rate	Yes	-	-	0.00%	0.00%			
2	2	Stakeholder Satisfaction Rate	Yes	-	-	0.00%	0.00%			
2	3	Stakeholder Satisfaction Rate	Yes	-	-	0.00%	0.00%			
2	4	ADA Attendance Rate	Yes	\$105,000	\$111,821	0.00%	0.00%			

2022-23 LCFF Carryover Table

Totals	9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	LCFF Carryover - Percent age (Input Percent age from Prior Year)	10. Total Percentage to Increase Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 plus Carryover %)	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)	12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)	13. LCFF Carryover — Percent age (12 divided by 9)
Totals	\$5,601,505.00	\$634,258.00	0.00%	11.32%	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$634,090.37	11.32%